

## ON $\Lambda_g$ -NORMAL AND $\Lambda_g$ -REGULAR IN IDEAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Ilangovan Rajasekaran and Ochanan Nethaji

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper, we introduce  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal,  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal and  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular spaces using  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets and give characterizations and properties of such spaces. Also, characterizations of normal, mildly normal,  $\Lambda_g$ -normal and regular spaces are given.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1986, Maki [9] introduced the notion of  $\Lambda$ -sets in topological spaces. A  $\Lambda$ -set is a set  $A$  which is equal to its kernel (= saturated set) i.e to the intersection of all open supersets of  $A$ . Arenas et al [1] introduced and investigated the notion of  $\lambda$ -closed sets by involving  $\Lambda$ -sets and closed sets. Caldas et al [2] introduced and investigated the notion of  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets in topological spaces and established several properties of such sets.

Quite Recently, Ravi et al [17] introduced and investigated the notions of  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets and  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets in ideal topological spaces.

In this paper, we define  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal,  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal and  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular spaces using  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets and give characterizations and properties of such spaces. Also, characterizations of normal, mildly normal,  $\Lambda_g$ -normal and regular spaces are given.

By a space, we always mean a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with no separation properties assumed. If  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $cl(A)$  and  $int(A)$  will, respectively, denote the closure and interior of  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (1) regular open ([20]) if  $A = int(cl(A))$ .
- (2) an  $\alpha$ -open ([14]) if  $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D10, 54D15.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\Lambda_g$ -closed,  $\Lambda_g$ -normal space,  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed and  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set.

- (3) preopen ([11]) if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(cl(A))$ .

The complement of above sets are called their respective closed sets.

The  $\alpha$ -closure of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , denoted by  $\alpha cl(A)$ , is defined to be the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -closed sets containing  $A$ . The  $\alpha$ -interior of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , denoted by  $\alpha \text{int}(A)$ , is defined to be the union of all  $\alpha$ -open sets contained in  $A$ . The family of all  $\alpha$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , denoted by  $\tau^\alpha$ , is a topology on  $X$  finer than  $\tau$ . The interior of a subset  $A$  in  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$  is denoted by  $\text{int}_\alpha(A)$ . The closure of a subset  $A$  in  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$  is denoted by  $cl_\alpha(A)$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (1)  $g$ -closed ([8]) if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open.
- (2)  $rg$ -closed ([16]) if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is regular open.
- (3)  $\alpha g$ -closed ([10]) if  $cl_\alpha(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open.
- (4)  $\lambda$ -closed ([1]) if  $A = L \cap D$ , where  $L$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set and  $D$  is a closed set.
- (5)  $\Lambda_g$ -closed ([2]) if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\lambda$ -open.

The complement of above sets are called their respective open sets.

DEFINITION 1.3. A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (1)  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -closed ([17]) if  $cl_\alpha(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\lambda$ -open.
- (2)  $r\alpha g$ -closed ([15]) if  $cl_\alpha(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is regular open.
- (3)  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -open (resp.  $r\alpha g$ -open) if  $X - A$  is  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -closed (resp.  $r\alpha g$ -closed).

REMARK 1.1. A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (1) every closed set is  $\Lambda_g$ -closed but not conversely ([2]).
- (2) every  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set is  $g$ -closed but not conversely ([2]).
- (3) every closed set is  $\lambda$ -closed but not conversely ([1, 2]).

An ideal  $I$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a nonempty collection of subsets of  $X$  which satisfies

- (1)  $A \in I$  and  $B \subseteq A$  imply  $B \in I$  and
- (2)  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I$  imply  $A \cup B \in I$  ([7]).

Given a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with an ideal  $I$  on  $X$  and if  $\wp(X)$  is the set of all subsets of  $X$ , a set operator  $(\cdot)^* : \wp(X) \rightarrow \wp(X)$ , called a local function ([7]) of  $A$  with respect to  $\tau$  and  $I$  is defined as follows: for  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x)\}$  where  $\tau(x) = \{U \in \tau : x \in U\}$ . We will make use of the basic facts about the local functions ([6], Theorem 2.3) without mentioning it explicitly.

