# COMPLEMENTARY EDGE DOMINATION IN SHADOW DISTANCE GRAPHS 

Vijayachandra Kumar U. and Murali. R


#### Abstract

The shadow graph of connected graph $G$, denoted $D_{2}(G)$, is the graph constructed from $G$ by taking two copies of $G$, say $G$ itself and $G^{\prime}$ and joining each vertex $u$ in $G$ to the neighbors of the corresponding vertex $u^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Let $D$ be the set of all distances between distinct pairs of vertices in $G$ and let $D_{s}$ (called the distance set) be a subset of $D$. The distance graph of $G$, denoted by $D\left(G, D_{s}\right)$, is the graph having the same vertex set as that of $G$ and two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent in $D\left(G, D_{s}\right)$ whenever $d(u, v) \in D_{s}$. In this paper, we determine the complementary edge domination number of the shadow distance graph of the path graph, the cycle graph and the sunlet graph with specified distance sets.


## 1. Introduction

By a graph $G=(V, E)$ we mean a finite undirected graph without loops and multiple edges. A subset $S$ of $V$ is called a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex not in $S$ is adjacent to some vertex in $S$. The domination number of $G$ denoted by $\gamma(G)$ is the minimal cardinality taken over all dominating sets of $G$. A subset $F$ of $E$ is called an edge dominating set if each edge in $E$ is either in $F$ or is adjacent to an edge in $F$. An edge dominating set $F$ is called minimal if no proper subset of $F$ is an edge dominating set. The

[^0]edge domination number of $G$ denoted by $\gamma^{\prime}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality taken over all edge dominating sets of $G$.

Let $F$ be a minimal edge dominating set of $G$. If $E-F$ contains an edge dominating set, say $F^{\prime}$ of $G$, then $F^{\prime}$ is called a complementary edge dominating set with respect to $F$. The complementary edge domination number $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)$ of $G$ is the minimal complementary edge dominating set (or MCEDS) with minimum cardinality of $G$ [4].

The open neighbourhood of an edge $e \in E$ denoted by $N(e)$ is the set of all edges adjacent to $e$ in $G$. If $e=(u, v)$ is an edge in $G$, the degree of $e$ denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(e)$ is defined as $\operatorname{deg}(e)=\operatorname{deg}(u)+\operatorname{deg}(v)-2$. The maximum degree of an edge in $G$ is denoted by $\triangle^{\prime}(G)$.

The shadow graph of $G$, denoted by $D_{2}(G)$ is the graph constructed from $G$ by taking two copies of $G$, namely $G$ itself and $G^{\prime}$ and by joining each vertex $u$ in $G$ to the neighbors of the corresponding vertex $u^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$.

Let $D$ be the set of all distances between distinct pairs of vertices in $G$ and let $D_{s}$ (called the distance set) be a subset of $D$. The distance graph of $G$ denoted by $D\left(G, D_{s}\right)$ is the graph having the same vertex set as that of $G$ and two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent in $D\left(G, D_{s}\right)$ whenever $d(u, v) \in D_{s}$.

The shadow distance graph of $G$, denoted by $D_{s d}\left(G, D_{s}\right)$ [7] is constructed from $G$ with the following conditions:
(1) consider two copies of $G$ say $G$ itself and $G^{\prime}$
(2) if $u \in V(G)$ (first copy) then we denote the corresponding vertex as $u^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ (second copy)
(3) the vertex set of $D_{s d}\left(G, D_{s}\right)$ is $V(G) \cup V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$
(4) the edge set of $D_{s d}\left(G, D_{s}\right)$ is $E(G) \cup E\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup E_{d s}$ where $E_{d s}$ is the set of all edges (called the shadow distance edges ) between two distinct vertices $u \in V(G)$ and $v^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ that satisfy the condition $d(u, v) \in D_{s}$ in $G$.
The n-sunlet graph denoted by $S_{n}$ is the graph on $2 n$ vertices obtained by attaching $n$-pendant edges to each of the vertices of the cycle graph $C_{n}$.

By $P_{n}, C_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ respectively we mean the path graph, the cycle graph, the $n$ - sunlet graph on $n$ vertices.

## 2. Preliminaries

We recall the following results related to the complementary edge domination number of a graph and edge domination number of a graph.

