

## ON HOMODERIVATIONS AND COMMUTATIVITY OF RINGS

E. F. Al harfie and N. M. Muthana

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a ring admits a homoderivation  $h$ ,  $Z(R)$  is the center of  $R$ , and  $I$  be a nonzero left ideal. In this paper, we proved the commutativity of the ring  $R$  if  $h(xy) - xy \in Z(R)$  and  $h(xy) + xy \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a ring with a center  $Z(R)$ . The ring  $R$  is called a prime if  $aRb = 0$  either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  for all  $a, b \in R$  and is called semiprime ring if  $aRa = 0$  then  $a = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ . For any  $x, y \in R$  the symbol  $[x, y]$  will denote the commutator  $xy - yx$ . An element  $a \in R$  is called nilpotent if there exist a positive integer  $n$  such that  $a^n = 0$ . A prime ring is obviously semiprime and the center of a semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements. A mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be centralizing on  $R$  if  $[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$  and is said to be commuting on  $R$  if  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . A derivation on  $R$  is an additive mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . El-Sofy [4] defined a homderivation on  $R$  as an additive map  $h$  from  $R$  into itself satisfies  $h(xy) = h(x)h(y) + h(x)y + xh(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . For a positive integer  $n(x) > 1$  in such that  $f^{n(x)}(x) = 0$ , then a map  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is called zero-power valued for all  $x \in R$  [4].

### 2. Centralizing Homoderivations.

Bell and W. S. Martindale [2] studied the commutativity of rings admitting centralizing derivation. Our purpose in this section is to prove the commutativity of the rings with centralizing homoderivations.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T99.

*Key words and phrases.* Prime ring, Homederivation, Commutativity.

LEMMA 2.1 ([6], Lemma 4). *Let  $b$  and  $ab$  be in the center of a prime ring  $R$ . If  $b \neq 0$ , then  $a$  is in  $Z(R)$ .*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $I$  be a nonzero left ideal of a prime ring  $R$  and  $h$  be a nonzero homoderivation on  $R$ , then  $h$  is a nonzero on  $I$ .*

PROOF. If  $h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $h(rx) = 0$  and  $r \in R$ , it follows that  $h(r)x = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ ,  $x \in I$ . Hence  $h(R)I = 0$ , since  $R$  is a prime ring and  $I \neq 0$ , then  $h(R) = 0$  is a contradiction, so  $h$  is a nonzero mapping on  $I$ .  $\square$

El-Sofy [4] proved that a prime ring  $R$  of  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $I$  a nonzero right ideal of  $R$  and  $h$  be a nonzero homoderivation on  $R$  such that  $[x, d(x)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $h$  is commuting on  $I$ . Using other technique of proof, we proved the result for left ideal.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring of characteristics not 2,  $I$  be a nonzero left ideal of  $R$  and  $h$  be a nonzero homoderivation on  $R$  such that  $h$  is centralizing. Then  $h$  is commuting on  $I$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \in I$ . Then  $[x^2, h(x^2)] \in Z(R)$  by hypothesis. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x^2, h(x^2)] &= [x^2, h(x)h(x) + xh(x) + h(x)x] \\
 &= [x^2, h(x)h(x) + 2xh(x) - [x, h(x)]] \\
 &= [x^2, h(x)h(x) + 2xh(x)] + [x^2, -[x, h(x)]] \\
 &= [x^2, h(x)h(x) + 2xh(x)] \\
 &= [x^2, h(x)h(x)] + [x^2, 2xh(x)] \\
 &= x[x, h(x)h(x)] + [x, h(x)h(x)]x + x[x, 2xh(x)] + [x, 2xh(x)]x \\
 &= xh(x)[x, h(x)] + x[x, h(x)]h(x) + h(x)[x, h(x)]x + [x, h(x)]h(x)x \\
 &\quad + 2x^2[x, h(x)] + 2x[x, h(x)]x \\
 &= 2xh(x)[x, h(x)] + 2h(x)x[x, h(x)] + 4x^2[x, h(x)] \\
 &= 2x(x + h(x))[x, h(x)] + 2(h(x) + x)x[x, h(x)] \\
 &= (2x(x + h(x)) + 2(h(x) + x)x)[x, h(x)] \in Z(R) \\
 &= 2(2x^2 + h(x)x + xh(x))[x, h(x)] \in Z(R)
 \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.1, if  $[x, h(x)] \neq 0$ , then

$$2(2x^2 + h(x)x + xh(x)) \in Z(R)$$

$$\text{So } 2[2x^2 + h(x)x + xh(x), x] = 0$$

Since  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$

$$[2x^2 + h(x)x + xh(x), x] = 0$$

$$[h(x)x, x] + [xh(x), x] = 0$$

$$2x[h(x), x] = 0$$

$$x[h(x), x] = 0$$

$$x[h(x), x]^2 = 0$$

$$[x, h(x)]^3 = 0$$

Since the center of a semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, so

$$[x, h(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

So,  $h$  is commuting.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristics not 2,  $I$  be a nonzero left ideal of  $R$  and  $h$  be a nonzero homoderivation on  $R$  such that  $h$  is centralizing. Then  $h$  is commuting on  $I$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.2** ([4], Corollary 3.4.8). *Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristic not 2 and  $I$  a two sided ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a nonzero homoderivation  $h$  which is centralizing and zero-power valued on  $I$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

### 3. Commutative of Prime Ring

Ashraf and Nadeem Ur-Rehman [1] proved the commutativity of prime ring  $R$  admitting a derivation  $d$  that satisfies any one of the properties  $d(xy) - xy \in Z(R)$  and  $d(xy) + xy \in Z(R)$ , for all  $x, y$  in nonzero ideal  $I$ . Our purpose in this section is to prove a similar result regarding homoderivations.

