

ON THE EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR COUPLED INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS WITH TWO VARIABLE DELAYS

Bouزيد Mansouri, Abdelouaheb Ardjouni, and Ahcene Djoudi

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence of periodic solutions of a system of coupled nonlinear integro-differential equations with variable delays. By applying the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem and under sufficient conditions we establish the existence of such solutions. An example is given to illustrate our results.

1. Introduction

Existence of periodic solutions of differential equations have been investigated extensively in recent times, we refer the interested reader to the references [1]-[8], [10], [12], [14]-[16], [19]-[21] in this article and references therein for a wealth of information on this subject. In 2019, in the paper [11] Gabsi, Ardjouni and Djoudi use the Mawhin coincidence degree theory and the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, to obtained the existence of positive periodic solutions of the neutral nonlinear differential system

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = \beta x'(t - \tau(t)) + f(x(t - \tau(t))) + g(u(t - \sigma(t))) + p(t), \\ u'(t) = -a(t)u(t) + \frac{d}{dt}F(t, u(t - \sigma(t))) \\ \quad + c(t)G(t, x(t - \tau(t)), u(t - \sigma(t))). \end{cases}$$

In the paper [17] Raffoul investigate the existence of asymptotically periodic and periodic solutions for the following coupled nonlinear Volterra integro-differential

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34K20; Secondary 45J05, 45D05.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point theorem, periodic solutions, integro-differential equations.

Communicated by Daniel A. Romano.

system with infinite delay

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = h_1(t)x(t) + h_2(t)y(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t a(t,s)f(x(s),y(s))ds, \\ y'(t) = p_1(t)y(t) + p_2(t)x(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t b(t,s)g(x(s),y(s))ds. \end{cases}$$

The author use the Schauder fixed point theorem to obtained here results. Deham [9] consider the second order nonlinear integro-differential equation

$$x''(t) + p(t)x'(t) + q(t)h(x(t)) = \int_{-\infty}^t Q(t,s)f(s,x(s-g(s)))ds,$$

and by the Krasnoselskii-Burton fixed point theorem show that the existence of periodic solutions is concluded. In this paper and motivated by the papers [9], [11], [17] and the references therein and by using fixed point technique, we study the existence of periodic solutions for the following coupled nonlinear integro-differential system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} x'(t) = h(t)x(t) + g_1(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-\tau_1(t)), y(t-\tau_2(t))) \\ \quad + c_1(t)x'(t-\tau_1(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^t a_1(t,s)f_1(x(s),y(s))ds, \\ y''(t) + p(t)y'(t) + q(t)y(t) = g_2(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-\tau_1(t)), y(t-\tau_2(t))) \\ \quad + c_2(t)y'(t-\tau_2(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^t a_2(t,s)f_2(x(s),y(s))ds, \end{cases}$$

where p and q are positive continuous real-valued functions and the functions h , c_i , a_i , $i = 1, 2$ are continuous functions. The functions $g_i(t, x, y, z, w)$, $i = 1, 2$ are continuous, periodic in t and Lipschitz continuous in x, y, z and w , $f_i(x, y)$, $i = 1, 2$ are continuous and Lipschitz continuous in x and y , and for some positive constants $\eta_j, \mu_j, j = \overline{1, 4}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g_1(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) - g_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \eta_j |y_j - x_j|, \\ |g_2(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) - g_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \mu_j |y_j - x_j|, \end{aligned}$$

and for some positive constants $\rho_j, \xi_j, j = 1, 2$ we have

$$|f_1(y_1, y_2) - f_1(x_1, x_2)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_j |y_j - x_j|,$$

and

$$|f_2(y_1, y_2) - f_2(x_1, x_2)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j |y_j - x_j|.$$

We assume that $g_1(t, 0, 0, 0, 0) = g_2(t, 0, 0, 0, 0) = f_1(0, 0) = f_2(0, 0) = 0$.

We also suppose that there exists a positive real number T such that

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} h(t+T) = h(t), \int_0^T h(s)ds \neq 0, a_i(t+T, s+T) = a_i(t, s), \\ c_i(t+T) = c_i(t), \tau_i(t+T) = \tau_i(t), i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with τ_i being scalar functions, continuous and $\tau_i(t) \geq \tau_i^* > 0$, $\tau_i'(t) \neq 1$.

To have a well behaved mapping we must assume that

$$(1.3) \quad p(t+T) = p(t), \quad q(t+T) = q(t), \quad \int_0^T p(s)ds > 0, \quad \int_0^T q(s)ds > 0.$$

Define

$$P_T = \{(\varphi, \psi) : (\varphi, \psi)(t+T) = (\varphi, \psi)(t)\},$$

where φ and ψ are continuous functions on \mathbb{R} . Then, P_T is a Banach space when endowed with the maximum norm

$$\|(x, y)\| = \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} |y(t)| \right\}.$$

LEMMA 1.1 ([13]). Assume that (1.2) and (1.3) hold and

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{R}{QT} \left(e^{\int_0^T p(u)du} - 1 \right) \geq 1,$$

where

$$R = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_t^s p(u)du}}{e^{\int_0^T p(u)du} - 1} q(s) ds \right|, \quad Q = \left(1 + e^{\int_0^T p(u)du} \right)^2 R^2.$$