Theorem 2.1. ([4]) $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$ for $n \geqslant 3$.
Theorem 2.2. ([4]) $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$ for $n \geqslant 3$.
Theorem 2.3. ([7]) For $n \geqslant 3, \gamma^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{P_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil$.

Theorem 2.4. ([7])

$$
\gamma^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{P_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)= \begin{cases}3, & n=4  \tag{2.1}\\ 2\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil, & n \geqslant 5\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2.5. ([7]) For $n \geqslant 4$,

$$
\gamma^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)= \begin{cases}2\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rceil, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \text { or } 2(\bmod 3)  \tag{2.2}\\ 2\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rceil, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2.6. ([7]) For $n \geqslant 6, \gamma^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil$.
Theorem 2.7. ([7]) For $n \geqslant 3, \gamma^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Theorem 2.8. ([7]) For $n \geqslant 3$, $\gamma^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{2 n-1}{2}\right\rceil$.
Theorem 2.9. ([5]) An edge dominating set $F$ is minimal if and only if for each edge $e \in F$, one of the following two conditions holds:
(1) $N(e) \cap F=\phi$
(2) there exists an edge $e_{1} \in E-F$ such that $N\left(e_{1}\right) \cap F=\{e\}$.


Figure 1. $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{6}\right\}$ and $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{3}, e_{5}\right\}$.
Hence $\gamma^{\prime}(G)=2=\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)$

Theorem 2.10. ([4]) Let $F$ be a minimum edge dominating set of $G$. If for each $e \in F$, the induced subgraph $<N(e)>$ is a star, then $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=$ $\gamma^{\prime}(G)$.

## 3. The Main Results

We begin our results with the shadow distance associated with the path $P_{n}$.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $n \geqslant 3$. Then $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{P_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$

Proof. Consider two copies of $P_{n}$, one $P_{n}$ itself and the other denoted by $P_{n}^{\prime}$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots ., v_{n}$ be the vertices of $P_{n}$ and let $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots ., v_{n}^{\prime}$ be the vertices of $P_{n}^{\prime}$. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots e_{n-1}$ be the edges of the first copy $P_{n}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots ., e_{n-1}^{\prime}$ be the edges of the second copy $P_{n}^{\prime}$, where $e_{i}=\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)$, $e_{i}^{\prime}=\left(v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots . n-1$. Let $G=\left(D_{s d}\left\{P_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)$. Then $|V(G)|$ $=2 n,|E(G)|=4 n-6$ and $E(G)=\left\{e_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{(j),(j+2)^{\prime}}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{(k-2)^{\prime},(k)}\right\}$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2,3 \leqslant k \leqslant n$.

For $n=3$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the minimal dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality since $G \cong C_{6}$ it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=2$

For $n=4$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the minimal dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality and it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=4$.

For $n=5$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the minimal dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality and it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=4$.


Figure 2. $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{6}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{5}, e_{7}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}, e_{7}^{\prime}\right\}$ for the path $P_{8}$

Let $n \geqslant 6$. Consider the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}, e_{4}^{\prime}, \ldots ., e_{2 i+2}, e_{2 i+2}^{\prime}\right\}$ for each $i$ such that $0 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n-4}{2}\right\rceil$. Then, clearly, $|F|=2\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil$ ( Theorem 2.3) This set $F$ is the minimal dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, the set $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{2 j-1}\right\} \in\left\{e_{2 j-1}^{\prime}\right\}$, where $1 \leqslant j \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality since for any edge $e_{i} \in F^{\prime}, F^{\prime}-\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is not an edge dominating set for $N\left(e_{i}\right)$ in $G$. But the graph $G$ is such that there are 4 edges of degree 3,4 edges of degree 4,8 edges of degree 5 and $2(2 n-11)$ edges of degree 6 . Hence atmost $2(2 n-11)$ distinct edges of $G$ can dominate seven distinct edges including itself and each of the remaining
edges can dominate less than 6 edges of $G$. Hence, any set containing edges less than that of $F^{\prime}$ cannot be a dominating set of $G$.

This implies that the set $F^{\prime}$ described above is of minimum cardinality and since $\left|F^{\prime}\right|=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{P_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $n \geqslant 5$. Then $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{P_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$
Proof. The vertex set and edge set of $G$ are as in theorem 2.10.
For $n=5$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimum edge dominating set of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality. It follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=4$.

For $n=6$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{5}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality. It follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=6$.

For $n=7$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4},, e_{6}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{5}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality. It follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=6$.