A Kuratowski closure operator  $cl^*(\cdot)$  for a topology  $\tau^*(I, \tau)$ , called the  $\star$ -topology, finer than  $\tau$  is defined by  $cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*(I, \tau)$  ([21]). When there is no chance for confusion, we will simply write  $A^*$  for  $A^*(I, \tau)$  and  $\tau^*$  for  $\tau^*(I, \tau)$ .  $\text{int}^*(A)$  will denote the interior of  $A$  in  $(X, \tau^*)$ . If  $I$  is an ideal on  $X$ , then  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called an ideal topological space.  $\mathcal{N}$  is the ideal of all nowhere dense subsets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is

- $\tau^*$ -closed ([6]) or  $\star$ -closed (resp.  $\star$ -dense in itself [5]) if  $A^* \subseteq A$  (resp.  $A \subseteq A^*$ ).

An ideal  $I$  is said to be codense ([4]) if  $\tau \cap I = \{\phi\}$ .  $I$  is said to be completely codense ([18]) if  $PO(X) \cap I = \{\phi\}$ , where  $PO(X)$  is the family of all preopen sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Every completely codense ideal is codense but not conversely ([18]).

The following lemmas and Definitions will be useful in the sequel.

DEFINITION 1.4. ([19]) A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a mildly normal space if disjoint regular closed sets are separated by disjoint open sets.

DEFINITION 1.5. A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be

- (1)  $I_g$ -closed ([3]) if  $A^* \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open.
- (2)  $I_{rg}$ -closed ([13]) if  $A^* \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is regular open.
- (3)  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed ([17]) if  $A^* \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\lambda$ -open.

The complement of above sets are called their respective open sets.

REMARK 1.2. ([17])

- (1) every  $\star$ -closed set is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.
- (2) every closed set is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.

THEOREM 1.1 ([18]). Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space. If  $I$  is completely codense, then  $\tau^* \subseteq \tau^\alpha$ .

THEOREM 1.2 ([17]). Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space where  $I$  is completely codense. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is normal.
- (2) For any disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (3) For any closed set  $A$  and open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq V$ .

LEMMA 1.1 ([17]). If  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an ideal topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ , then the following hold.

- (1) If  $I = \{\phi\}$ , then  $A$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed  $\iff A$  is  $\Lambda_g$ -closed.
- (2) If  $I = \mathcal{N}$ , then  $A$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed  $\iff A$  is  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -closed.

THEOREM 1.3 ([17]). If  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an ideal topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ , then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $A$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.
- (2)  $cl^*(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\lambda$ -open in  $X$ .

THEOREM 1.4 ([17]). Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open  $\iff F \subseteq int^*(A)$  whenever  $F$  is  $\lambda$ -closed and  $F \subseteq A$ .

THEOREM 1.5 ([17]). Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space. Then every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed  $\iff$  every  $\lambda$ -open set is  $\star$ -closed.

LEMMA 1.2 ([13]). Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space. A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is  $I_{rg}$ -open  $\iff F \subseteq int^*(A)$  whenever  $F$  is regular closed and  $F \subseteq A$ .

## 2. On $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal spaces

DEFINITION 2.1. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\Lambda_g$ -normal, if for every disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. An ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal space if for every pair of disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

REMARK 2.1. (1) Every open set is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set. (2) Every normal space is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal.

EXAMPLE 2.1. (1) Let

$$X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\} \text{ and } I = \{\phi, \{b\}\}.$$

Then

$$\phi^* = \phi, (\{a, b\})^* = \{a\}, (\{b, c\})^* = \{c\}, (\{b\})^* = \phi \text{ and } X^* = \{a, c\}.$$