Then, there are continuous T -periodic functions a and b such that

$$b(t) > 0, \quad \int_0^T a(u)du > 0,$$

and

$$a(t) + b(t) = p(t), \quad b'(t) + a(t)b(t) = q(t), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

LEMMA 1.2 ([22]). Assume the conditions of Lemma 1.1 hold and $\phi \in P_T$. Then, the equation

$$x''(t) + p(t)x'(t) + q(t)x(t) = \phi(t),$$

has a T -periodic solution. Moreover, the periodic solution can be expressed as

$$x(t) = \int_t^{t+T} G(t, s) \phi(s) ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{\int_t^s e^{\int_t^u b(v)dv + \int_u^s a(v)dv} du + \int_s^{t+T} e^{\int_t^u b(v)dv + \int_u^{s+T} a(v)dv} du}{\left(e^{\int_0^T a(u)du} - 1 \right) \left(e^{\int_0^T b(u)du} - 1 \right)}.$$

COROLLARY 1.1 ([22]). Green's function G satisfies the following properties

$$G(t, t+T) = G(t, t), \quad G(t+T, s+T) = G(t, s),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G(t, s) = a(s) G(t, s) - H(t, s),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, s) = -b(t) G(t, s) + H^*(t, s),$$

where

$$H(t, s) = \frac{e^{\int_t^s b(v)dv}}{e^{\int_0^T b(v)dv} - 1}, \quad H^*(t, s) = \frac{e^{\int_t^s a(v)dv}}{e^{\int_0^T a(v)dv} - 1}.$$

LEMMA 1.3. Assume (1.2)-(1.4). If $x, y \in P_T$, then x and y is a solution of (1.1) if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t) &= \frac{c_1(t) x(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} - \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}} r_1(u) x(u - \tau_1(u)) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}} g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} y(t) &= \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) g_2(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u a_2(u, s) f_2(x(s), y(s)) ds du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} [h_2(u) H(t, u) - r_2(u) G(t, u)] y(u - \tau_2(u)) du, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad r_1(u) = \frac{(c_1'(u) - c_1(u) h(u))(1 - \tau_1'(u)) + \tau_1''(u) c_1(u)}{(1 - \tau_1'(u))^2},$$

$$(1.8) \quad h_2(u) = \frac{c_2(u)}{1 - \tau_2'(u)},$$

$$(1.9) \quad r_2(u) = \frac{(a(u) c_2(u) + c_2'(u))(1 - \tau_2'(u)) + \tau_2''(u) c_2(u)}{(1 - \tau_2'(u))^2}.$$

PROOF. Let $x, y \in P_T$ be a solution of (1.1). Next, we multiply both sides of the first equation in (1.1) by $e^{-\int_0^t h(s)ds}$ and then integrate from t to $t + T$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_t^{t+T} \left[x(u) e^{-\int_0^u h(s)ds} \right]' du \\ &= \int_t^{t+T} e^{-\int_0^u h(s)ds} g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} e^{-\int_0^u h(s)ds} c_1(u) x'(u - \tau_1(u)) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} e^{-\int_0^u h(s)ds} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x(t+T)e^{-\int_0^{t+T} h(s)ds} - x(t)e^{-\int_0^t h(s)ds} \\
&= \int_t^{t+T} e^{-\int_0^u h(s)ds} g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u-\tau_1(u)), y(u-\tau_2(u))) du \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} e^{-\int_0^u h(s)ds} c_1(u) x'(u-\tau_1(u)) du \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} e^{-\int_0^u h(s)ds} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides with $e^{\int_0^{t+T} h(s)ds}$ and using the fact that $x(t+T) = x(t)$ and $e^{\int_t^{t+T} h(s)ds} = e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.10) \quad x(t) &= \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}} g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u-\tau_1(u)), y(u-\tau_2(u))) du \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}} c_1(u) x'(u-\tau_1(u)) du \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+T} e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds} c_1(u) x'(u-\tau_1(u)) du \\
&= \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds} c_1(u)}{1 - \tau_1'(u)} (1 - \tau_1'(u)) x'(u-\tau_1(u)) du,
\end{aligned}$$

performing an integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.11) \quad & \int_t^{t+T} e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds} c_1(u) x'(u-\tau_1(u)) du \\
&= \left[\frac{c_1(u) x(u-\tau_1(u))}{1 - \tau_1'(u)} e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds} \right]_t^{t+T} - \int_t^{t+T} e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds} r_1(u) x(u-\tau_1(u)) du \\
&= \frac{c_1(t) x(t-\tau_1(t))}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} \left(1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s)ds}\right) - \int_t^{t+T} e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s)ds} r_1(u) x(u-\tau_1(u)) du,
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_1(u)$ is given by (1.7). Substituting (1.11) into (1.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \frac{c_1(t) x(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} - \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} r_1(u) x(u - \tau_1(u)) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du. \end{aligned}$$