For $n=8$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4},, e_{6}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set of $G$. Then under the hypothesis, $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{5}, e_{7}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}, e_{7}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality. It follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=8$.

Let $n \geqslant 9$.
Consider the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}, e_{4}^{\prime}, \ldots ., e_{2 i+2}, e_{2 i+2}^{\prime}\right\}$ for each $i$ such that $1 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n-4}{2}\right\rceil$.

This set $F$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality (Theorem 2.4).

Consider the set $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{2 j+2}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{2 j+2}^{\prime}\right\}$, where $1 \leqslant j \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$
This set $F^{\prime}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality since for any edge $e_{i} \in F^{\prime}, F^{\prime}-\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is not an edge dominating set for $N\left(e_{i}\right)$ in $G$. But the graph $G$ is such that there are 4 edges of degree 3,8 edges of degree 4,12 edges of degree 5 and $2(2 n-16)$ edges of degree 6 . Hence atmost $2(2 n-16)$ distinct edges of $G$ can dominate seven distinct edges including itself and each of the remaining edges can dominate less than 6 edges of $G$. Hence, any set containing the edges less that in $F^{\prime}$ cannot be an edge dominating set of $G$.

This implies that the set $F^{\prime}$ described above is of minimum cardinality and since $\left|F^{\prime}\right|=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{P_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$

For the cycle graph $C_{n}$, we have the following results.
Theorem 3.3. For $n \geqslant 4$, Then $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$

Proof. Consider two copies of $C_{n}$, one $C_{n}$ itself and the other denoted by $C_{n}^{\prime}$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots ., v_{n}$ be the vertices of $C_{n}$ and $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots ., v_{n}^{\prime}$ be the vertices of $C_{n}^{\prime}$. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots e_{n}$ be the edges of the first copy $C_{n}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots ., e_{n}^{\prime}$ be the edges of the second copy $C_{n}^{\prime}$. where $e_{i}=\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)$ and $e_{i}^{\prime}=\left(v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots . n$, where computation is under modulo $n$. Let $G=\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)$.

For $n=4$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the minimal dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality of $G$.

For $n=5$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{5}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the minimal dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality of $G$.

For $n=6$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{5}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}\right\}$ is the minimal dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{4}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality of $G$.

Let $n \geqslant 7$.
Consider the set

$$
F=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{e_{2}, e_{5}, \ldots \ldots, e_{3 i+2}, e^{\prime}{ }_{2}, e^{\prime}{ }_{5}, \ldots \ldots e^{\prime}{ }_{3 i+2}\right\} \quad \text { if } \mathrm{n} \equiv 0 \text { or } 2(\bmod 3) \\
\left\{e_{2}, e_{5}, \ldots \ldots, e_{3 i+2}, e^{\prime}{ }_{2}, e^{\prime}{ }_{5}, \ldots \ldots e^{\prime}{ }_{3 i+2}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{n}, e^{\prime}{ }_{n}\right\} \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{3}\right\rfloor$. Clearly, $|F|=2\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rceil$ for $n \equiv 0$ or $2(\bmod 3)$
This set $F$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis the set

$$
F^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\left\{e_{3 k-2}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{n-1}\right\} & n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\ \left\{e_{3 j-2}\right\} & \text { Otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality since for any edge $e_{i} \in F^{\prime}, F^{\prime}-\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is not an edge dominating set for $N\left(e_{i}\right)$ in $G$. Hence, any set containing edges less than that of $F^{\prime}$ cannot be a dominating set of $G$. Also $G$ is regular of degree 4 and each edge of $G$ is of degree 6 and an edge of $G$ can dominate atmost seven distinct edges of $G$ including itself.

This implies that the set $F^{\prime}$ described above is of minimum cardinality and since $\left|F^{\prime}\right|=2\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$, it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil$

TheOrem 3.4. Let $n \geqslant 6$. Then $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil$.
Proof. The vertex set and edge set of $G$ are as in Theorem 2.12. Let $G=\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)$

For $n=6$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{6}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}=$


Figure 3. $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{5}, e_{7}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}, e_{7}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $F^{\prime}=$ $\left\{e_{1}, e_{4}, e_{6}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}\right\}$. for cycle $C_{7}$
$\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{5}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal complementary edge dominating set with minimum cardinality and Hence $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=6$.