Here every  $\lambda$ -open set is  $\star$ -closed and so, by Theorem 1.5, every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed and hence every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open. This implies that  $(X, \tau, I)$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal. Now  $\{a\}$  and  $\{c\}$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  which are not separated by disjoint open sets and so  $(X, \tau)$  is not normal.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal.
- (2) For every closed set  $A$  and an open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq V$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $A$  be a closed set and  $V$  be an open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  and  $X - V$  are disjoint closed sets, there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $W$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $X - V \subseteq W$ . Again,  $U \cap W = \phi$  implies that  $U \cap int^*(W) = \phi$  and so  $cl^*(U) \subseteq X - int^*(W)$ . Since  $X - V$  is  $\lambda$ -closed and  $W$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open,  $X - V \subseteq W$  implies that  $X - V \subseteq int^*(W)$  and so  $X - int^*(W) \subseteq V$ . Thus, we have  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq X - int^*(W) \subseteq V$  which proves (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . By hypothesis, there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq X - B$ . If  $W = X - cl^*(U)$ , then  $U$  and  $W$  are the required disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets containing  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. So,  $(X, \tau, I)$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal.  $\square$

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space where  $I$  is completely codense. If  $(X, \tau, I)$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal, then it is a normal space.

PROOF. It is obvious from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

By Theorem 2.1 gives a characterizations of  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal spaces. Theorem 2.2 shows that the two concepts coincide for completely codense an ideal topological spaces.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal space. If  $F$  is closed and  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ , then there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ ,  $A \subseteq X - F$  where  $X - F$  is  $\lambda$ -open. Therefore, by hypothesis,  $cl(A) \subseteq X - F$ . Since  $cl(A) \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal, there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ . Thus  $A \subseteq U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a normal space with  $I = \{\emptyset\}$ . If  $F$  is a closed set and  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set disjoint from  $F$ , then there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be a normal ideal topological space where  $I = \mathcal{N}$ . If  $F$  is a closed set and  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set disjoint from  $F$ , then there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .*

The Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 give properties of normal spaces. If  $I = \{\emptyset\}$  in Theorem 2.3, then we have the Corollary 2.1, the proof of which follows from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 1.1, since  $\{\emptyset\}$  is a completely codense ideal. If  $I = \mathcal{N}$  in Theorem 2.3, then we have the Corollary 2.2, since  $\tau^*(\mathcal{N}) = \tau^\alpha$  and  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets coincide with  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -open sets.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space which is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -normal. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *For every closed set  $A$  and every  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq int^*(U) \subseteq U \subseteq B$ .*
- (2) *For every  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set  $A$  and every open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq B$ .*

**PROOF.** (1) Let  $A$  be a closed set and  $B$  be a  $\Lambda_g$ -open set containing  $A$ . Then  $A \cap (X - B) = \emptyset$ , where  $A$  is closed and  $X - B$  is  $\Lambda_g$ -closed. By Theorem 2.3, there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $X - B \subseteq V$ . Since  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , we have  $U \subseteq X - V$ . By Theorem 1.4,  $A \subseteq int^*(U)$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq int^*(U) \subseteq U \subseteq X - V \subseteq B$ . This proves (1).

(2) Let  $A$  be a  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set and  $B$  be an open set containing  $A$ . Then  $X - B$  is a closed set contained in the  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $X - A$ . By (1), there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $V$  such that  $X - B \subseteq int^*(V) \subseteq V \subseteq X - A$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq X - V \subseteq cl^*(X - V) \subseteq B$ . If  $U = X - V$ , then  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq B$  and so  $U$  is the required  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a normal space with  $I = \{\emptyset\}$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *For every closed set  $A$  and every  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists a  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq int(U) \subseteq U \subseteq B$ .*
- (2) *For every  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set  $A$  and every open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists a  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl(U) \subseteq B$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a normal space with  $I = \mathcal{N}$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) For every closed set  $A$  and every  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq \text{int}_\alpha(U) \subseteq U \subseteq B$ .
- (2) For every  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set  $A$  and every open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}_\alpha(U) \subseteq B$ .

The Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4 give a some properties of normal spaces. If  $I = \{\phi\}$  in Theorem 2.4, then we've the Corollary 2.3. If  $I = \mathcal{N}$  in Theorem 2.4, then we've the Corollary 2.4.