For the second equation in (1.1). From Lemma 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1.12) \quad y(t) &= \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) g_2(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) c_2(t) y'(t - \tau_2(t)) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u a_2(u, s) f_2(x(s), y(s)) ds du, \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) c_2(u) y'(u - \tau_2(u)) du \\ &= \int_t^{t+T} \frac{G(t, u) c_2(u)}{1 - \tau_2'(u)} (1 - \tau_2'(u)) y'(u - \tau_2(u)) du, \end{aligned}$$

performing an integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) c_2(u) y'(u - \tau_2(u)) du = \left[\frac{G(t, u) c_2(u) y(u - \tau_2(u))}{1 - \tau_2'(u)} \right]_t^{t+T} \\ &- \int_t^{t+T} [r_2(u) G(t, u) - h_2(u) H(t, u)] y(u - \tau_2(u)) du. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left[\frac{G(t, u) c_2(u) y(u - \tau_2(u))}{1 - \tau_2'(u)} \right]_t^{t+T} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1.13) \quad &\int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) c_2(u) y'(u - \tau_2(u)) du \\ &= \int_t^{t+T} [h_2(u) H(t, u) - r_2(u) G(t, u)] y(u - \tau_2(u)) du. \end{aligned}$$

where $h_2(u)$ and $r_2(u)$ are given by (1.8) and (1.9). Substituting (1.13) into (1.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) g_2(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u a_2(u, s) f_2(x(s), y(s)) ds du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} [h_2(u) H(t, u) - r_2(u) G(t, u)] y(u - \tau_2(u)) du. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 1.4 ([22]). Let $\Gamma = \int_0^T p(u) du$, $\Lambda = T^2 e^{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \ln(q(u)) du}$. If $\Gamma^2 \geq 4\Lambda$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ \int_0^T a(u) du, \int_0^T b(u) du \right\} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\Gamma - \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - 4\Lambda} \right) := l, \\ \max \left\{ \int_0^T a(u) du, \int_0^T b(u) du \right\} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\Gamma + \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - 4\Lambda} \right) := m. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 1.2 ([22]). Functions G and H satisfy

$$\frac{T}{(e^m - 1)^2} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{T e^{\int_0^T p(v) dv}}{(e^l - 1)^2}, \quad |H(t, s)| \leq \frac{e^m}{e^l - 1}.$$

To simplify notation, we introduce the constants

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{T e^{\int_0^T p(v) dv}}{(e^l - 1)^2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{e^m}{e^l - 1}, \quad \theta = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |h_2(t)|, \\ \beta &= \max_{t \in [0, T]} |r_2(t)|, \quad \lambda = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |a(t)|, \quad \delta = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |b(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

2. Periodic solutions

LEMMA 2.1 ([18]). Let \mathbb{M} be a bounded closed convex nonempty subset of a Banach space $(S, \|\cdot\|)$. Assume that A and B map \mathbb{M} into S such that

- (i) $x, y \in \mathbb{M}$, implies $Ax + By \in \mathbb{M}$,
- (ii) A is continuous and compact,
- (iii) B is a contraction mapping.

Then, there exists $z \in \mathbb{M}$ with $z = Az + Bz$.

Let $\alpha_1(t) = \frac{c_1(t)}{1 - \tau_1'(t)}$, we assume that $\sup_{t \geq u} |\alpha_1(t)| = \beta_1 < 1$. Let V_i , $i = 1, 2$ be a positive constants such that $0 < V_1 + \beta_1 < 1$. Moreover, assume the existence of positive constants M_i , K_i , L_i , $i = 1, 2$ and σ such that

$$(2.1) \quad |f_1(x, y)| \leq M_1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad |f_2(x, y)| \leq M_2,$$

$$(2.3) \quad |g_1(t, x, y, z, w)| \leq K_1, \quad |g_2(t, x, y, z, w)| \leq K_2,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^u |a_1(u, s)| ds du \leq L_1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \int_t^{t+T} \int_{-\infty}^u |a_2(u, s)| ds du \leq L_2,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |r_1(u)| du \leq V_1,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| du \leq V_2,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{-\infty}^u |a_1(u, s)| ds \leq \sigma.$$

Set

$$(2.9) \quad M = \max \left\{ \frac{V_2 K_1 + L_1 M_1}{1 - V_1 - \beta_1}, \frac{(TK_2 + L_2 M_2) \alpha}{1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)} \right\},$$

with $0 < T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) < 1$.

