

POSITIVE SOLUTION FOR m -POINT IMPULSIVE TIME-SCALE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS ON THE HALF-LINE

Aycañ Sinanoğlu and İlkay Yaslan Karaca

ABSTRACT. This paper uses Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative theorem to study the existence of at least one positive solution of m -point impulsive time-scale boundary value problems on the half-line. An example is given to demonstrate our main result.

1. Introduction

The theory of impulsive differential equations describe processes with experience a sudden change of their state at certain moments. Impulsive differential equations have become more important in recent years in some mathematical models of real processes and phenomena studied in physics, chemical technology, population dynamics, biotechnology and economics. For the introduction of the basic theory of impulsive differential equations see [3, 4, 16, 19] and the references therein. In the last few years boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations and impulsive differences equations have received much attention [7, 8, 11, 12, 17, 24] Especially, the study of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales has also attracted much attention since it proves an unifying structure for differential equations in the continuous cases and finite difference equations in the discrete cases, see [2, 9, 10, 15, 18, 21] and references therein. Some basic definitions and theorems on time scales can be found in the books [5, 6]. In recent years, there are a few authors studied the existence of positive solutions for time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals. We refer the reader to [13, 14, 22, 23]. Due to the fact that an infinite interval is noncompact, the discussion about boundary value

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B18; 34B37; 34K10.

Key words and phrases. impulsive boundary value problems, positive solutions, time scales.
Communicated by Daniel A. Romano.

problem on the half-line is more complicated. There is not work on positive solutions for m - point impulsive time-scale boundary value problem on the half line expect that in [13, 20]. Hence, these results can be considered as a contribution to this field.

solutions of the following second-order m -point impulsive boundary value problem (IBVP):

$$(1.1) \begin{cases} y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = f(t, y(t), y^\Delta(t), (Ty)(t), (Sy)(t)), & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}'_{++}, \\ y(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y^\Delta(\xi_i), & y^\Delta(\infty) = \beta y^\Delta(0), \\ y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ y^\Delta(t_k^+) - y^\Delta(t_k^-) = \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

where \mathbb{T} is a time scale, $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{m-2} \in \mathbb{T}$, $\sigma(0) < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-2} < \infty$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots$, $t_k \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbb{R}'_{++} = \mathbb{R}_{++} - \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \dots\}$, $f \in \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+]$, $I_k, \bar{I}_k \in \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{R}_{++}, \mathbb{R}_+]$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m - 2$),

$$(Ty)(t) = \int_0^t D(t, s)y(s)\Delta s, \quad (Sy)(t) = \int_0^\infty E(t, s)y(s)\Delta s.$$

$D \in \mathcal{C}[B, \mathbb{R}_+]$, $B = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ : t \geq s\}$, $E \in \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+]$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, and $\mathbb{R}_{++} = (0, \infty)$.

Throughout this paper we assume that following conditions hold:

$$(H1) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^t D(t, s)\Delta s < \infty, \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^\infty E(t, s)\Delta s < \infty \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{t' \rightarrow t} \int_0^\infty |E(t', s) - E(t, s)| s \Delta s = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}'_+.$$

In this case, let

$$d^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^t D(t, s)\Delta s, \quad e^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^\infty E(t, s)\Delta s.$$

(H2) There exist $a, b \in \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{R}_{++}, \mathbb{R}_+]$, $G \in \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{R}_{++}, \mathbb{R}_+]$, $H \in \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+]$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, v, w, z) \leq a(t)G(u) + b(t)H(v, w, z) \quad \forall t, u, v \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \forall w, z \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and

$$a_r^* = \int_0^\infty a(t)G_r(t)\Delta t < \infty, \quad b^* = \int_0^\infty b(t)\Delta t < \infty;$$

for any $r > 0$, where

$$G_r(t) = \max \left\{ G(u) : \beta^{-2}r \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \leq u \leq r \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \right\}.$$

$\eta_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that

$$I_k(v) \leq t_k \bar{I}_k(v) \leq t_k \eta_k F(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$$

and

$$\bar{\eta} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k \eta_k < \infty \text{ and, consequently, } \eta^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k \leq t_1^{-1} \bar{\eta} < \infty.$$

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give some preliminaries lemmas. In section 3, we give the proof of necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of at least one positive solution of IBVP (1.1). In section 4, an example is also presented to illustrate our main result. The results are even new for the difference equations and differential equations as well as for dynamic equations on time scales.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, to state the main result of this paper, we need the following lemmas. Let

$$PC[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}] = \{y : y \text{ is a real function on } \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ such that } y(t) \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_k, \text{ left continuous at } t = t_k, \text{ and } y(t_k^+) \text{ exists, } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$

$$PC^\Delta[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}] = \{y \in PC[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}] : y^\Delta(t) \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_k, y^\Delta(t_k^+) \text{ and } y^\Delta(t_k^-) \text{ exist for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \text{ and}$$

$$BPC^\Delta[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}] = \{y \in PC^\Delta[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}] : \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|y(t)|}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} < \infty, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |y^\Delta(t)| < \infty\}.$$