**LEMMA 3.1.** ([6], Lemma 3) *If a prime ring  $R$  contains a commutative nonzero right ideal, then  $R$  is commutative.*

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a homderivation  $h$  which is zero-power valued on  $I$  such that  $h(xy) - xy \in Z(R)$ , for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**PROOF.** We have,  $h(xy) - xy \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . If  $h = 0$ , then  $xy \in Z(R)$ . Then,  $[xy, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , so,  $x[y, x] = 0$ . Replace  $y$  by  $yz$  where  $z \in R$ , we have  $xy[z, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . Then  $xRI[z, x] = 0$  for all  $x, z \in I$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring and  $I \neq 0$  then  $I[z, x] = 0$  for all  $x, z \in I$ . Then  $[z, x] = 0$  for all  $x, z \in I$ . By lemma 3.1,  $R$  is commutative.

If  $h \neq 0$ ,  $h(x)h(y) + xh(y) + h(x)y - xy \in Z(R)$ , replacing  $y$  by  $yz$ , we get

$$h(x)h(yz) + xh(yz) + h(x)yz - xyz \in Z(R)$$

$$h(x)h(y)h(z) + h(x)yh(z) + h(x)h(y)z + xh(y)h(z)$$

$$+xyh(z) + xh(y)z + h(x)yz - xyz \in Z(R)$$

$$[(h(x)h(y) + h(x)y + xh(y) + xy)h(z) + (h(x)h(y) + xh(y) + h(x)y - xy)z, z] = 0$$

$$[(h(xy) + xy)h(z), z] + [(h(xy) - xy)z, z] = 0$$

$$[(h(xy) + xy)h(z), z] = 0$$

Since  $h$  is zero-power valued, we get

$$[xyh(z), z] = 0$$

$$(3.1) \quad xy[h(z), z] + x[y, z]h(z) + [x, z]yh(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

For any  $y_1 \in I$ , replace  $x$  by  $y_1x$

$$y_1xy[h(z), z] + y_1x[y, z]h(z) + [y_1x, z]yh(z) = 0$$

$$y_1xy[h(z), z] + y_1x[y, z]h(z) + y_1[x, z]yh(z) + [y_1, z]xyh(z) = 0$$

$$y_1(xy[h(z), z] + x[y, z]h(z) + [x, z]yh(z)) + [y_1, z]xyh(z) = 0$$

From (3.1) we get ;

$$[y_1, z]xyh(z) = 0$$

$$[y_1, z]xRIh(z) = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is prime ring we get, either  $[y_1, z]x = 0$  or  $Ih(z) = 0$ . The set of  $z \in I$  for which these two properties hold are additive subgroups of  $I$  whose union is  $I$ . Therefore either  $Ih(z) = (0)$ , for all  $z \in I$  or  $[y_1, z]x = 0$ , for all  $x, y_1, z \in I$ .

If  $Ih(z) = 0$ , for all  $z \in I$ , then  $IRh(z) = (0)$ , for all  $z \in I$ . Since  $I \neq (0)$ , and  $R$  is prime, then  $h(z) = 0$ , for all  $z \in I$ . This implies that  $h(zr) = h(z)h(r) + zh(r) + h(z)r = 0$ , for all  $z \in I$ , and  $r \in R$ . Hence  $zh(r) = 0$  that is  $IRh(r) = (0)$ . Since  $I \neq 0$ , so,  $h = 0$ , this is a contradiction. On other hand if  $[y_1, z]x = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $[y_1, z]RI = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . By the primeness of  $R$  then  $[y_1, z] = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Hence  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a homoderivation  $h$  which is zero-power valued on  $I$  such that  $h(xy) + xy \in Z(R)$ , for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**PROOF.** If  $h$  is a homoderivation satisfying  $h(xy) + xy \in Z(R)$ , for all  $x, y \in I$ . Then  $(-h)(xy) - xy \in Z(R)$ . Then by Theorem 3.1,  $R$  is commutative.  $\square$

### References

- [1] M. Ashraf and N. Ur-Rehman. On derivation and commutativity in prime rings. *East-West J. Math.*, **3**(1)(2000), 87–91.
- [2] H. E. Bell and W.S. Martindale III. Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **30**(1987), 92–101.
- [3] H. E. Bell and M. N. Daif. On commutativity and strong commutativity-preserving maps. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **37**(1994), 443–447.
- [4] M. M. El Sofy. *Rings with some kinds of mappings*, M.Sc. Thesis, Cairo University, Branch of Fayoum, Egypt, (2000).
- [5] I. N. Herstein. *Rings with Involution*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.
- [6] J. H. Mayne. Centralizing mappings of prime rings. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **2**(1)(1984), 122–126.

Received by editors 20.11.2017; Revised version 02.01.2019; Available online 14.01.2019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TABUK UNIVERSITY, TABUK, SAUDI ARABIA  
E-mail address: ealharfie@ut.edu.sa

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, JEDDAH, SAUDI ARABIA  
E-mail address: nmuthana@kau.edu.sa