We define subset $\Omega_{x,y}$ of P_T as follows

$$\Omega_{x,y} = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in P_T \text{ with } \|(x, y)\| \leq M\}.$$

Then $\Omega_{x,y}$ is a bounded, closed and convex subset of P_T . Now for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x,y}$ we can define an operator $E : \Omega_{x,y} \rightarrow P_T$ by

$$E(x, y)(t) = (E_1(x, y)(t), E_2(x, y)(t)),$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1(x, y)(t) &= \frac{c_1(t) x(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} - \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} r_1(u) x(u - \tau_1(u)) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2(x, y)(t) &= \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) g_2(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u a_2(u, s) f_2(x(s), y(s)) ds du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} [h_2(u) H(t, u) - r_2(u) G(t, u)] y(u - \tau_2(u)) du. \end{aligned}$$

To apply Lemma 2.1, we need to construct two mappings, one is a contraction and the other is compact. Therefore, we state (2.10) as

$$E_1(x, y)(t) = B_1(x, y)(t) + A_1(x, y)(t),$$

where $B_1, A_1 : \Omega_{x,y} \rightarrow P_T$ are given by

$$B_1(x, y)(t) = \frac{c_1(t) x(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 - \tau_1'(t)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x, y)(t) &= - \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} r_1(u) x(u - \tau_1(u)) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du. \end{aligned}$$

And we state (2.11) as

$$E_2(x, y)(t) = B_2(x, y)(t) + A_2(x, y)(t),$$

where $B_2, A_2 : \Omega_{x,y} \rightarrow P_T$ are given by

$$B_2(x, y)(t) = \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) g_2(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u))) du,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(x, y)(t) &= \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u a_2(u, s) f_2(x(s), y(s)) ds du \\ &+ \int_t^{t+T} [h_2(u) H(t, u) - r_2(u) G(t, u)] y(u - \tau_2(u)) du. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x,y}$ we can define the operators $B, A : \Omega_{x,y} \rightarrow P_T$ by

$$B(x, y)(t) = (B_1(x, y)(t), B_2(x, y)(t)),$$

$$A(x, y)(t) = (A_1(x, y)(t), A_2(x, y)(t)).$$

Observe that, since the functions $g_i(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, $i = 1, 2$ is Lipschitz continuous in x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 and $f_i(x_1, x_2)$, $i = 1, 2$ is Lipschitz continuous in x_1, x_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|g_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| \\ &= |g_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - g_1(t, 0, 0, 0, 0) + g_1(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| \\ &\leq |g_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - g_1(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| + |g_1(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \eta_j |x_j|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |g_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| \\
&= |g_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - g_2(t, 0, 0, 0, 0) + g_2(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| \\
&\leq |g_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - g_2(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| + |g_2(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \mu_j |x_j|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f_1(x_1, x_2)| \\
&= |f_1(x_1, x_2) - f_1(0, 0) + f_1(0, 0)| \\
&\leq |f_1(x_1, x_2) - f_1(0, 0)| + |f_1(0, 0)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_j |x_j|,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f_2(x_1, x_2)| \\
&= |f_2(x_1, x_2) - f_2(0, 0) + f_2(0, 0)| \\
&\leq |f_2(x_1, x_2) - f_2(0, 0)| + |f_2(0, 0)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j |x_j|.
\end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose (1.2)-(1.4) and (2.1)-(2.8) hold. Suppose that*

$$V_1 + V_2 \sum_{j=1}^4 \eta_j + L_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_j \leq 1 \text{ and } L_2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) \leq 1,$$

and $T\alpha V < 1$, where $V = \max(\mu_1 + \mu_3, \mu_2 + \mu_4)$. Then (1.1) has a T -periodic solution.

PROOF. In order to prove that (1.1) has a T -periodic solution, we shall make sure that A and B satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.1. For all $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x,y}$, we have $(x, y)(t+T) = (x, y)(t)$ and $\|(x, y)\| \leq M$. It is easy to show that $E(x, y)(t+T) = E(x, y)(t)$. For any $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x,y}$, we will show that $|E(x, y)(t)| \leq M$. In view of the above estimates, we have

$$|B_1(x, y)(t)| \leq \left| \frac{c_1(t)}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} \right| |x(t - \tau_1(t))| \leq \beta_1 M,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_1(x, y)(t)| &\leq \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |r_1(u)| |x(u - \tau_1(u))| du \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u)))| du \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^u |a_1(u, s)| |f_1(x(s), y(s))| ds du \\
&\leq V_1 M + V_2 K_1 + L_1 M_1.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of (2.9),

$$\frac{V_2 K_1 + L_1 M_1}{1 - V_1 - \beta_1} \leq M, \text{ we have } V_2 K_1 + L_1 M_1 \leq (1 - V_1 - \beta_1) M.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_1(x, y)(t)| &\leq \beta_1 M + V_1 M + V_2 K_1 + L_1 M_1 \\
&\leq \beta_1 M + V_1 M + (1 - V_1 - \beta_1) M = M.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_2(x, y)(t)| &\leq \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) |g_2(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u)))| du \\
&\leq T K_2 \alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_2(x, y)(t)| &\leq \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u |a_2(u, s)| |f_2(x(s), y(s))| ds du \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} [|h_2(u)| |H(t, u)| + |r_2(u)| G(t, u)] |y(u - \tau_2(u))| du \\
&\leq L_2 M_2 \alpha + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) M.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of (2.9),

$$\frac{(TK_2 + L_2M_2)\alpha}{1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)} \leq M, \text{ we have } (TK_2 + L_2M_2)\alpha \leq (1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)) M.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_2(x, y)(t)| &\leq T K_2 \alpha + L_2 M_2 \alpha + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) M \\
&\leq (1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)) M + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) M = M.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, E maps $\Omega_{x,y}$ into itself, i.e. $E(\Omega_{x,y}) \subseteq \Omega_{x,y}$. We will now show that A is continuous. Let $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ be a sequence in $\Omega_{x,y}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(x_n, y_n) - (x, y)\| = 0.$$