It is clear that $BPC^\Delta[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}]$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|y\| = \max\{\|y\|_1, \|y\|_2\}$$

where

$$\|y\|_1 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|y(t)|}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i}, \quad \|y\|_2 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |y^\Delta(t)|.$$

Let

$$W = \{y \in BPC^1[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}] : y(t) \geq 0, y^\Delta(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

and

$$Q = \left\{ y \in BPC^1[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}] : \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \geq \beta^{-1} \|y\|_1, \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} y^\Delta(t) \geq \beta^{-1} \|y\|_2 \right\}.$$

Obviously, W and Q are two cones in the space $BPC^\Delta[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}]$ and $Q \subset W$. Let

$$Q_+ = \{y \in Q : \|y\| > 0\}, \quad Q_{pq} = \{u \in Q : p \leq \|y\| \leq q\}$$

for $q > p > 0$.

LEMMA 2.1. For $y \in Q$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \|y\|_1 \geq \beta^{-1}\|y\|_2, \quad \|y\|_2 \geq \beta^{-1}\|y\|_1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \beta^{-1}\|y\| \leq \|y\|_1 \leq \|y\|, \quad \beta^{-1}\|y\| \leq \|y\|_2 \leq \|y\|,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \beta^{-2}\|y\| \leq \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \|y\|, \quad \beta^{-2}\|y\| \leq y^\Delta(t) \leq \|y\|.$$

PROOF. Since

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \int_0^t y^\Delta(s) \Delta s + y(0) = \int_0^t y^\Delta(s) \Delta s + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y^\Delta(\xi_i) \\ &\geq y^\Delta(0) \left[t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right], \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \geq y^\Delta(0).$$

By definition of Q and the fact that y^Δ is nondecreasing, we have

$$\beta^{-1}\|y\|_2 \leq \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \Delta(t) = y^\Delta(0) \leq \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \|y\|_1,$$

i.e.,

$$\beta^{-1}\|y\|_2 \leq \|y\|_1.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \int_0^t y^\Delta(s) \Delta s + y(0) \leq t y^\Delta(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y^\Delta(\xi_i) \\ &\leq \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} y^\Delta(t). \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} y^\Delta(t).$$

By using definition of Q , we get

$$\beta^{-1}\|y\|_1 \leq \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} y^\Delta(t).$$

So,

$$\beta^{-1}\|y\|_1 \leq \|y\|_2.$$

Thus (2.1) inequality is shown.

By (2.1), we have

$$\|y\| \leq \max \left\{ \|y\|_1, \beta \|y\|_1 \right\} = \beta \|y\|_1,$$

and

$$\|y\| \leq \max \left\{ \beta \|y\|_2, \|y\|_2 \right\} = \beta \|y\|_2,$$

i.e.,

$$\beta^{-1} \|y\| \leq \|y\|_1 \leq \|y\|, \quad \beta^{-1} \|y\| \leq \|y\|_2 \leq \|y\|.$$

Hence (2.2) inequality is shown.

Finally, we show (2.3) inequality. By definition of Q and (2.2), we get

$$\beta^{-2} \|y\| \leq \beta^{-1} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \|y\|,$$

and

$$\beta^{-2} \|y\| \leq \beta^{-1} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} y^\Delta(t) \leq y^\Delta(t) \leq \|y\|,$$

i.e.,

$$\beta^{-2} \|y\| \leq \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \|y\|, \quad \beta^{-2} \|y\| \leq y^\Delta(t) \leq \|y\|,$$

This completes the proof. □

We consider operator A defined by

(2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} (Ay)(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \left[\frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right\} + \int_0^{\xi_i} f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_k < \xi_i} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \Big] + \frac{t}{\beta - 1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right] + \int_0^t (t - s) f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_k < t} [(t - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) + I_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-))]. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we write $J_1 = [0, t_1]$ and $J_k = (t_{k-1}, t_k]$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$).

LEMMA 2.2. *If conditions (H1)-(H3) are satisfied, then operator defined by (2.4) is continuous operator from Q_+ into Q ; moreover, for any $q > p > 0$, $A(Q_{pq})$ relatively compact.*

PROOF. Let $y \in Q$, and $\|y\| = r$. Then $r > 0$ and by (2.3),

$$(2.5) \quad \beta^{-2}r \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \leq y(t) \leq r \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right), \quad \beta^{-2}r \leq y^\Delta(t) \leq r \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

By conditions (H1), (H2) and (2.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad f(t, y(t), y^\Delta(t), (Ty)(t), (Sy)(t)) \leq a(t)G_r(t) + H_r b(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad H_r = \max \left\{ G(v, w, z) \mid \beta^{-2}r \leq v \leq r, 0 \leq w \leq d^*r, 0 \leq z \leq e^*r \right\},$$

which implies the convergence of the infinite integral

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^\infty f(t, y(t), y^\Delta(t), (Ty)(t), (Sy)(t)) \Delta t$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^\infty f(t, y(t), y^\Delta(t), (Ty)(t), (Sy)(t)) \Delta t \leq a_r^* + H_r b^*.$$

