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#### Abstract

Let $P_{n}$ be the path graph on $n$ vertices. In this paper, we consider the distance graphs $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, where the distance set $D \subseteq\{1,2,3, \ldots, n-1\}$. We characterize the distance set $D$ for which $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is one of path, cycle, wheel, regular, bipartite, acyclic, $C_{r}$-free, $K_{1, r}$-free, or having isolated vertices.


## 1. Introduction

All the graphs we considered in this paper are simple. We use the standard terminologies and notations of graph theory following [6]. The degree of a vertex $v$ in a graph $\Gamma$ is denoted by $d_{\Gamma}(v)$. The usual shortest path distance between vertices $u$ and $v$ in $\Gamma$ is denoted by $d(u, v)$ (or $d_{\Gamma}(u, v)$, if we want to emphasize the graph $\Gamma$ ). $K_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ denotes the complete graph and cycle graph, respectively on $n$ vertices. $K_{m, n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with partition sizes $m$ and $n$. A path graph is a simple graph whose vertices can be arranged in a linear sequence in such a way that every two consecutive vertices are adjacent. The path graph on $n(n \geqslant 2)$ vertices is denoted by $P_{n}$. Through out this paper, we take the vertices of $P_{n}$ as the linear sequence $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$. A wheel graph on $n \geqslant 4$ vertices is obtained from a cycle graph $C_{n-1}$ by adding a new vertex in such a way that it is adjacent to all the vertices of $C_{n-1}$.

Let $(X, \rho)$ be a metric space with metric $\rho$. Then for each set $D \subseteq\{\rho(x, y) \mid x, y \in$ $X, x \neq y\}$, the distance graph of $X$ with respect to the distance set $D$, denoted by $G(X, D)$, is the graph whose vertex set is $X$ and two vertices $x, y \in X$ are adjacent if $\rho(x, y) \in D$.

[^0]The unit distance graphs defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{Q}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with the Euclidean metric are distance graphs, which have been investigated by several authors (see, $[\mathbf{1 7}]$ for more details). In [7], Eggleton, Erdős and Skilton have studied the distance graphs $G(\mathbb{Z}, D)$, where $D$ is the set of positive integers. The distance graphs on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with the $l_{p}$ metrics were investigated in many articles (see, for instance [8], [10], [13]).

On the other hand, every graph $\Gamma$ with the usual shortest path distance $d$ defines a metric space $(\Gamma, d)$. So for each set $D \subseteq\{d(u, v) \mid u, v \in V(\Gamma), u \neq v\}$, we can define the distance graph $G(V(\Gamma), D)$. We denote this graph simply by $G(\Gamma, D)$. In literature, there are several papers devoted to the study of distance graphs of graphs. For instance, the $n^{\text {th }}$ power graph of a graph $\Gamma$ is the distance graph $G(\Gamma,\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\})$. The graph $\Gamma_{n}:=G(\Gamma,\{n\})$ is called the $n^{t h}$ distance graph (or $n$-distance graph). In [15], Simić initiated the study of $n$-distance graph while solving the graph equation $\Gamma_{n} \cong L(\Gamma)$, where $L(\Gamma)$ is the line graph of $\Gamma$. Suzuki [9] investigated the $n$-distance graphs of distance regular graphs. Recently, Azimi and Farrokhi [2] classified all simple graphs whose 2-distance graphs are either paths or cycles. Note that when the given graph $\Gamma$ is connected, then $\{d(u, v) \mid u, v \in$ $V(\Gamma), u \neq v\}=\{n \mid 1 \leqslant n \leqslant \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)\}$, where $\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$ denotes the diameter of $\Gamma$. The distance graph $G(\Gamma,\{\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)\})$ is called the antipodal graph of $\Gamma$, and was introduced by Singleton [16]. This graph was further studied by Acharya and Acharya [1], Rajendran [14], Aravamudhan and Rajendran [3, 4], Johns [12], and Chartrand et al. [5].