Since $\Omega_{x,y}$ is closed, we get $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x,y}$. Then by the definition of A , we obtain

$$\|A(x_n, y_n) - A(x, y)\| = \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [0, T]} |A_1(x_n, y_n)(t) - A_1(x, y)(t)|, \right. \\ \left. \max_{t \in [0, T]} |A_2(x_n, y_n)(t) - A_2(x, y)(t)| \right\},$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_1(x_n, y_n)(t) - A_1(x, y)(t)| \\ & \leq \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |r_1(u)| |x_n(u - \tau_1(u)) - x(u - \tau_1(u))| du \\ & + \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |g_1(u, x_n(u), y_n(u), x_n(u - \tau_1(u)), y_n(u - \tau_2(u))) \\ & - g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u)))| du \\ & + \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^u |a_1(u, s)| |f_1(x_n(s), y_n(s)) - f_1(x(s), y(s))| ds du, \end{aligned}$$

the continuity of g_1 and f_1 along with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in [0, T]} |A_1(x_n, y_n)(t) - A_1(x, y)(t)| = 0.$$

And on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_2(x_n, y_n)(t) - A_2(x, y)(t)| \\ & \leq \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u |a_2(u, s)| |f_2(x_n(s), y_n(s)) - f_2(x(s), y(s))| ds du \\ & + \int_t^{t+T} [|h_2(u)| |H(t, u)| + |r_2(u)| G(t, u)] |y_n(u - \tau_2(u)) - y(u - \tau_2(u))| du. \end{aligned}$$

The continuity of f_2 along with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in [0, T]} |A_2(x_n, y_n)(t) - A_2(x, y)(t)| = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A(x_n, y_n) - A(x, y)\| = 0.$$

This result proves that A is continuous.

We now have to show that A is compact. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(x_n, y_n) \in \Omega_{x,y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A_1(x_n, y_n)(t)| \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |r_1(u)| |x_n(u - \tau_1(u))| du \\
& + \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |g_1(u, x_n(u), y_n(u), x_n(u - \tau_1(u)), y_n(u - \tau_2(u)))| du \\
& + \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^u |a_1(u, s)| |f_1(x_n(s), y_n(s))| ds du \\
& \leq \left(V_1 + V_2 \sum_{j=1}^4 \eta_j + L_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_j \right) M \leq M.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A_2(x_n, y_n)(t)| \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u |a_2(u, s)| |f_2(x_n(s), y_n(s))| ds du \\
& + \int_t^{t+T} [|h_2(u)| |H(t, u)| + |r_2(u)| G(t, u)] |y_n(u - \tau_2(u))| du \\
& \leq \left(L_2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) \right) M \leq M.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|A(x_n, y_n)\| \leq M.$$

If we calculate $(A(x_n, y_n))'(t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A_1(x_n, y_n))'(t) \\
&= g_1(t, x_n(t), y_n(t), x_n(t - \tau_1(t)), y_n(t - \tau_2(t))) - r_1(t) x_n(t - \tau_1(t)) \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^t a_1(t, s) f_1(x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds + h(t) \\
&\times \left[\int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} g_1(u, x_n(u), y_n(u), x_n(u - \tau_1(u)), y_n(u - \tau_2(u))) du \right. \\
&- \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} r_1(u) x_n(u - \tau_1(u)) du \\
&\left. + \int_t^{t+T} \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \int_{-\infty}^u a_1(u, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds du \right] \\
&= g_1(t, x_n(t), y_n(t), x_n(t - \tau_1(t)), y_n(t - \tau_2(t))) - r_1(t) x_n(t - \tau_1(t)) \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^t a_1(t, s) f_1(x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds + h(t) A_1(x_n, y_n)(t),
\end{aligned}$$

hence, for some positive constant D_1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(A_1(x_n, y_n))'(t)| \\
&\leq |g_1(t, x_n(t), y_n(t), x_n(t - \tau_1(t)), y_n(t - \tau_2(t)))| + |r_1(t)| |x_n(t - \tau_1(t))| \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^t |a_1(t, s)| |f_1(x_n(s), y_n(s))| ds + |h(t)| |A_1(x_n, y_n)(t)| \\
&\leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \eta_j + \sigma \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_j + \theta_1 + \theta_2 \right] M \leq D_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sup_{t \geq u} |r_1(t)| = \theta_1$, $\sup_{t \geq u} |h(t)| = \theta_2$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A_2(x_n, y_n))'(t) \\
&= \int_t^{t+T} [-b(t) G(t, u) + H^*(t, u)] \int_{-\infty}^u a_2(u, s) f_2(x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds du \\
&+ h_2(t) y_n(t - \tau_2(t)) - \int_t^{t+T} [b(t) (h_2(u) H(t, u) - r_2(u) G(t, u)) \\
&+ r_2(u) H^*(t, u)] y_n(u - \tau_2(u)) du,
\end{aligned}$$