For $n=7$, the set $F=\left\{e_{2}, e_{5}, e_{7}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}, e_{7}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}$ $=\left\{e_{1}, e_{4}, e_{6}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality of $G$. Hence $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=6$.

Let $n \geqslant 8$.
Consider the set
$F=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\{e_{2}, e_{4}, \ldots ., e_{2 i}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, \ldots ., e_{2 i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{n}, e_{n}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{n}{2}(n \text { is even }) \\ \left.\left\{e_{2}, e_{5}, \ldots ., e_{3 i+2}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime}, \ldots ., e_{3 i+2}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{n}, e_{n}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rceil \text { (n is odd }\right)\end{array}\right.$
( Theorem 2.6)
This set $F$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$.

Consider the set $F^{\prime}=$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\{e_{2 j-1}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{2 j-1}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \frac{n}{2} \quad(n \quad \text { is even }) \\ \left\{e_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{2 i+4}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{2 i+2}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1 \quad \text { (n is odd) }\end{array}\right.$
This set $F^{\prime}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality since for any edge $e_{i} \in F^{\prime}, F^{\prime}-\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is not an edge dominating set for $N\left(e_{i}\right)$ in $G$. Hence, any set containing edges less than that of $F^{\prime}$ cannot be a dominating set of $G$. Also $G$ is regular of degree 4 and each edge of $G$ is of degree 6 and an edge of $G$ can dominate atmost seven distinct edges of $G$ including itself.

This implies that the set $F^{\prime}$ described above is of minimum cardinality and since $\left|F^{\prime}\right|=2\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil$, it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{C_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil$.

For the sunlet graph $S_{n}$, we have the following results.
Theorem 3.5. Let $n \geqslant 3$. Then $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Proof. Consider two copies of $S_{n}$ namely $S_{n}$ itself and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$. In the first copy $S_{n}$, let $\left(v_{1}\right)_{1},\left(v_{2}\right)_{1}, \ldots,\left(v_{n}\right)_{1}$ be the vertices of the cycle, $\left(v_{1}\right)_{1}^{\prime}$, $\left(v_{2}\right)_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots .,\left(v_{n}\right)_{1}^{\prime}$ be the pendant vertices, let the edges of the cycle be $e_{i}$ $=\left(\left(v_{1}\right)_{i},\left(v_{1}\right)_{i+1}\right), i=1,2, \ldots . n$ where computation is under modulo $n$ and let the pendant edges be $e_{p_{i}}=\left(\left(v_{i}\right)_{1},\left(v_{i}\right)_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ where $i=1,2, \ldots n$. In the second copy, let $\left(v_{1}\right)_{2},\left(v_{2}\right)_{2}, \ldots,\left(v_{n}\right)_{2}$ be the vertices of the cycle, $\left(v_{1}\right)_{2}^{\prime},\left(v_{2}\right)_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots,\left(v_{n}\right)_{2}^{\prime}$ be the pendant vertices, let the edges of the cycle be $e_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\left(v_{2}\right)_{i}^{\prime},\left(v_{2}\right)_{i+1}^{\prime}\right), i=$ $1,2, \ldots . n$ where computation is under modulo $n$ and let the pendant edges be $e_{p_{i}}^{\prime}=\left(\left(v_{i}\right)_{2}^{\prime},\left(v_{i}\right)_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ where $i=1,2, \ldots n$. Let $G=\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)$.

For $n=3$, the set $F=\left\{e_{1}, e_{p_{3}}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{p_{3}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}=$ $\left\{e_{2}, e_{p_{1}}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{p_{1}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality and hence $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=$ 4.

## Let $n \geqslant 4$

Consider the set $F=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{5} \ldots \ldots, e_{2 i+1}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{5}^{\prime} \ldots ., e_{2 i+1}^{\prime}\right\}$ Where $0 \leqslant$ $i \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. (Theorem 2.7)

This set $F$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$.

