BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE

ISSN (p) 2303-4874, ISSN (o) 2303-4955 www.imvibl.org /JOURNALS / BULLETIN

Vol. 8(2018), 337-344

DOI: 10.7251/BIMVI1802337Č

Former

BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA ISSN 0354-5792 (o), ISSN 1986-521X (p)

UNIFORM STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE SUBSEQUENCES

Fikret Čunjalo

ABSTRACT. In the [3] is proven that sequence S_{ij} uniformly statistically converges to L if and only if it there is a subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniform density zero and subsequence S(x) defined by, $S_{ij}(x) = S_{ij}$ for $(i,j) \in A^c$, converges to L, in the Pringsheim's sense. In this paper it is proven that analog is valid for subsequence S(x) provided that for each N and $i \leq N \vee j \leq N$ is a set of all $S_{ij}(x)$ finite set. Is generally valid: If the subsequence S(x) uniformly statistically converges to L, then, there is a subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniform density zero and subsequence S(y) defined by, $S_{ij}(y) = S_{ij}(x)$ for $(i,j) \in A^c$, converges to L, in the Pringsheim's sense. If there is a subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniform density zero and subsequence S(y) defined by, $S_{ij}(y) = S_{ij}(x)$ for $(i,j) \in A^c$, such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} (\lim_{j \to \infty} S_{ij}(y)) = L$, then, the subsequence S(x) uniformly statistically converges to L.

1. Introduction

The concept of the statistical convergence of a sequences of reals was introduced by H. Fast [12]. Furthemore, Gökhan et al. [15] introduced the notion of pointwise and uniform statistical convergent of double sequences of real-valued function. Çakan and Altay [4] presented multi dimensional analogues of the results presented by Fridy and Orhan [13, 14]. Dündar and Atay [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] investigated the relation between I-convergence of double sequences. Now, we recall that the definitions of concepts of ideal convergence and basic concepts. [1, 2, 10, 11, 16].

The sequence S_{ij} of real numbers converges to L in the Pringsheim's sense, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists K > 0 such that

$$|S_{ij} - L| \leqslant \varepsilon$$

for any $i, j \ge K$.

 $2010\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$ Primary: 40B05. Secondary: 40B35, 40G15. Key words and phrases. multiple sequences, statistical convergence.

We write $\lim_{i,j\to\infty} S_{ij} = L$.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Let K_{nm} be the number of $(i,j) \in K$ such that $i \leq n, j \leq m$.

If

$$d_2(K) = \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \frac{K_{nm}}{nm}$$

in the Pringsheim's sense. Then we say that K has double natural density. Let is sequence S_{ij} of real numbers and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \geqslant \varepsilon\}.$$

The sequence $S = S_{ij}$ statistically converges to $L \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(d_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0).$$

In this cese, we write $st - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

Let is set $X \neq \emptyset$. A class I of subsets of X is said to be an ideal in X provided the following statements hold:

- $(i) \emptyset \in I$
- $(ii) A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$
- (iii) $A \in I$, $B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$.

I is nontrivial ideal if $X \notin I$. A nontrivial ideal I is called admissible if $\{x\} \in I$ for any $x \in X$.

In this paper the focus is put on ideal $I_u \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ defined by: subset A belongs to the I_u if

$$\lim_{p,q \to \infty} \frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, j < q : (n+i, m+j) \in A \right\} \right| = 0$$

uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ in the Pringsheim's sense. That is subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is uniformly density zero.

The sequence $S = S_{ij}$ uniformly statistically converges to L if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \geqslant \varepsilon\} \in I_u.$$

That is sequence $S = S_{ij}$ uniformly statistically converges to L, if any $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ there exists K > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\} \right| < \varepsilon', \, \forall p, q \geqslant K, \, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We write $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

We denote with X a set of all double sequences of 0's and 1's, i.e.

$$X = \{x = x_{ij} : x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let sequence $S = S_{ij}$ and $x \in X$. Then with S(x) we denote a sequence defined following way

$$S_{ij}(x) = S_{ij}$$
, for $x_{ij} = 1$.

which we refer to as subsequence of sequence S.

The subsequence $S\left(x\right)$ of sequence S uniformly statistically converges to L, if for any ε , $\varepsilon'>0$ there exists K>0 such that for every $p,\,q\geqslant K$ and for all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm}=1$ we have

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \geqslant \varepsilon, x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} \leqslant \varepsilon'.$$

We write $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$.