### 3. On $\Lambda_g I$ -normal spaces

DEFINITION 3.1. An ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal if for each pair of disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

REMARK 3.1. (1) Every closed set is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed. (2) Every  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal space is normal.

The next Example 3.1 show that the reverse direction of the above Remark 3.1(2) is not true.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and  $I = \wp(X)$ . Every  $\lambda$ -open set is  $\star$ -closed and so every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed. Now  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $B = \{c\}$  are disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets, but they are not separated by disjoint open sets. So  $(X, \tau, I)$  is not  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal. But  $(X, \tau, I)$  is normal.

THEOREM 3.1. In an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal.
- (2) For every  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set  $A$  and every  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}(U) \subseteq B$ .

PROOF. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

If  $I = \{\phi\}$ , then  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal spaces coincide with  $\Lambda_g$ -normal spaces and so if we take  $I = \{\phi\}$ , in Theorem 3.1, then we have the characterization for  $\Lambda_g$ -normal spaces.

COROLLARY 3.1. In a space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is  $\Lambda_g$ -normal.
- (2) For every  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set  $A$  and every  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $B$  containing  $A$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}(U) \subseteq B$ .

THEOREM 3.2. In an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal.
- (2) For each pair of disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $A$  such that  $\text{cl}(U) \cap B = \phi$ .

- (3) For each pair of disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , there exist an open set  $U$  containing  $A$  and an open set  $V$  containing  $B$  such that  $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \phi$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed subsets of  $X$ . Then the  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set  $A$  is contained in the  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $X - B$ . By Theorem 3.1, there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl(U) \subseteq X - B$ . Therefore,  $U$  is the required open set containing  $A$  such that  $cl(U) \cap B = \phi$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed subsets of  $X$ . By hypothesis, there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $A$  such that  $cl(U) \cap B = \phi$ . Also,  $cl(U)$  and  $B$  are disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets of  $X$ . By hypothesis, there exists an open set  $V$  of  $X$  containing  $B$  such that  $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \phi$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). The proof is clear.  $\square$

If  $I = \{\phi\}$ , in Theorem 3.2, then we have a characterizations for  $\Lambda_g$ -normal spaces.

The Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 give a characterizations of  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal spaces.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is  $\Lambda_g$ -normal.
- (2) For each pair of disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $A$  such that  $cl(U) \cap B = \phi$ .
- (3) For each pair of disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , there exist an open set  $U$  containing  $A$  and an open set  $V$  containing  $B$  such that  $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \phi$ .

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal space. If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed subsets of  $X$ , then there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $cl^*(A) \subseteq U$  and  $cl^*(B) \subseteq V$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets. By Theorem 3.2(3), there exist an open set  $U$  containing  $A$  and an open set  $V$  containing  $B$  such that  $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \phi$ . Since  $A$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed,  $A \subseteq U$  implies that  $cl^*(A) \subseteq U$ . Similarly  $cl^*(B) \subseteq V$ .  $\square$

If  $I = \{\phi\}$ , in Theorem 3.3, then we have a property of disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets in  $\Lambda_g$ -normal spaces.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\Lambda_g$ -normal space. If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -closed subsets of  $X$ , then there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(B) \subseteq V$ .

THEOREM 3.4. Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an  $\Lambda_g I$ -normal space. If  $A$  is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set and  $B$  is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set containing  $A$ , then there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq cl^*(A) \subseteq U \subseteq int^*(B) \subseteq B$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $A$  is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set and  $B$  is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set containing  $A$ . Since  $A$  and  $X - B$  are disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets, by Theorem 3.3, there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $cl^*(A) \subseteq U$  and  $cl^*(X - B) \subseteq V$ . Now,

$X - \text{int}^*(B) = \text{cl}^*(X - B) \subseteq V$  implies that  $X - V \subseteq \text{int}^*(B)$ . Again,  $U \cap V = \phi$  implies  $U \subseteq X - V$  and so  $A \subseteq \text{cl}^*(A) \subseteq U \subseteq X - V \subseteq \text{int}^*(B) \subseteq B$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\Lambda_g$ -normal space. If  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set and  $B$  is a  $\Lambda_g$ -open set containing  $A$ , then there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U \subseteq \text{int}(B) \subseteq B$ .*

If  $I = \{\phi\}$ , in Theorem 3.4, then the Corollary 3.4.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is normal.
- (2) For any disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (3) For any closed set  $A$  and open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists a  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}(U) \subseteq V$ .