For a given graph $\Gamma$, the investigation of the structure of the distance graphs $G(\Gamma, D)$ for different choices of the distance set $D$ is a general problem. In this direction, characterizing the distance set $D$, for which the distance graph $G(\Gamma, D)$ satisfying some graph theoretic properties is a problem of special interest. In this paper, we consider the distance graphs $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, where $D \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$.


Figure 1. Some distance graphs of $P_{6}$

In Figure 1, we describe the structure of $G\left(P_{6},\{4\}\right), G\left(P_{6},\{1,3\}\right), G\left(P_{6},\{3,4\}\right)$ and $G\left(P_{6},\{2,3,5\}\right)$. Even though the path graph has a very simple structure, the distance graphs $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ gets a complicated structure for the different choices of the distance set $D$. In [11], Murali and Harinath investigated the laceability properties of the distance graphs $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. In the next section, we mainly characterize the distance set $D$ for which $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is one of path, cycle, regular, bipartite, wheel, acyclic, $C_{r}$-free, $K_{1, r}$-free, or having isolated vertices.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. (1) $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a path if and only if $D=\{1\}$ or $\{r, n-r+$ $1 \mid$ g.c.d $(r, n-r+1)=1\}$.
(2) $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a cycle if and only if $D=\{r, n-r \mid$ g.c.d $(r, n-r)=1\}$.

Proof. The proof is divided into several cases.
Case (1): Let $|D|=1$.
Then $D=\{k\}$, where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. If $k=1$, then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)=P_{n}$. Now we assume that $k \neq 1$.
(i): Let $n$ be even and $k \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$ (resp. $n$ be odd and $k \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1$ ). If $k$ divides $n$, then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is the disjoint union of $k$ paths: $v_{1}, v_{k+1}, v_{2 k+1}, \ldots, v_{n-k+1}$; $v_{2}, v_{k+2}, v_{2 k+2}, \ldots, v_{n-k+2} ; \ldots ; v_{k}, v_{2 k}, \ldots, v_{n}$. If $k$ is not a divisor of $n$, then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is the disjoint union of $k$ paths: $v_{1}, v_{k+1}, v_{2 k+1}, \ldots, v_{m k+1}$; $v_{2}, v_{k+2}, v_{2 k+2}, \ldots, v_{m k+2} ; \ldots ; v_{k}, v_{2 k}, \ldots, v_{m k}$, where $m$ is the quotient when $n$ is divided by $k$.
(ii): Let $n$ be even and $k \geqslant \frac{n}{2}+1$ (resp. $n$ be odd and $k \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ ). Then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ has exactly $k$ components, in which $n-k$ components are the path $P_{2}$ and the remaining $2 k-n$ components are isolated vertices. These $n-k$ paths are $v_{1}, v_{k+1} ; v_{2}, v_{k+2} ; \ldots ; v_{n-k}, v_{n}$ and the remaining isolated vertices are $v_{n-k+1}, v_{n-k+2}, \ldots, v_{k}$.
Case (2): Let $|D|=2$.
Then $D=\{r, l\}$, where $1 \leqslant r<l \leqslant n-1$. If $r=1$, then $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{l+1}, v_{1}$ is a cycle in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. If $l<n-1$, then this cycle is a proper subgraph of $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. If $l=n-1$, then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ becomes this cycle. Note that if $l=n-1$, then $v_{1}$ and $v_{n}$ are adjacent in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a cycle only when $r=1$.

So hereafter, we assume that $r \neq 1$ and $l<n-1$. Now we take $n$ to be an even integer. The arguments given below also holds when $n$ is an odd integer, if we replace $\frac{n}{2}$ by $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$. We need to consider the following subcases: Subcase (2a): Let $r+l<n$.