On the other hand, (H3) and (2.5), we have

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq \eta_k F(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq \eta_k M_r$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad M_r = \max \left\{ F(v) \mid \beta^{-2}r \leq v \leq r \right\}$$

which implies the convergence of the infinite series

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-))$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty M_r \eta_k = M_r \sum_{k=1}^\infty \eta_k = M_r \eta^*.$$

In addition, from (2.4) we get

$$(2.14)$$

$$\frac{(Ay)(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \geq \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(t, y(t), y^\Delta(t), (Ty)(t), (Sy)(t)) \Delta t + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right].$$

Hence, by (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Ay)(t) &\leq \frac{1}{\beta-1} \left[\beta \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s \right. \\
 &+ \beta \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) + \beta t \int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s \\
 &+ \left. \beta t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right] \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i + t \right) \int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s \right. \\
 &+ \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i + t \right) \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

so,

(2.15)

$$\frac{(Ay)(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right].$$

On the other hand, by (2.4), we have

(2.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Ay)^\Delta(t) &= \frac{1}{\beta-1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right] \\
 &+ \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{t_k < t} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)),
 \end{aligned}$$

so,

(2.17)

$$(Ay)^\Delta(t) \geq \frac{1}{\beta-1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right],$$

and

(2.18)

$$(Ay)^\Delta(t) \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right].$$

It follows from (2.4), (2.14) – (2.18), we get

$$\beta^{-1}\|Ay\|_1 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{(Ay)(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i}$$

$$\beta^{-1}\|(Ay)^\Delta\|_2 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, \infty)} (Ay)^\Delta(t)$$

and, by (2.9), (2.13), (2.15) and (2.18),

$$(2.19) \quad \|Ay\|_1 \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}(a_r^* + H_r b^* + M_r \eta^*) < \infty$$

$$(2.20) \quad \|Ay\|_2 \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}(a_r^* + H_r b^* + M_r \eta^*) < \infty.$$

Thus, we have proved that A maps Q_+ into Q . Now, we are going to show that A is continuous. Let $y_n, \bar{y} \in Q_+$, $\|y_n - \bar{y}\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Write $\|\bar{y}\| = 2\bar{r}$, ($\bar{r} > 0$) and we may assume that

$$\bar{r} \leq \|y_n\| \leq 3\bar{r} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

So, (2.3) implies

$$(2.21) \quad \beta^{-2}\bar{r} \leq \frac{y_n(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq 3\bar{r}, \quad \beta^{-2}\bar{r} \leq \frac{\bar{y}(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq 3\bar{r},$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad \beta^{-2}\bar{r} \leq y_n^\Delta(t) \leq 3\bar{r}, \quad \beta^{-2}\bar{r} \leq \bar{y}^\Delta(t) \leq 3\bar{r}.$$

By (2.4), we have

(2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|(Ay_n)(t) - (A\bar{y})(t)|}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} &\leq \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \left[\int_0^\infty |f(s, y_n(s), y_n^\Delta(s), (Ty_n)(s), (Sy_n)(s)) \right. \\ &\quad - f(s, \bar{y}(s), \bar{y}^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y})(s), (S\bar{y})(s))| \Delta s \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \sum_{t_k < t} |I_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - I_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.23) that

(2.24)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ay_n - A\bar{y}\|_1 &\leq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - I_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \left[\int_0^\infty |f(s, y_n(s), y_n^\Delta(s), (Ty_n)(s), (Sy_n)(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. f(s, \bar{y}(s), \bar{y}^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y})(s), (S\bar{y})(s))| \Delta s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

(2.25)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, y_n(t), y_n^\Delta(t), (Ty_n)(t), (Sy_n)(t)) &\rightarrow f(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}^\Delta(t), (T\bar{y})(t), (S\bar{y})(t)) \\ \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \end{aligned}$$

and similar to (2.6) and observing (2.21), we have for $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,

(2.26)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, y_n(t), y_n^\Delta(t), (Ty_n)(t), (Sy_n)(t)) - f(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}^\Delta(t), (T\bar{y})(t), (S\bar{y})(t))| \\ \leq 2[a(t)\bar{G}(t) + \bar{H}b(t)], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{G}(t) &= \max \left\{ G(u) : \beta^{-2\bar{r}} \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \leq u \leq 3\bar{r} \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \right\}, \\ \bar{H} &= \max \left\{ G(v, w, z) : \beta^{-2\bar{r}} \leq v \leq 3\bar{r}, 0 \leq w \leq 3d^*\bar{r}, 0 \leq z \leq 3e^*\bar{r} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that condition (H2) implies

$$(2.27) \quad a_{pq}^* = \int_0^\infty a(t)G_{pq}(t)\Delta t < \infty$$

for any $q > p > 0$, where

$$(2.28) \quad G_{pq}(t) = \max \left\{ G(u) : \beta^{-2p} \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \leq u \leq q \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \right\}$$

So,

$$\int_0^\infty a(t)\bar{G}(t)\Delta t < \infty$$

and therefore

$$(2.29) \quad 2 \int_0^\infty [a(t)\bar{G}(t) + \bar{H}b(t)]\Delta t < \infty.$$

It follows from (2.25), (2.26), (2.29) and the dominated convergence theorem that