hence, for some positive constant D_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(A_2(x_n, y_n))'(t)| \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+T} (|b(t)|G(t, u) + |H^*(t, u)|) \int_{-\infty}^u |a_2(u, s)| |f_2(x_n(s), y_n(s))| ds du \\
& + |h_2(t)| |y_n(t - \tau_2(t))| + \int_t^{t+T} [|b(t)|(|h_2(u)| |H(t, u)| + |r_2(u)|G(t, u)) \\
& + |r_2(u)| |H^*(t, u)|] |y_n(u - \tau_2(u))| du \\
& \leq (\delta\alpha + \gamma) L_2 M \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j + \theta M + T [\delta(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) + \beta\gamma] M \leq D_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|(A(x_n, y_n))'\| \leq D,$$

where $D = \max(D_1, D_2)$. Thus, the sequence $(A(x_n, y_n))$ is uniformly bounded and equi-continuous. The Arzela-Ascoli theorem implies that there exists a subsequence $(A(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}))$ of $(A(x_n, y_n))$ converges uniformly to a continuous T -periodic function. Thus, A is compact.

For all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \Omega_{x,y}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |B_1(x_1, y_1)(t) - B_1(x_2, y_2)(t)| \\
& = \left| \frac{c_1(t)x_1(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} - \frac{c_1(t)x_2(t - \tau_1(t))}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} \right| \\
& = \left| \frac{c_1(t)}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} \right| |x_1(t - \tau_1(t)) - x_2(t - \tau_1(t))| \\
& \leq \beta_1 |x_1(t - \tau_1(t)) - x_2(t - \tau_1(t))|,
\end{aligned}$$

hence B_1 is contraction because $\beta_1 < 1$. And

$$\begin{aligned}
& |B_2(x_1, y_1)(t) - B_2(x_2, y_2)(t)| \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) |g_2(u, x_1(u), y_1(u), x_1(u - \tau_1(u)), y_1(u - \tau_2(u))) \\
& \quad - g_2(u, x_2(u), y_2(u), x_2(u - \tau_1(u)), y_2(u - \tau_2(u)))| du \\
& \leq T\alpha (\mu_1 |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| + \mu_3 |x_1(t - \tau_1(t)) - x_2(t - \tau_1(t))| \\
& \quad + \mu_2 |y_1(t) - y_2(t)| + \mu_4 |y_1(t - \tau_2(t)) - y_2(t - \tau_2(t))|) \\
& \leq T\alpha \left(\mu_1 \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| + \mu_3 \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t - \tau_1(t)) - x_2(t - \tau_1(t))| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mu_2 \max_{t \in [0, T]} |y_1(t) - y_2(t)| + \mu_4 \max_{t \in [0, T]} |y_1(t - \tau_2(t)) - y_2(t - \tau_2(t))| \right) \\
& \leq T\alpha \left((\mu_1 + \mu_3) \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| + (\mu_2 + \mu_4) \max_{t \in [0, T]} |y_1(t) - y_2(t)| \right) \\
& \leq T\alpha V \max \left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t) - x_2(t)|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} |y_1(t) - y_2(t)| \right),
\end{aligned}$$

hence B_2 is contraction because $T\alpha V < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |B(x_1, y_1)(t) - B(x_2, y_2)(t)| \\
& = \max \{ |B_1(x_1, y_1)(t) - B_1(x_2, y_2)(t)|, |B_2(x_1, y_1)(t) - B_2(x_2, y_2)(t)| \},
\end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|B(x_1, y_1) - B(x_2, y_2)\| \\
& \leq \max(\beta_1, T\alpha V) \max \left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t) - x_2(t)|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} |y_1(t) - y_2(t)| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence B is contraction. Thus, the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied and there is a $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x, y}$, such that $(x, y) = A(x, y) + B(x, y)$. \square

In the next theorem, we relax condition (2.2).

THEOREM 2.2. *Assume (1.2)-(1.4), (2.1) and (2.3)-(2.8) hold. Suppose that*

$$V_1 + V_2 \sum_{j=1}^4 \eta_j + L_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_j \leq 1, \text{ and } L_2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) \leq 1,$$

and $T\alpha V < 1$, where $V = \max(\mu_1 + \mu_3, \mu_2 + \mu_4)$. In addition, we assume the existence of continuous nondecreasing function W_2 such that

$$|f_2(x, y)| \leq f_2(|x|, y) \leq N_2 W_2(|x|),$$

for some positive constant N_2 , and for $u > 0$ we ask that

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{W_2(u)}{u} \leq \frac{1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) - \frac{TK_2\alpha}{M}}{L_2 N_2 \alpha}.$$

Then, (1.1) has a T -periodic solution.