2. New results

Theorem 2.1. Let notions and notations as in above. Then, we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} S_{ij} = L \Longrightarrow Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L \Longrightarrow st - \lim S_{ij} = L.$$

PROOF. If $\lim_{i \to \infty} S_{ij} = L$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i, j \ge K$, we have $|S_{ij} - L| \le \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{pq} \left| \{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \} \right| = \\ &\frac{1}{pq} \left| \{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon, n+i < K \lor m+j < K \} \right| + \\ &\frac{1}{pq} \left| \{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon, n+i, m+j \geqslant K \} \right| = \\ &\frac{1}{pq} \left| \{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon, n+i < K \lor m+j < K \} \right|. \end{split}$$

If n, m < K, then, $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K_1$, such that for $\forall p, q \ge K_1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leqslant$$

$$\frac{1}{pq} \left[q \left(K - n \right) + p \left(K - m \right) \right] \leqslant \varepsilon', \forall p, q \geqslant K_1.$$

If n < K, then, $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K_1$, such that for $\forall p, q \ge K_2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{pq}\left|\left\{i < p, j < q : \left|S_{n+i,m+j} - L\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right\}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{pq}q\left(K - n\right) \leqslant \varepsilon', \forall p, q \geqslant K_2.$$

If m < K, then, $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K_1$, such that for $\forall p, q \geqslant K_2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{pq}\left|\left\{i < p, j < q: \left|S_{n+i, m+j} - L\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right\}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{pq} p\left(K - m\right) \leqslant \varepsilon', \forall p, q \geqslant K_3.$$

Hence, $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K_4 = \max\{K, K_1, K_2, K_3\}$ such that for $\forall p, q \geqslant K_4, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leqslant \varepsilon',$$

respectively, $Ust - \lim_{i \to j} S_{ij} = L$. Let $Ust - \lim_{i \to j} S_{ij} = L$, then, $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$ such that for $\forall p, q \geqslant K, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{R}$ \mathbb{N} , we have

$$\frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, j < q : \left| S_{n+i,m+j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leqslant \varepsilon'.$$

Specially, for n = m = 1 and for any $p, q \ge K$, we have

$$\frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i \leqslant p, j \leqslant q : \left| S_{i,j} - L \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leqslant \varepsilon',$$

ie. $st - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

Example 2.1. Let $S = S_{nm}$ defined as

$$S_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1, & 1+1+2+\cdots+k < n, m \leq 1+1+2+\cdots+k+k+1, \\ & k = 1, 2, \cdots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$1 + 1 + 2 + \dots + k \le p < 1 + 1 + 2 + \dots + k + k + 1,$$

$$1 + 1 + 2 + \dots + k < q.$$

Then, for any $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all

$$p, q > 1 + \frac{k_0 (k_0 + 1)}{2}$$

is true

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i \leqslant p, j \leqslant q : \left| S_{i,j} - 0 \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leqslant \\ &\frac{1}{pq} \left[2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + (k-1)^2 + (p-1-1-\dots-k) \left(k+1 \right) \right] = \\ &\frac{1}{pq} \left[\frac{(k-1) \, k \, (2k-1)}{6} - 1 + \left(p - 1 - \frac{k \, (k+1)}{2} \right) (k+1) \right] \leqslant \\ &\frac{(k-1) \, k \, (2k-1)}{6} - 1 + \left(\frac{(k+1) \, (k+2)}{2} - \frac{k \, (k+1)}{2} \right) (k+1)}{\left(1 + \frac{k \, (k+1)}{2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{(k+1) \, (k+2)}{2} \right)} \leqslant \varepsilon'. \end{split}$$

Hence, $st - \lim S_{ij} = 0$.

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $n = 1 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + k$, we have

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)^2} |\{i, j < k+1 : |S_{n+i,n+j} - 0| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 1.$$

Respectively, sequence $S = S_{nm}$ does not uniformly statistically converge.

In the [3] is proved theorem: If $S = S_{ij}$ is a double sequence, then

$$Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$$

if and only if there exists $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniformly density zero, such that $\lim_{i,j\to\infty} S_{ij} = L$ in the Pringsheim's sense, for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i,j) \notin A \\ 0, & (i,j) \in A \end{cases}$$

Following theorem is a generalization of subsequences.

Let $x \in X$. Let is an ideal $I_u(x) \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ defined by: the subset A of set $\{(i,j): x_{ij} = 1\}$ belongs to the $I_u(x)$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists K > 0 such that for all $p, q \geqslant K$ and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

THEOREM 2.2. (a) Let $x \in X$ and $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$. Then there is a set $A \in I_u(x)$, such that subsequence S(y) of the sequence S converges to L in the Pringsheim's sense, for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i,j) \notin A, x_{ij} = 1 \\ 0, & (i,j) \in A, x_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

(b) If there is a set $A \in I_u(x)$ such that for subsequence S(y) of the sequence S valid $\lim_{i \to \infty} (\lim_{i \to \infty} S_{ij}(y)) = L$, for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i,j) \notin A, x_{ij} = 1\\ 0, & (i,j) \in A, x_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}$$

then $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$.

PROOF. a) Let $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $r_k > 0$, such that for all $p, q \ge r_k$ and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\frac{\left|\left\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \geqslant \frac{1}{k}, \ x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\right\}\right|}{\left|\left\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\right\}\right|} \leqslant \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

Let
$$A = \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \{(n+i, m+j) : \}$$

$$i, j \ge r_k, i < r_{k+1} \lor j < r_{k+1}, |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \ge \frac{1}{k}, x_{n+i,m+j} = 1$$
.