Consider the set $F^{\prime}=$
$\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}\left\{e_{2 j}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{2 j}^{\prime},\right\} & 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \frac{n}{2} & \mathrm{n} & \text { is even } \\ \left.\left\{e_{p_{1}}, e_{p_{1}}^{\prime}\right\} \cup e_{2 i}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{2 i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{p_{1}}\right\}, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \quad \mathrm{n} & \text { is odd }\end{array}\right.$
This set $F^{\prime}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality since for any edge $e_{i} \in F^{\prime}, F^{\prime}-\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is not an edge dominating set for $N\left(e_{i}\right)$ in $G$. Hence any set containing edges less than that of $F$ cannot be a dominating set of $G$. Further, $\triangle^{\prime}(G)=12$ which implies that an edge of $G$ can dominate atmost 13 distinct edges including itself. But the graph $G$ is such that there are $6 n$ edges of degree 8 and $4 n$ edges of degree 12 . Hence atmost $4 n$ distinct edges of $G$ can dominate 13 distinct edges including itself and each of the remaining edges can dominate less than 12 edges of $G$. Therefore, any set containing the edges less that in $F^{\prime}$ can not be an edge dominating set of $G$.

This implies that the set $F^{\prime}$ described above is of minimum cardinality and since $\left|F^{\prime}\right|=2\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$., it follows that $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{2\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $n \geqslant 3$. Then $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{2 n-1}{2}\right\rceil$

Proof. Let $G=\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)$, The vertex set of $G$ is as in theorem 2.14.

For $n=3$, the set $F=\left\{e_{p_{1}}, e_{p_{2}}, e_{p_{3}}, e_{p_{1}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{2}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{3}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of G . Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}$ $=\left\{e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{14^{\prime}}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{1^{\prime} 4}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality and hence $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=6$.

For $n=4$, the set $F=\left\{e_{p_{1}}, e_{p_{2}}, e_{p_{3}}, e_{p_{4}}, e_{p_{1}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{2}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{3}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{4}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$. Then under the hypothesis $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{14^{\prime}}, e_{68^{\prime}}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{14^{\prime}}^{\prime}, e_{6^{\prime} 8}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality and hence $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}(G)=8$.

For $n=5$, the set $F=\left\{e_{p_{1}}, e_{p_{2}}, e_{p_{3}}, e_{p_{4}}, e_{p_{5}}, e_{p_{1}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{2}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{3}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{4}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{5}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality $G$.

For $n=6$, the set $F=\left\{e_{p_{1}}, e_{p_{2}}, e_{p_{3}}, e_{p_{4}}, e_{p_{5}},, e_{p_{6}}, e_{p_{1}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{2}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{3}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{4}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{5}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{6}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality of $G$.

Let $n \geqslant 7$.
Consider the set $F=\left\{e_{p_{1}}, e_{p_{2}}, e_{p_{3}} \ldots . ., e_{i}, e_{p_{1}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{2}}^{\prime}, e_{p_{3}}^{\prime} \ldots ., e_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$.where $1 \leqslant$ $i \leqslant n$. (Theorem 2.8)

This set $F$ is a minimal edge dominating set with minimum cardinality $G$.

For all $n \geqslant 5$, consider the set $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{14^{\prime}}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{1^{\prime} 4}\right\} \cup F_{1}^{\prime} \cup F_{2}^{\prime} \cup F_{3}^{\prime} \cup F_{4}^{\prime}$, where $F_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{e_{2 j-1}\right\}, F_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{e_{2 j-1}^{\prime}\right\}, F_{3}^{\prime}=\left\{e_{(4 k+2)(4 k+4)^{\prime}}\right\}, F_{4}=\left\{e_{(4 k+2)^{\prime}(4 k+4)}\right\}$, where $1 \leqslant j \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-1$

This set $F^{\prime}$ is a MCEDS with minimum cardinality since for any edge $e_{i} \in F^{\prime}, F^{\prime}-\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is not an edge dominating set for $N\left(e_{i}\right)$ in $G$. Hence any set containing edges less than that of $F$ cannot be a dominating set of $G$. Further, $\triangle^{\prime}(G)=12$ which implies that an edge of $G$ can dominate atmost 13 distinct edges including itself. But the graph $G$ is such that there are $2 n$ edges of degree $8,6 n$ edges of degree 10 and $4 n$ edges of degree 12. Hence atmost $4 n$ distinct edges of $G$ can dominate 13 distinct edges including itself and each of the remaining edges can dominate less than 12 edges of $G$. Therefore, any set containing the edges less that in $F^{\prime}$ cannot be an edge dominating set of $G$. This implies that the set $F^{\prime}$ described above is of minimum cardinality and therefore $\gamma_{c}^{\prime}\left(D_{s d}\left\{S_{n},\{3\}\right\}\right)=2\left\lceil\frac{2 n-1}{2}\right\rceil$.
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