Clearly $r<\frac{n}{2}$. There are two possibilities.
(i): Let $r<\frac{n}{2}$ and $l<\frac{n}{2}$. Then $v_{r}, v_{n-r}, v_{l-1}$ are adjacent to $v_{\frac{n}{2}}$ in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ and so $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \geqslant 3$. Therefore, $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is neither a path nor a cycle.
(ii): Let $r<\frac{n}{2}$ and $l>\frac{n}{2}$. Then $v_{n}, v_{n-2 r}, v_{n-r-l}$ are adjacent to $v_{n-r}$ in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ and so $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n-r}\right) \geqslant 3$. Therefore, $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is neither a path nor a cycle.
Subcase (2b): Let $r+l>n$.
Subsubcase (2b)I: Let $r+l=n+1$.

Let $m$ be the quotient when $n$ is divided by $r$.
(i): Let g.c.d $(r, l)=k>1$. Let $s=|\{a \mid 1 \leqslant a \leqslant n, a \equiv i \bmod k\}|$. Note that $s=\frac{n+1}{k}$. For each fixed $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, let $x_{1}^{i}=i$ and define

$$
x_{t}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{t-1}+r & \text { if } & x_{t-1}+r \leqslant n \\
x_{t-1}-l & \text { if } & x_{t-1}+r>n
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t=2,3, \ldots, s$. Then $v_{x_{1}^{i}}, v_{x_{2}^{i}}, v_{x_{3}^{i}}, \ldots, v_{x_{s}^{i}}, v_{x_{1}^{i}}$ form a cycle in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. Let $y_{1}=r$ and define

$$
y_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
y_{t-1}+r & \text { if } & y_{t-1}+r \leqslant n \\
y_{t-1}-l & \text { if } & y_{t-1}+r>n
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t=2,3, \ldots, s$. Then $v_{y_{1}}, v_{y_{2}}, \ldots, v_{y_{s}}$ form a path on $s$ vertices in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. These $k-1$ cycles and the path are the only components of $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, since $v_{n}$ is in the $k-1^{t h}$ cycle and $a+(k-1)(a+1)=a k+k-1=n$, where $a$ is the quotient when $n$ is divided by $k$.
(ii): Let g.c.d $(r, l)=1$.

Let $x_{0}=0$ and define $x_{k}=x_{k-1}+r$ if $x_{k-1}+r \leqslant n$, and $x_{k}=x_{k-1}-l$ if $x_{k-1}+r>n$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$. Then $x_{k}=a_{k} r-b_{k} l$ for some $a_{k}, b_{k} \geqslant 0$. Suppose $x_{k}=x_{k^{\prime}}$ for some $1 \leqslant k<k^{\prime} \leqslant n$. Then $\left(a_{k^{\prime}}-a_{k}\right) r=\left(b_{k^{\prime}}-b_{k}\right) l$, $a_{k^{\prime}}>a_{k}$, and $b_{k^{\prime}}>b_{k}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(r, l)=1, r$ divides $b_{k^{\prime}}-b_{k}$ and $l$ divides $a_{k^{\prime}}-a_{k}$. Hence $k^{\prime}-k=a_{k^{\prime}}-a_{k}+b_{k^{\prime}}-b_{k} \geqslant r+l=n+1$, which is a contradiction. This shows that $v_{x_{1}}, v_{x_{2}}, \ldots, v_{x_{n}}$ is a path with $n$ distinct vertices, from which it follows that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a path, as required.
Subsubcase (2b)II: Let $r+l>n+1$.
Then there are three possibilities.
(i): Let $r=\frac{n}{2}$ and $l>\frac{n}{2}+1$. Then $v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ are pendent vertices in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is neither a path nor a cycle.
(ii): Let $r>\frac{n}{2}$ and $l>\frac{n}{2}+1$. Then $v_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is an isolated vertex in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, since $d_{P_{n}}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{i}\right)<d_{P_{n}}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{n}\right)=\frac{n}{2}<r<l$ for all $i=\frac{n}{2}+1, \ldots, n-1$, and $d_{P_{n}}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{i}\right)<d_{P_{n}}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{1}\right)=\frac{n}{2}-1<r<l$ for all $i=2,3, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1$. So $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is neither a path nor a cycle.
(iii): Let $r<\frac{n}{2}$ and $l>\frac{n}{2}+1$. Then $v_{r}, v_{l}, v_{l-1}$ are isolated vertices in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is neither a path nor a cycle.
Subcase (2c): Let $r+l=n$.
Let $m$ be the quotient when $n$ is divided by $r$.
(i): Let g.c.d $(r, l)=k>1$. Let $s=|\{a \mid 1 \leqslant a \leqslant n, a \equiv i \bmod k\}|$. Note that $s=\frac{n}{k}$. For each fixed $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, let $x_{1}^{i}=i$ and define