For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall k > k_0$ we have

$$\textstyle \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \, \frac{1}{(k_0-1)^2} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then, for all $p,q \ge r_{k_0}$ and for all $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q: (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} =$$

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : i, j \geqslant r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q : i < r_{k_0} \lor j < r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leqslant$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{|\{i < p, j < q : i, j \geqslant r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} + \\ \frac{|\{i < p, j < q : i, j \geqslant r_{k_0+1}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} + \ldots + \\ \frac{|\{i < p, j < q : i < r_{k_0} \lor j < r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leqslant \\ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{1}{(k_0-1)^2} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

Let

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i,j) \notin A, x_{ij} = 1 \\ 0, & (i,j) \in A, x_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $y_{n+i,m+j} = 1, n+i, m+j \ge r_{k_0}$ we have

$$|S_{n+i,m+j}(y) - L| = |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \leqslant \frac{1}{k_0} \leqslant \varepsilon$$

which implies that for all $n, m \ge r_{k_0}$ we have

$$|S_{nm}(y) - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Respectively, $\lim_{i,j\to\infty}S_{ij}\left(y\right)=L$ in the Pringsheim's sense.

b) For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge n_0 \lor m \ge m_0$ we have

$$|S_{nm}(y) - L| \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \geqslant \varepsilon, x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} =$$

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q: n+i < n_0, m+j < m_0, |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \geqslant \varepsilon, x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: n+i < n_0, m+j < m_0, |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \geqslant \varepsilon, x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j}$$

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : n+i \geqslant n_0 \vee m+j \geqslant m_0, |S_{n+i,m+j}-L| \geqslant \varepsilon, x_{n+i,m+j}=1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i,m+j}=1\}|} \leqslant.$$

$$\frac{n_0 m_0}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{i < p, j < q : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}.$$

The first summand is smaller than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $p,q\geqslant N$ and for all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{n+i,m+j}=1$.

The second summand is smaller than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $p,q \ge M$ and for all $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{nm} = 1$. Therefore, for all $p,q \ge \max\{N,M\}$ and for all $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$ we have that

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q: |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \geqslant \varepsilon, x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q: x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

it is $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$.

We denote

$$X' = \{x \in X : \{(i,j) : i \leqslant N \lor j \leqslant N, x_{ij} = 1\} \text{ is finite set for } \forall N \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

COROLLARY 2.1. Let sequence $S = S_{ij}$ and $x \in X'$. Then, $Ust-\lim S_{ij}(x) = L$ if and only if there is a set $A \in I_u(x)$, such that subsequence S(y) of the sequence S(y) converges to L in the Pringsheim's sense, for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i,j) \notin A, x_{ij} = 1 \\ 0, & (i,j) \in A, x_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

References

- B. Altay and F. Başar. Some new spaces of double sequences. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 309(1)(2005), 70-90.
- [2] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems and A. Komisarski. Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 328(1)(2007), 715-729.
- [3] F. Čunjalo and F. Destović. Subsequence characterization of uniform statistical convergence of double sequences. Res. Comm. Math. Math. Sci., (accept at November 27, 2017).
- [4] C. Çakan and B. Altay. Statistically boundedness and statistical core of double sequences. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 317(2)(2006), 690-697.
- [5] E. Dündar. On rough I₂-convergence of Double Sequences. Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz, 37(4)(2016), 480-491.
- [6] E. Dündar and B. Atay. I₂-convergence of Double Sequences of Function. El. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 3(1)(2015), 111-121.
- [7] E. Dündar and B. Atay. I₂-convergence and I₂-Cauchy of Double Sequences. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., 34B(2)(2014), 343-353.
- [8] E. Dündar and B. Atay. I₂-uniform convergence of double sequences of function. Filomat, 30(5)(2016), 1273–1281.
- [9] E. Dündar and B. Atay. On some properties of I_2 -convergence and I_2 -Cauchy of double sequences. Gen. Math. Notes, $\mathbf{7}(1)(2011)$, 1-12.
- [10] E. Dündar and C. Çakan. Rough convergence of double sequences. Gulf J. Math., 2(1)(2014), 45–51
- [11] E. Dündar and Y. Sever. Multipliers for bounded statistical convergence of double sequences. Int. Math. Forum, 7(52)(2012), 2581–2587.
- [12] H. Fast. Sur la convergenc statistique. Collog. Math., $\mathbf{2}(1951)$, 241-244.
- [13] J. A. Fridy. On statistical convergence. Analysis, 5(4)(1985), 301-313.
- [14] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan. Statistical limit superior and inferior. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 125(12)(1997), 3625-3631.
- [15] A. Gökhan, M. Güngör and M. Et. Statistical convergence of double sequences of real-valued function. Int. Math. Forum, 2(8)(2007), 365-374.

[16] E. Tas and T. Yurdakadmin. Characterization of uniform statistical convergence for double sequences. Miskolc Math. Notes, 13(2)(2012), 543-553.

Receibed by editors 24.10.2017; Revised version 18.12.2017; Available online 02.01.2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SARAJEVU, SARAJEVO, BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: fcunjalo01@hs-hkb.ba}$