The Theorem 3.5 gives a characterization of normal spaces in terms of  $\Lambda_g$ -open sets which a Theorem 1.2 if  $I = \{\phi\}$ .

The rest of the section is devoted to the study of mildly normal spaces in terms of  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets,  $I_g$ -open sets and  $I_{rg}$ -open sets.

**REMARK 3.2.** (1) Every  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set is  $I_g$ -closed. (2) Every  $I_g$ -closed set is  $I_{rg}$ -closed.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space where  $I$  is completely codense. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is mildly normal.
- (2) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (3) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $I_g$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (4) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $I_{rg}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (5) For a regular closed set  $A$  and a regular open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $I_{rg}$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq V$ .
- (6) For a regular closed set  $A$  and a regular open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $\star$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq V$ .
- (7) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $\star$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint regular closed sets. Since  $X$  is mildly normal, there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ . But every open set is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set. This proves (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). The proof follows from the fact that every  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set is an  $I_g$ -open set.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). The proof follows from the fact that every  $I_g$ -open set is an  $I_{rg}$ -open set.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Suppose  $A$  is a regular closed and  $B$  is a regular open set containing  $A$ . Then  $A$  and  $X - B$  are disjoint regular closed sets. By hypothesis, there



exist disjoint  $I_{rg}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $X - B \subseteq V$ . Since  $X - B$  is regular closed and  $V$  is  $I_{rg}$ -open, by Lemma 1.2,  $X - B \subseteq \text{int}^*(V)$  and so  $X - \text{int}^*(V) \subseteq B$ . Again,  $U \cap V = \phi$  implies that  $U \cap \text{int}^*(V) = \phi$  and so  $\text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq X - \text{int}^*(V) \subseteq B$ . Hence  $U$  is the required  $I_{rg}$ -open set such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq B$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $A$  be a regular closed set and  $V$  be a regular open set containing  $A$ . Then there exists an  $I_{rg}$ -open set  $G$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq G \subseteq \text{cl}^*(G) \subseteq V$ . By Lemma 1.2,  $A \subseteq \text{int}^*(G)$ . If  $U = \text{int}^*(G)$ , then  $U$  is an  $\star$ -open set and  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq \text{cl}^*(G) \subseteq V$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq V$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint regular closed subsets of  $X$ . Then  $X - B$  is a regular open set containing  $A$ . By hypothesis, there exists an  $\star$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq X - B$ . If  $V = X - \text{cl}^*(U)$ , then  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint  $\star$ -open sets of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint regular closed sets of  $X$ . Then there exist disjoint  $\star$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ . Since  $I$  is completely codense, by Theorem 1.1,  $\tau^* \subseteq \tau^\alpha$  and so  $U, V \in \tau^\alpha$ . Hence  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(U))) = G$  and  $B \subseteq V \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(V))) = H$ .  $G$  and  $H$  are the required disjoint open sets containing  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. This proves (1).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is mildly normal.
- (2) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (3) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $\alpha g$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (4) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $r\alpha g$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (5) For a regular closed set  $A$  and a regular open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $r\alpha g$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}_\alpha(U) \subseteq V$ .
- (6) For a regular closed set  $A$  and a regular open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $\alpha$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}_\alpha(U) \subseteq V$ .
- (7) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

If  $I = \mathcal{N}$ , in the above Theorem 3.6, then  $I_{rg}$ -closed sets coincide with  $r\alpha g$ -closed sets and so we've the Corollary 3.5.

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is mildly normal.
- (2) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_g$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (3) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $g$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
- (4) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint  $rg$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

- (5) For a regular closed set  $A$  and a regular open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an  $rg$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl(U) \subseteq V$ .
- (6) For a regular closed set  $A$  and a regular open set  $V$  containing  $A$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq cl(U) \subseteq V$ .
- (7) For disjoint regular closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

If  $I = \{\phi\}$  in the above Theorem 3.6, we get the Corollary 3.6.