(2.30)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty |f(t, y_n(t), y_n^\Delta(t), (Ty_n)(t), (Sy_n)(t)) - f(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}^\Delta(t), (T\bar{y})(t), (S\bar{y})(t))| \Delta t = 0.$$

On the other hand, similar to (2.10) and observing (2.22), we have

$$(2.31) \quad \bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq \bar{M}_r \eta_k, \quad \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq \bar{M}_r \eta_k \quad (k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

where

$$\bar{M}_r = \max\{F(v) : \beta^{-2}\bar{r} \leq v \leq 3\bar{r}\}.$$

For any given $\epsilon > 0$, by (2.31) and condition (H3), we can choose a positive integer k_0 such that

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty t_k \bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) < \epsilon \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty t_k \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-)) < \epsilon$$

so,

$$(2.32) \quad \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty I_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) < \epsilon \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$(2.33) \quad \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty I_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-)) < \epsilon,$$

$$(2.34) \quad \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq \frac{1}{t_1} \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty t_k \bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) < t_1^{-1} \epsilon$$

and

$$(2.35) \quad \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq \frac{1}{t_1} \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty t_k \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-)) < t_1^{-1} \epsilon.$$

It is clear that

$$I_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) \rightarrow I_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) \rightarrow \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so, we can choose a positive integer n_0 such that

$$(2.36) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |I_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - I_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > n_0$$

and

$$(2.37) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |\bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n > n_0.$$

From (2.32) – (2.37), we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - I_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| < 3\epsilon, \quad \forall n > n_0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| < (1 + 2t_1^{-1})\epsilon, \quad \forall n > n_0,$$

hence

$$(2.38) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum |I_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - I_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| = 0$$

and

$$(2.39) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| = 0.$$

It follows from (2.24), (2.30), (2.38) and (2.39),

$$(2.40) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ay_n - A\bar{y}\|_1 = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (2.4) it is easy to get

$$(2.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ay_n - A\bar{y}\|_2 &\leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \left[\int_0^\infty |f(s, y_n(s), y_n^\Delta(s), (Ty_n)(s), (Sy_n)(s)) \right. \\ &\quad - f(s, \bar{y}(s), \bar{y}^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y})(s), (S\bar{y})(s))| \Delta s \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\bar{I}_k(y_n^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}^\Delta(t_k^-))| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So, (2.30), (2.39) and (2.41) imply

$$(2.42) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ay_n - A\bar{y}\|_2 = 0.$$

It follows from (2.40) and (2.42) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ay_n - A\bar{y}\| = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the continuity of A is proved.

Finally, we prove that $A(Q_{pq})$ is relatively compact, where $q > p > 0$ are arbitrarily given. Let $\bar{y}_n \in Q_{pq}$, ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Then, by (2.3),

$$(2.43) \quad \beta^{-2}p \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \leq \bar{y}_n(t) \leq q \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right), \quad \beta^{-2}p \leq \bar{y}_n^\Delta(t) \leq q.$$

Similar to (2.6), (2.10), (2.19) and observing (2.43), we have

$$(2.44) \quad f(t, \bar{y}_n(t), \bar{y}_n^\Delta(t), (T\bar{y}_n)(t), (S\bar{y}_n)(t)) \leq a(t)G_{pq}(t) + H_{pq}b(t)$$

$$(2.45) \quad \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}_n^\Delta(t_k)) \leq M_{pq}\eta_k \quad (k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and

$$(2.46) \quad \|A\bar{y}_n\|_1 \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}(a_{pq}^* + H_{pq}b^* + M_{pq}\eta^*)$$

where $G_{pq}(t)$ ve a_{pq}^* are defined by (2.27) and (2.28), respectively, and

$$H_{pq} = \max\{G(v, w, z) \mid \beta^{-2}p \leq v \leq q, \quad 0 \leq w \leq d^*q, \quad 0 \leq z \leq e^*q\}$$

$$M_{pq} = \max\{F(v) \mid \beta^{-2}p \leq v \leq q\}.$$

From (2.46) we see that functions $\{(A\bar{y}_n)(t)\}$ $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are uniformly bounded on $[0, r]$ for any $r \geq 0$. On the other hand, by (2.4) and (2.44) – (2.46) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq (A\bar{y}_n)(t') - (A\bar{y}_n)(t) \\ &= \frac{t' - t}{\beta - 1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, \bar{y}_n(s), \bar{y}_n^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y}_n)(s), (S\bar{y}_n)(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}_n^\Delta(t_k)) \right] \\ &+ (t' - t) \int_0^t f(s, \bar{y}_n(s), \bar{y}_n^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y}_n)(s), (S\bar{y}_n)(s)) \Delta s \\ &+ \int_t^{t'} (t' - s) f(s, \bar{y}_n(s), \bar{y}_n^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y}_n)(s), (S\bar{y}_n)(s)) \Delta s \\ &\leq \frac{t' - t}{\beta - 1} (a_{pq}^* + H_{pq}b^* + M_{pq}\eta^*) + (t' - t)(a_{pq}^* + H_{pq}b^*) \\ &+ (t_k - t_{k-1}) \int_t^{t'} [a(s)G_{pq}(s) + H_{pq}b(s)] \Delta s, \quad \forall t, t' \in J_k, \quad t' > t \quad (k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that functions $\{\gamma_n(t)\}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) defined by (for any fixed k)