$$
x_{t}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x_{t-1}+r & \text { if } & x_{t-1}+r \leqslant n \\
x_{t-1}-l & \text { if } & x_{t-1}+r>n
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t=1,2, \ldots, s$. Then $v_{x_{1}^{i}}, v_{x_{2}^{i}}, \ldots, v_{x_{s}^{i}}, v_{x_{1}^{i}}$ form a cycle in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. These $k$ cycles are the only components of $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, since each of these cycles are vertex disjoint and has $\frac{n}{k}$ vertices.
(ii): Let $g . c . d(r, l)=1$. Let $x_{0}=0$ and

$$
x_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x_{k-1}+r & \text { if } & x_{k-1}+r \leqslant n \\
x_{k-1}-l & \text { if } & x_{k-1}+r>n
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. Proceeding as in Subsubcase (2b)I(ii), we get $v_{x_{1}}, v_{x_{2}}, \ldots$, $v_{x_{n}}, v_{x_{1}}$ is a cycle in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ with $n$ distinct vertices. Hence $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a cycle.
Case (3): Let $|D| \geqslant 3$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 3$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is neither a path nor a cycle.
Combining all the above cases together completes the proof.
Theorem 2.2. The graph $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is regular if and only if $D=\left\{n_{1}, n-\right.$ $\left.n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}, n-n_{r}\right\}$ for some $r \geqslant 1$ and $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} \leqslant n / 2$.

Proof. We divide the proof into several cases.
Case (1): Let $|D|=1$.
Let $D=\{k\}$. Then $v_{1}$ and $v_{n}$ are pendant vertices in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$.
Subcase (1a): Let $n$ be even.
If $k<\frac{n}{2}$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)=2$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular. If $k>\frac{n}{2}$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)=0$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular. If $k=\frac{n}{2}$, then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right) \cong \frac{n}{2} K_{2}$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is regular. Subcase (1b): Let $n$ be odd.

If $k<\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}\right)=2$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular. If $k \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}\right)=0$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
Case (2): Let $|D|=2$.
Let $D=\{r, l\}$. Then $v_{1}$ and $v_{n}$ are of degree 2 in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. So $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is regular only when $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots n$. By the Case (2) in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it follows that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is regular only when $r+l=n$.
Case (3): Let $|D| \geqslant 3$.
Subcase (3a): Let $D=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r} \mid r \geqslant 3, n_{i}+n_{j} \neq n\right.$ for all $i, j$ and $\left.i \neq j\right\}$.
We assume that $n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots<n_{r}$. Clearly $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{1}\right)=r$. We have to consider the following cases:
(i): Let $n_{i}+n_{j}>n$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, r$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n-n_{1}}\right)=2$, since $v_{n-n_{1}}$ is adjacent to $v_{n}$ and $v_{n-2 n_{1}}$ in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
(ii): Let $n_{i}+n_{j}<n$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, r$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n-n_{1}}\right)=r+1$, since $v_{n-n_{1}}$ is adjacent to $v_{n}, v_{n-2 n}, v_{n_{1}+n_{2}}, \ldots v_{n_{1}+n_{r}}$ in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, this implies that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
(iii): Let $n_{i}+n_{j}<n$ for some $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$ and $n_{s}+n_{t}>n$ for some $s, t \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n-n_{1}}\right)=k+1$, where $k=\mid\left\{n_{s}, n_{t} \in\right.$ $\left.D \mid n_{s}+n_{t}<n\right\} \mid$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
Subcase (3b): $D=\left\{n_{1}, n-n_{1}, n_{2}, n-n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}, n-n_{r} \mid r \geqslant 1\right\}$.
Then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} G\left(P_{n},\left\{n_{i}, n-n_{i}\right\}\right)$. By Subcase of $2(c)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1, for each $i=1,2,3, \ldots, r, G\left(P_{n},\left\{n_{i}, n-n_{i}\right\}\right)$ is the disjoint union of cycles. It follows that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is regular of degree $2 r$.