The Theorem 3.6 gives a characterizations of mildly normal spaces. Corollary 3.5 gives a characterizations of mildly normal spaces in terms of  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -open,  $ag$ -open and  $rag$ -open sets. Corollary 3.6 gives a characterizations of mildly normal spaces in terms of  $\Lambda_g$ -open,  $g$ -open and  $rg$ -open sets. The Lemma 1.2 is essential to prove Theorem 3.6.

#### 4. On $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular spaces

DEFINITION 4.1. An ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular space if for each pair consisting of a point  $x$  and a closed set  $B$  not containing  $x$ , there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

REMARK 4.1. (1) Every regular space is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular. (2) Every open set is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open.

The next Example 4.1 show that the reverse direction of the above Remark 4.1(1) is not true.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Consider the ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  of Example 2.1. Then  $\phi^* = \phi$ ,  $(\{b\})^* = \phi$ ,  $(\{a, b\})^* = \{a\}$ ,  $(\{b, c\})^* = \{c\}$  and  $X^* = \{a, c\}$ . Since every  $\lambda$ -open set is  $\star$ -closed, every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed and so every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open. This implies that  $(X, \tau, I)$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular. Now,  $\{c\}$  is a closed set not containing  $a \in X$ ,  $\{c\}$  and  $a$  are not separated by disjoint open sets. So  $(X, \tau, I)$  is not regular.

THEOREM 4.1. In an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular.
- (2) For every open set  $V$  containing  $x \in X$ , there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq V$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $V$  be an open subset such that  $x \in V$ . Then  $X - V$  is a closed set not containing  $x$ . Therefore, there exist disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets  $U$  and  $W$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $X - V \subseteq W$ . Now,  $X - V \subseteq W$  implies that  $X - V \subseteq int^*(W)$  and so  $X - int^*(W) \subseteq V$ . Again,  $U \cap W = \phi$  implies that  $U \cap int^*(W) = \phi$  and so  $cl^*(U) \subseteq X - int^*(W)$ . Therefore,  $x \in U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq V$ . This proves (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $B$  be a closed set not containing  $x$ . By hypothesis, there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq X - B$ . If  $W = X - cl^*(U)$ , then  $U$  and  $W$  are disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets such that  $x \in U$  and  $B \subseteq W$ . This proves (1).  $\square$

The Theorem 4.1 gives a characterization of  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular spaces.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular,  $T_1$ -space where  $I$  is completely codense, then  $X$  is regular.*

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be a closed set not containing  $x \in X$ . By Theorem 4.1, there exists an  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq cl^*(U) \subseteq X - B$ . Since  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space,  $\{x\}$  is  $\lambda$ -closed and so  $\{x\} \subseteq int^*(U)$ , by Theorem 1.4. Since  $I$  is completely codense,  $\tau^* \subseteq \tau^\alpha$  and so  $int^*(U)$  and  $X - cl^*(U)$  are  $\alpha$ -open sets. Now,  $x \in int^*(U) \subseteq int(cl(int(int^*(U)))) = G$  and  $B \subseteq X - cl^*(U) \subseteq int(cl(int(X - cl^*(U)))) = H$ . Then  $G$  and  $H$  are disjoint open sets containing  $x$  and  $B$  respectively. Therefore,  $X$  is regular.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$ -space, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is regular.
- (2) For every open set  $V$  containing  $x \in X$ , there exists an  $\Lambda_{g\alpha}$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq cl_\alpha(U) \subseteq V$ .