$$\gamma_n(t) = \begin{cases} (A\bar{y}_n)(t), & \forall t \in J_k = (t_{k-1}, t_k], \\ (A\bar{y}_n)(t_{k-1}^+), & \forall t = t_{k-1}. \end{cases}$$

are equicontinuous on $\bar{J}_k = [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Consequently, by the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, $\{\gamma_n(t)\}$ has a subsequence which is convergent uniformly on J_k . So, functions $\{A\bar{y}_n(t)\}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) have a subsequence which is convergent uniformly on J_k . Now, by the diagonal method, we can choose a subsequence $\{A\bar{y}_{n_i}(t)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) of $\{A\bar{y}_n(t)\}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that $\{A\bar{y}_{n_i}(t)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is convergent uniformly on each J_k ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

Let

$$(2.47) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t) = \bar{\gamma}(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Similarly, we can discuss $\{(A\bar{y}_n)^\Delta(t)\} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$. Similar to (2.20) and by (2.16), we have

$$(2.48) \quad \|A\bar{y}_n\|_2 \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}(a_{pq}^* + H_{pq}b^* + M_{pq}\eta^*), \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (A\bar{y}_n)^\Delta(t') - (A\bar{y}_n)^\Delta(t) &= \int_t^{t'} f(s, \bar{y}_n(s), \bar{y}_n^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y}_n)(s), (S\bar{y}_n)(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \int_t^{t'} [a(s)G_{pq}(s) + H_{pq}b(s)]\Delta s \\ &\quad \forall t, t' \in J_k, \quad t' > t \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

and by a similar method, we can prove that $\{(A\bar{y}_n)^\Delta(t)\} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ has a subsequence which is convergent uniformly on each $J_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$. For the sake of simplicity of notation, we may assume that $\{(A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t)\} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ itself converges uniformly on each $J_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$. Let

$$(2.49) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t) = \tau(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

By (2.47), (2.49) and the uniform convergence, we have

$$(2.50) \quad \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(t) = \tau(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and so, $\bar{\gamma} \in PC^\Delta[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}]$. From (2.46) and (2.48), we get

$$\|\bar{\gamma}\|_1 \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}(a_{pq}^* + H_{pq}b^* + M_{pq}\eta^*)$$

and

$$\|\bar{\gamma}\|_2 \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}(a_{pq}^* + H_{pq}b^* + M_{pq}\eta^*).$$

Consequently, $\bar{\gamma} \in BPC^\Delta[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}]$ and $\|\bar{\gamma}\| \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}(a_{pq}^* + H_{pq}b^* + M_{pq}\eta^*)$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily given. Choose a sufficiently large positive number μ such that

$$(2.51) \quad \int_\mu^\infty a(t)G_{pq}(t)\Delta t + H_{pq} \int_\mu^\infty b(t)\Delta t + M_{pq} \sum_{t_k \geq \mu} \eta_k < \epsilon.$$

For any $\mu < t < \infty$, we have, by (2.16), (2.44) and (2.45),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t) - (A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(\mu) \\ &= \int_\mu^t f(s, \bar{y}_{n_i}(s), \bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(s), (T\bar{y}_{n_i})(s), (S\bar{y}_{n_i})(s))\Delta s + \sum_{\mu \leq t_k < t} \bar{I}_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \\ &\leq \int_\mu^\infty a(s)G_{pq}(s)\Delta s + H_{pq} \int_\mu^\infty b(s)\Delta s + M_{pq} \sum_{\mu \leq t_k < t} \eta_k \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

which implies by virtue of (2.51) that

$$(2.52) \quad (A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t) - (A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(\mu) < \epsilon, \quad \forall t > \mu \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.52) and observing (2.49) and (2.50), we get

$$(2.53) \quad 0 \leq \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(t) - \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(\mu) \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall t > \mu$$

On the other hand, since $\{(A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t)\}$ converges uniformly to $\bar{\gamma}^\Delta(t)$ on $[0, \mu]$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a positive integer i_0 such that

$$(2.54) \quad |(A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t) - \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(t)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, \mu], \quad i > i_0$$

It follows from (2.52) – (2.54) that

$$(2.55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t) - \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(t)| &\leq |(A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t) - (A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(\mu)| + |(A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(\mu) - \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(\mu)| \\ &\quad + |\bar{\gamma}^\Delta(\mu) - \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(t)| < 3\epsilon, \quad \forall t > \mu, \quad i > i_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.54) and (2.55), we have

$$|(A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(t) - \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(t)| \leq 3\epsilon, \quad \forall i > i_0,$$

hence

$$(2.56) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|A\bar{y}_{n_i} - \bar{\gamma}\|_2 = 0$$

It is clear that (2.4) implies

$$(2.57) \quad (A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t_k^+) - (A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t_k^-) = I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \quad (k, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