PROOF. Set

$$(2.13) \quad M = \max \left\{ \frac{V_2 K_1 + L_1 M_1}{1 - V_1 - \beta_1}, \frac{(TK_2 + L_2 N_2 W_2(M)) \alpha}{1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)} \right\}.$$

Note that due to (2.12) we get

$$M \geq \frac{(TK_2 + L_2 N_2 W_2(M)) \alpha}{1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)},$$

and hence (2.12) is well defined. For any $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x,y}$, we obtain by the proof of the previous theorem that

$$|E_1(x, y)(t)| \leq M.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_2(x, y)(t)| \\ & \leq \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) |g_2(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u)))| du \\ & \leq TK_2 \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2(x, y)(t)| & \leq \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u |a_2(u, s)| f_2(|x(s)|, y(s)) ds du \\ & \quad + \int_t^{t+T} [|h_2(u)| |H(t, u)| + |r_2(u)| G(t, u)] |y(u - \tau_2(u))| du \\ & \leq N_2 W_2(M) \int_t^{t+T} G(t, u) \int_{-\infty}^u |a_2(u, s)| ds du \\ & \quad + M \int_t^{t+T} [|h_2(u)| |H(t, u)| + |r_2(u)| G(t, u)] du \\ & \leq L_2 N_2 \alpha W_2(M) + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) M. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of (2.13),

$$\frac{(TK_2 + L_2 N_2 W_2(M)) \alpha}{1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)} \leq M,$$

we have

$$(TK_2 + L_2 N_2 W_2(M)) \alpha \leq (1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)) M.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_2(x, y)(t)| & \leq TK_2 \alpha + L_2 N_2 \alpha W_2(M) + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) M \\ & \leq (1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)) M + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) M = M. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

In the next theorem, we relax condition (2.1).

THEOREM 2.3. Assume (1.2)-(1.4) and (2.2)-(2.8) hold. Suppose that

$$V_1 + V_2 \sum_{j=1}^4 \eta_j + L_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_j \leq 1 \text{ and } L_2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j + T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha) \leq 1,$$

and $T\alpha V < 1$, where $V = \max(\mu_1 + \mu_3, \mu_2 + \mu_4)$. In addition, we suppose the existence of continuous nondecreasing function W_1 such that

$$|f_1(x, y)| \leq f_1(x, |y|) \leq N_1 W_1(|y|),$$

for some positive constant N_1 , and for $u > 0$ we ask that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{W_1(u)}{u} \leq \frac{1 - V_1 - \beta_1 - \frac{V_2 K_1}{M}}{L_1 N_1}.$$

Then, (1.1) has a T -periodic solution.

PROOF. Set

$$(2.15) \quad M = \max \left\{ \frac{V_2 K_1 + L_1 N_1 W_1(M)}{1 - V_1 - \beta_1}, \frac{(TK_2 + L_2 M_2)\alpha}{1 - T(\theta\gamma + \beta\alpha)} \right\}.$$

Note that due to (2.14) we have

$$M \geq \frac{V_2 K_1 + L_1 N_1 W_1(M)}{1 - V_1 - \beta_1},$$

and hence (2.14) is well defined. For any $(x, y) \in \Omega_{x,y}$, we get by the proof of the previous theorem that

$$|E_2(x, y)(t)| \leq M.$$

Thus

$$|B_1(x, y)(t)| \leq \left| \frac{c_1(t)}{1 - \tau_1'(t)} \right| |x(t - \tau_1(t))| \leq \beta_1 M,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_1(x, y)(t)| \\ & \leq \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |r_1(u)| |x(u - \tau_1(u))| du \\ & + \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |g_1(u, x(u), y(u), x(u - \tau_1(u)), y(u - \tau_2(u)))| du \\ & + \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^t |a_1(u, s)| f_1(x(s), |y(s)|) ds du \\ & \leq M \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| |r_1(u)| du + K_1 \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| du \\ & + N_1 W_1(M) \int_t^{t+T} \left| \frac{e^{\int_u^{t+T} h(s) ds}}{1 - e^{\int_0^T h(s) ds}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^t |a_1(u, s)| ds du \\ & \leq V_1 M + V_2 K_1 + L_1 N_1 W_1(M). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of (2.15),

$$\frac{V_2 K_1 + L_1 N_1 W_1(M)}{1 - V_1 - \beta_1} \leq M, \text{ we have } V_2 K_1 + L_1 N_1 W_1(M) \leq (1 - V_1 - \beta_1) M.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1(x, y)(t)| &\leq \beta_1 M + V_1 M + V_2 K_1 + L_1 N_1 W_1(M) \\ &\leq \beta_1 M + V_1 M + (1 - V_1 - \beta_1) M = M. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

EXAMPLE 2.1. Consider the coupled nonlinear integro-differential system (2.16)