If  $I = \phi$  in Theorem 4.1, then the Corollary 4.1 which gives characterizations of regular spaces, the proof of Theorem 4.2.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$ -space, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is regular.
- (2) For every open set  $V$  containing  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $\Lambda_g$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq cl(U) \subseteq V$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If every  $\lambda$ -open subset of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is  $\star$ -closed, then  $(X, \tau, I)$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular.*

**PROOF.** Suppose every  $\lambda$ -open subset of  $X$  is  $\star$ -closed. Then by Theorem 1.5, every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed and hence every subset of  $X$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open. If  $B$  is a closed set not containing  $x$ , then  $\{x\}$  and  $B$  are the required disjoint  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets containing  $x$  and  $B$  respectively. Therefore,  $(X, \tau, I)$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular.  $\square$

The next Example 4.2 shows that the reverse direction of the above Theorem 4.3 is not true.

**EXAMPLE 4.2.** Consider the real line  $\mathcal{R}$  with the usual topology with  $I = \{\phi\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is regular,  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $I_{\Lambda_g}$ -regular. Obviously  $U = (0, 1)$  is  $\lambda$ -open being open in  $\mathcal{R}$ . But  $U$  is not  $\star$ -closed because, when  $I = \{\phi\}$ ,  $cl \star(U) = cl(U) = [0, 1] \neq U$ .

## References

1. F. G. Arenas, J. Dontchev and M. Ganster. On  $\lambda$ -sets and dual of generalized continuity. *Questions Answer Gen. Topology*, **15**(1997), 3–13.
2. M. Caldas, S. Jafari and T. Noiri. On  $\Lambda$ -generalized closed sets in topological spaces. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **118**(4)(2008), 337–343.
3. J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and T. Noiri. Unified operation approach of generalized closed sets via topological ideals. *Math. Japonica.*, **49**(3)(1999), 395–401.
4. J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. Rose. Ideal resolvability. *Topology and its Applications*, **93**(1999), 1–16.

5. E. Hayashi. Topologies defined by local properties. *Math. Ann.*, **156**(3)(1964), 205–215.
6. D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett. New topologies from old via ideals. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **97**(4)(1990), 295–310.
7. K. Kuratowski. *Topology, Vol. I*, New York, Academic Press, 1966.
8. N. Levine. Generalized closed sets in topology. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **19**(1)(1970), 89–96.
9. H. Maki. Generalized  $\Lambda$ -sets and the associated closure operator. *The special issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazusada IKEDA' Retirement*, 1. Oct. (1986), pp. 139–146.
10. H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran. Associated topologies of generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets and  $\alpha$ -generalized closed sets. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math.*, **15**(1994), 51–63.
11. A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb. On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings. *Proc. Math. Phy. Soc. Egypt*, **53**(1982), 47–53.
12. A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein and S. N. El-Deeb.  $\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -open mappings. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **41**(3-4)(1983), 213–218.
13. M. Navaneethakrishnan. *Ph. D Thesis*, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India, 2009.
14. O. Njastad. On some classes of nearly open sets. *Pacific J. Math.*, **15**(3)(1965), 961–970.
15. T. Noiri. Almost  $\alpha g$ -closed functions and separation axioms. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **82**(3)(1999), 193–205.
16. N. Palaniappan and K. C. Rao. Regular generalized closed sets. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **33**(2)(1993), 211–219.
17. O. Ravi, I. Rajasekaran, A. Thiripuram and R. Asokan.  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets in ideal topological spaces. *Journal of New Theory*, **5**(2015), 43–52.
18. V. Renuka Devi, D. Sivaraj and T. Tamizh Chelvam. Codense and completely codense ideals. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **108**(3)(2005), 197–205.
19. M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal. Mildly normal spaces. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **13**(1973), 27–31.
20. M. H. Stone. Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **41**(1937), 375–481.
21. R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *Set Topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1946.

Received by editors 07.10.2018; Revised version 15.04.2019; Available online 22.04.2019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TIRUNELVELI DAKSHINA MARA NADAR SANGAM COLLEGE,  
T. KALLIKULAM - 627 113, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* [sekarmelakkal@gmail.com](mailto:sekarmelakkal@gmail.com).

RESEARCH SCHOLAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, MADURAI  
KAMARAJ UNIVERSITY, MADURAI, TAMIL NADU, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* [jionetha@yahoo.com](mailto:jionetha@yahoo.com).