By virtue of the uniformity of convergence of $\{(A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t)\}$, we see that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t_k^-) = \bar{\gamma}(t_k^-) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t_k^+) = \bar{\gamma}(t_k^+)$$

so, (2.57) implies that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

exist and

$$\bar{\gamma}(t_k^+) - \bar{\gamma}(t_k^-) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Let

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) = \psi_k, \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then $\psi_k \geq 0$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) and

$$(2.58) \quad \bar{\gamma}(t_k^+) - \bar{\gamma}(t_k^-) = \psi_k, \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

By (2.45) and condition (H3), we have

$$(2.59) \quad I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \leq M_{pq} t_k \eta_k \quad (k, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

so,

$$(2.60) \quad \psi_k \leq M_{pq} t_k \eta_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

For any given $\epsilon > 0$, choose a sufficiently large positive integer k_0 such that

$$(2.61) \quad \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \psi_k \leq M_{pq} \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} t_k \eta_k < \epsilon$$

and then, choose another sufficiently large integer i_1 such that

$$(2.62) \quad |I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \psi_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{k_0}, \quad \forall i > i_1 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, k_0).$$

It follows from (2.59) – (2.62) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \psi_k| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \psi_k| + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \psi_k \\ &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon = 3\epsilon, \quad \forall i > i_1, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(2.63) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \psi_k| = 0.$$

By (2.57) and (2.58), we have

$$(A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t) = (A\bar{y}_{n_i})(0) + \int_0^t (A\bar{y}_{n_i})^\Delta(s) \Delta s + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-))$$

and

$$\bar{\gamma}(t) = \bar{\gamma}(0) + \int_0^t \bar{\gamma}^\Delta(s) \Delta s + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \psi_k$$

which imply

$$(2.64)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t) - \bar{\gamma}(t)| &\leq \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i\right) \|A\bar{y}_{n_i} - \bar{\gamma}\|_2 \\
&+ \left(t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \psi_k| \\
&+ \frac{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} |(A\bar{y}_{n_i})(0) - \bar{\gamma}(0)|
\end{aligned}$$

(2.64) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|(A\bar{y}_{n_i})(t) - \bar{\gamma}(t)|}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} &\leq \|A\bar{y}_{n_i} - \bar{\gamma}\|_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k(\bar{y}_{n_i}^\Delta(t_k^-)) - \psi_k| \\
&+ \frac{|(A\bar{y}_{n_i})(0) - \bar{\gamma}(0)|}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.56), (2.63) and (2.64), we have

$$(2.65) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|A\bar{y}_{n_i} - \bar{\gamma}\|_1 = 0.$$

It follows from (2.56) and (2.65) that $\|A\bar{y}_{n_i} - \bar{\gamma}\| \rightarrow 0$, $i \rightarrow \infty$, and the relative compactness of $A(Q_{pq})$ is proved. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let (H1)-(H3) be satisfied. Then $y \in Q_+ \cap C^{\Delta^2}[\mathbb{R}_{++}, \mathbb{R}]$ is a positive solution of IBVP (1.1) if and only if $y \in Q_+$ is a solution of the following impulsive integral equation*

(2.66)

$$\begin{aligned}
y(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \left[\frac{1}{\beta-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right\} \right. \\
&+ \int_0^{\xi_i} f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds + \sum_{t_k < \xi_i} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \Big] \\
&+ \frac{t}{\beta-1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right] \\
&+ \int_0^t (t-s) f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s)) ds \\
&+ \sum_{t_k < t} [(t-t_k) \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) + I_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-))].
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First, suppose that $y \in Q_+ \cap C^{\Delta^2}[\mathbb{R}_{++}, \mathbb{R}]$ is a solution of IBVP (1.1). It is easy to see by integration of (1.1) that

$$(2.67) \quad y^\Delta(t) = y^\Delta(0) + \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s))\Delta s + \sum_{t_k < t} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)).$$

Under conditions (H1) – (H3), we have shown in the proof of Lemma 2.2 that the infinite integral (2.8) and the infinite series (2.12) are convergent. So, by taking limits as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides of (2.67) and using the relation $y^\Delta(\infty) = \beta y^\Delta(0)$, we get

$$(2.68) \quad y^\Delta(0) = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s))\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right] \Delta s$$

Integrating (2.67) from 0 to t , we obtain

$$(2.69) \quad \begin{aligned} y(t) &= y(0) + ty^\Delta(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^s f(\tau, y(\tau), y^\Delta(\tau), (Ty)(\tau), (Sy)(\tau))\Delta r \Delta s \\ &+ \sum_{t_k < t} I_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) + \int_0^t \sum_{t_k < s} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-))\Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Now, substituting (2.68) into (2.67) and using the relation $y(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y^\Delta(\xi_i)$

we see that $y(t)$ satisfies equation (2.66).