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = h(t)x(t) + g_1(t, x(t), y(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), y(t - \tau_2(t))) \\ \quad + c_1(t)x'(t - \tau_1(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^t a_1(t, s)f_1(x(s), y(s))ds, \\ y''(t) + p(t)y'(t) + q(t)y(t) = g_2(t, x(t), y(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), y(t - \tau_2(t))) \\ \quad + c_2(t)y'(t - \tau_2(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^t a_2(t, s)f_2(x(s), y(s))ds, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= \frac{1}{\pi}, \quad q(t) = \frac{1}{10^3}, \quad c_1(t) = \frac{2}{10^8} \sin(t), \quad c_2(t) = \frac{3}{10^8} \sin(t), \\ \tau_1(t) &= 2\pi, \quad \tau_2(t) = 4\pi, \quad a_1(t, s) = \frac{1}{10^8} e^{-t+s}, \quad a_2(t, s) = \frac{1}{10^9} e^{-t+s}, \\ f_1(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{3}{10^2} \sin(x_1) + \frac{6}{10^3} \cos(x_2), \quad h(t) = \frac{1}{10^2}, \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{7}{10^3} \cos(x_1) + \frac{5}{10^2} \sin(x_2), \quad T = 2\pi, \\ g_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= \frac{2}{10^5} \sin(x_1) + \frac{5}{10^5} \cos(x_2) + \frac{7}{10^5} \sin(x_3 + x_4), \\ g_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= \frac{3}{10^5} \sin(x_1 + x_2) + \frac{4}{10^5} \cos(x_3) + \frac{8}{10^5} \sin(x_4). \end{aligned}$$

The conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, then (2.16) has a 2π -periodic solution.

3. Conclusion

In the current paper, we have studied the existence of periodic solutions for a system of coupled nonlinear integro-differential equations with variable delays. We have presented the existence theorems for the problem (1.1) under some sufficient conditions due to the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. The main results have been well illustrated with the help of an example.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and good advice.

References

1. M. Adivar and Y. N. Raffoul, Existence of periodic solutions in totally nonlinear delay dynamic equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **2009**(1) (2009), 1–20.
2. A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Existence of periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear neutral differential equation with functional delay, *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, **2012**(31) (2012), 1-9.
3. A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Existence of periodic solutions for a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation with variable delay, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, **3**(2) (2014), 191-197.
4. A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Existence of positive periodic solutions for a nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable delay, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, **12** (2012), 94-101.
5. A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions in totally nonlinear dynamic equations with functional delay on a time scale, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, **68**(4) (2010), 349-359.
6. A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions for a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation with variable delay, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **2011**(28) (2011), 1-7.
7. E. Biçer and C. Tunç, On the existence of periodic solutions to nonlinear neutral differential equations of first order with multiple delays, *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences*, **52**(1) (2015), 89-94.
8. T. A. Burton, Liapunov functionals, fixed points, and stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem, *Nonlinear Studies*, **9** (2001), 181-190.
9. H. Deham, Existence of periodic solutions for second order nonlinear integro-differential equations with variable delay, *Canad. J. Appl. Math.*, **2**(1) (2020), 36-44.
10. H. Deham and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions for nonlinear differential equation with functional delay, *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, **15**(4) (2008), 635-642.
11. H. Gabsi, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, Existence of positive periodic solutions of neutral nonlinear differential systems with variable delays, *Open J. Math. Anal.*, **3**(2) (2019), 19-31.
12. R. Khemis, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, Existence of periodic solutions for a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation by the Krasnoselskii's fixed point technique, *Le Matematiche*, **LXXII** (2017), 145-156.
13. Y. Liu and W. Ge, Positive periodic solutions of nonlinear duffing equations with delay and variable coefficients, *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.*, **20** (2004), 235-255.
14. B. Mansouri, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, Existence of positive periodic solutions for two types of third-order nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable coefficients, *Differential Equations and Control Processes*, **2018**(3) (2018), 46-63.
15. B. Mansouri, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, Periodicity and stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations by Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, *CUBO A Mathematical Journal*, **19**(3) (2017), 15-29.
16. M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for a system of nonlinear neutral functional differential equations with two functional delays, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **63** (2014), 409-424.
17. Y. N. Raffoul, Analysis of periodic and asymptotically periodic solutions in nonlinear coupled Volterra integro-differential systems, *Turk. J. Math.*, **42** (2018), 108-120.
18. D. R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
19. C. Tunç, On the existence of periodic solutions of functional differential equations of the third order, *Appl. Comput. Math.*, **15**(2) (2016), 189–199.
20. C. Tunç, New results on the existence of periodic solutions for Rayleigh equation with state-dependent delay, *J. Math. Fundam. Sci.*, **45**(2) (2013), 154–162.
21. C. Tunç and O. Tunç, On the stability, integrability and boundedness analyses of systems of integro-differential equations with time-delay retardation, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, **115**(3) (2021), 115, 1–17.
22. Y. Wang, H. Lian, and W. Ge, Periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear functional differential equation, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **20** (2007), 110-115.

Received by editors 7.8.2021; Revised version 22.3.2022; Available online 29.3.2022.

B. MANSOURI, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANNABA UNIVERSITY,
P.O. BOX 12, ANNABA, 23000, ALGERIA

Email address: **`mansouri.math@yahoo.fr`**

A. ARDJOUNI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUK
AHRAS, P.O. BOX 1553, SOUK AHRAS, 41000, ALGERIA

Email address: **`abd_ardjouni@yahoo.fr`**

A. DJOUDI, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANNABA UNIVERSITY,
P.O. BOX 12, ANNABA, 23000, ALGERIA

Email address: **`adjoudi@yahoo.com`**