Conversely, if $y \in Q_+$ is a solution of equation (2.66), then direct differentiation of (2.66) gives

$$(2.70) \quad \begin{aligned} y^\Delta(t) &= \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left[\int_0^\infty f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s))\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)) \right] \\ &+ \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y^\Delta(s), (Ty)(s), (Sy)(s))\Delta s + \sum_{t_k < t} \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = f(t, y(t), y^\Delta(t), (Ty)(t), (Sy)(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}'_{++}.$$

So, $y \in Q_+ \cap C^{\Delta^2}[\mathbb{R}_{++}, \mathbb{R}]$ and

$$y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = I_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)), \quad y^\Delta(t_k^+) - y^\Delta(t_k^-) = \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)), \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

By (2.66) and (2.70), we have $y(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y^\Delta(\xi_i)$. Moreover, taking limits as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.70), we see that $y^\Delta(\infty) = \beta y^\Delta(0)$.

Hence, $y(t)$ is a positive solution of IBVP (1.1). □

3. Main Results

In this section, we show that IBVP (1.1) has at least one position solution by using fixed point theorem which is given below.

THEOREM 3.1. (Leray – Schauder Nonlinear Alternative Theorem) [1]
Let C be a convex subset of a Banach space, U be a open subset of C with $0 \in U$. Then every completely continuous map $T : \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ has at least one of the two following properties:

- (E₁) *There exist an $u \in \bar{U}$ such that $Tu = u$.*
- (E₂) *There exist an $u \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $u = \lambda Tu$.*

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume Let that conditions (H1)–(H3) hold and the following condition is satisfied: there exist positive constant r such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \left[a_r^* + H_r b^* + M_r \eta^* \right] \leq r.$$

where a_r^* , b^* and η^* are defined (H2) and (H3), and H_r and M_r are two equalities below (2.7) and (2.11), respectively. Then the IBVP (1.1) has a positive solution $y = y(t)$ such that

$$0 < \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq r, \quad 0 < y^\Delta(t) \leq r, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

PROOF. Let us consider the following IBVP:

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \lambda(t)f(t, y(t), y^\Delta(t), (Ty)(t), (Sy)(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}'_{++}, \\ y(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y^\Delta(\xi_i), \quad y^\Delta(\infty) = \beta y^\Delta(0), \\ y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = I_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ y^\Delta(t_k^+) - y^\Delta(t_k^-) = \bar{I}_k(y^\Delta(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{array} \right.$$

We know that solving (3.2) is equivalent to solving the fixed point problem $y = \lambda Ay$. Assume that

$$\Omega_r = \{y \in Q : \|y\| < r\}.$$

We claim that there is no $y \in \partial\Omega_r$ such that $y = \lambda Ay$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. The proof is immediate, because if there exist $y \in \partial\Omega_r$ with $y = \lambda Ay$, then by (2.19), (2.20),

we have for $y \in Q \cap \partial\Omega_r$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t)\| = \|\lambda(Ay)(t)\| &\leq \lambda \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} [a_r^* + H_r b^* + M_r \eta^*] \\ &< \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} [a_r^* + H_r b^* + M_r \eta^*], \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\|y\| = \|\lambda Ay\| < \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} [a_r^* + H_r b^* + M_r \eta^*]$. This yields that

$$r < \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} [a_r^* + H_r b^* + M_r \eta^*],$$

which is contradiction with (3.1). Then by means of Theorem 3.1, the IBVP (1.1) has a positive solution $y = y(t)$ such that

$$0 < \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq r, \quad 0 < y^\Delta(t) \leq r, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

□

4. Example

To illustrate how our main result can be used in practice we present an example.

EXAMPLE 4.1. In IBVP (1.1), suppose that $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, $\beta = 2$, $m = 4$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{5}$, $\mu_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $t_k = 2k$, i.e.,

(4.1)

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} y''(t) &= \frac{3^{-2t}}{100\sqrt{t+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y(t)+1}} + \ln(1+y'(t)) \right) \\ &+ \frac{3^{-3t}}{90\sqrt{t+1}} \left(\int_0^t (1+ts+s^2)^{-1} y(s) ds + \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \sin^2(t-s) y(s) ds \right) \\ \Delta y|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(v), \quad \Delta y'|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(v), \\ y(0) &= \frac{1}{2} y' \left(\frac{1}{5} \right) + \frac{1}{2} y' \left(\frac{1}{6} \right), \\ y'(\infty) &= 2y'(0) \end{aligned} \right.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D(t, s) &= (1 + ts + s^2)^{-1}, \quad E(t, s) = e^{-s} \sin^2(t - s) \\ f(t, u, v, w, z) &= \frac{3^{-2t}}{100\sqrt{t+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{u+1}} + \ln(1+v) \right) + \frac{3^{-3t}}{90\sqrt{t+1}} (w + z), \\ I_k(v) &= \frac{2 \cdot 3^{-k}}{\sqrt{v+2}} 2k, \quad \bar{I}_k(v) = \frac{e^{-k} + 3^{-k}}{\sqrt{v+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that condition (H1) is satisfied and $d^* \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $e^* \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, u, v, w, z) &\leq a(t)G(u) + b(t)H(v, w, z) \\ &= \frac{3^{-2t}}{100\sqrt{t+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u+1}} + \frac{3^{-2t}}{\sqrt{t+1}} \left[\frac{1}{100} \ln(1+v) + \frac{1}{90}(w+z) \right], \end{aligned}$$

so, condition (H2) is satisfied for

$$a(t) = \frac{3^{-2t}}{100\sqrt{t+1}}, \quad G(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u+1}}, \quad b(t) = \frac{3^{-2t}}{\sqrt{t+1}}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H_r(t) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{r(t+1)+4}} \\ a_r^* &= \int_0^\infty a(t)G_r(t)dt < \frac{1}{50} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \int_0^\infty \frac{3^{-2t}}{t+1} dt < \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot 0,01487 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b^* = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-3t}}{\sqrt{t+1}} dt < \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \int_1^\infty 3^{-2t} dt = 0,850 < \infty.$$

It is obvious that condition (H3) is satisfied for $\eta_k = e^{-k} + 3^{-k}$ ($\eta^* = \frac{1}{e-1} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,0819$) and $F(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v+1}}$.

Since

$$\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} [a_r^* + G_r b^* + M_r \gamma^*] < 1,7661 < r = 4,$$

the condition (3.1) is satisfied. Then all conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold. Hence, we find that the IBVP (4.1) has at least one positive solution $y = y(t)$ such that

$$0 < \frac{y(t)}{t + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \leq 4, \quad 0 < y^\Delta(t) \leq 4, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and M. Meehan. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra, D. O'Regan and A. Ouahab. Second order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales. *Funct. Differ. Equ.*, **11**(3-4)(2004), 223–234.
- [3] D. Bainov and P. Simeonov. *Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications*, vol. 66 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific Technical, Harlow, UK, 1993.
- [4] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and S. Ntouyas. *Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions*, vol. 2 of *Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications*. Hindawi, Publishing Corporation, New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson. *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Birkhause, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [6] M. Bohner and A. Peterson. *Eds. Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*. Birkhauser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.
- [7] H. Chen and J. Sun. An application of variational method to second-order impulsive differential equations on the half line. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **217**(5)(2010), 1863–1869.

- [8] Y. Chen and B. Qin. Multiple positive solutions for first-order impulsive singular integro-differential equations on the half line in a Banach space. *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2013:69** (2013), 24pp.
- [9] F. T. Fen and I. Y. Karaca. Existence of positive solutions for fourth-order impulsive integral boundary value problems on time scales. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **40**(16)(2017), 5727–5741.
- [10] W. Guan, D. G. Li and S. H. Ma. Nonlinear first-order periodic boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales. *Electron. J. Differ. Eq.*, **2012**(2012) no.198, 1-8.
- [11] D. Guo. Multiple positive solutions for first order impulsive superlinear integro-differential equations on the half line. *Acta Math. Sci.*, **31B**(3)(2011), 1167–1178.
- [12] D. Guo. Existence of two positive solutions for a class of second order impulsive singular integro-differential equations on the half line. *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2015:76**(2015), 23pp.
- [13] I. Y. Karaca and A. Sinanoglu. Positive solutions of impulsive time-scale boundary value problems with p - Laplacian on the half line. *Filomat*, **33**(2)(2019), 415–433.
- [14] I. Y. Karaca and F. Tokmak. Existence of three positive solutions for m -point time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals. *Dynam. Syst. Appl.*, **20**(2011), 355–368.
- [15] E. R. Kaufmann, N. Kosmatov and Y. N. Raffoul. Impulsive dynamic equations on time scale. *Electron. J. Differ. Eq.*, **2008 (67)**(2008), 1–9.
- [16] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov and P. Simeonov. *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations, vol.6 of Series in Modern Applied Mathematics*. Word Scientific Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, USA, 1989.
- [17] J. L. Li and J. H. Shen. Positive solutions for first-order difference equation with impulses. *Int. J. Difference Equ.*, **1**(2)(2006), 225–239.
- [18] P. Li, H. Chen and Y. Wu. Multiple positive solutions of n - point boundary value problems p - Laplacian impulsive dynamic equations on time scales. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **60**(9)(2010), 2572–2582.
- [19] A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk. *Impulsive Differential Equations, vol.14 of Word Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A: Monographs and Theatises* Word Scientific. River Edge, NJ, USA, 1995.
- [20] I. Yaslan and Z. Haznedar. Existence of positive solutions for second-order impulsive time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals. *Filomat*, **28**(10)(2014), 2163–2173.
- [21] I. Yaslan. Existence of positive solutions for second-order impulsive boundary value problems on time scales. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **13**(4)(2016), 1613–1624.
- [22] X. Zhao and W. Ge. Multiple positive solutions for time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals. *Acta Appl. Math.*, **106**(2)(2009), 265–273.
- [23] X. Zhao and W. Ge. Unbounded positive solutions for m - point time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **33**(1-2)(2010), 103–123.
- [24] J. Xiao, J. J. Nieto and Z. Luo. Multiple positive solutions of the singular boundary value problem for second-order impulsive differential equations on the half-line. *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2010**, Article ID 281908, 13 pages.

Received by editors 23.04.2020; Revised version 22.08.2020; Available online 31.08.2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EGE UNIVERSITY, IZMIR, TURKEY
E-mail address: aycansinanoglu@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EGE UNIVERSITY, IZMIR, TURKEY
E-mail address: ilkay.karaca@ege.edu.tr