Subcase (3c): Let $D=\left\{n_{1}, n-n_{1}, n_{2}, n-n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}, n-n_{r}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \mid r \geqslant\right.$ $1, k \geqslant 2, a_{i}+a_{j} \neq n$ for all $i, j$ and $i \neq j ; a_{i} \neq n_{j}, n-n_{j}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k, j=$ $1, \ldots, r\}$.

If $n$ is even, further we assume that $n_{i} \neq \frac{n}{2}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$. Now let us assume that $a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{k}$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{1}\right)=2 r+k$. We have to consider the following cases:
(i): Let $a_{i}+a_{j}>n$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n-a_{1}}\right)=2 r+1$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
(ii): Let $a_{i}+a_{j}<n$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n-n_{1}}\right)=2 r+k+1$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
(iii): Let $a_{i}+a_{j}>n$ for some $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and $a_{s}+a_{t}<n$ for some $s, t \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n-n_{1}}\right)=2 r+m+1$, where $m=\mid\left\{a_{s}, a_{t} \in\right.$ $\left.D \mid a_{s}+a_{t}<n\right\} \mid$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
Subcase (3d): $D=\left\{n_{1}, n-n_{1}, n_{2}, n-n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}, n-n_{r}, l \mid r \geqslant 1, l \neq n_{i}, n-\right.$ $n_{i}$ for all $\left.i\right\}$.

Clearly $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{1}\right)=2 r+1$.
(i): Let $n$ be even. If $l<\frac{n}{2}$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)=2 r+2$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular. If $l>\frac{n}{2}$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)=2 r$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular. If $l=\frac{n}{2}$, then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is the union of edge disjoint cycles and $\frac{n}{2} K_{2}$, so it is a regular graph of degree $2 r+1$.
(ii): Let $n$ be odd. If $l<\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}\right)=2 r+2$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular. If $l \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}\right)=2 r$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not regular.
Proof follows by combining all the above cases together.
THEOREM 2.3. $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a wheel if and only if $n=4$ and $D=\{1,2,3\}$.
Proof. We consider the following cases.
Case (1): If $|D|=1$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{1}\right)=1=d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n}\right)$, so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not a wheel.
Case (2): If $|D|=2$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{1}\right)=2=d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n}\right)$, so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not a wheel.
Case (3): Let $|D|=3$.
Suppose $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a wheel. Then the degree of its central vertex is at most 6 , since $|D|=3$. Thus $n \leqslant 7$. Since the central vertex in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is adjacent to all the remaining vertices, it follows that $D=\{1,2,3\}$. Now it is easy to see that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is a wheel only when $n=4$.
$\operatorname{Case}(4):$ Let $|D| \geqslant 4$, then $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 4$, and $d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{n}\right) \geqslant 4$, so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not a wheel.

The proof follows by combining all the above cases together.
Theorem 2.4. Let $n \geqslant 2$ be an odd integer. Then $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ contains isolated vertices if and only if $k \in D$ for some $k,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$.

Proof. We prove only the case where $n$ is even as The case $n$ is odd is similar. So, assume $n$ is an even positive integer. Now let $n$ be an even positive integer.

Case (1): Let $k \in D$ for some $k, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$.
Then each vertex $v_{i}$ is adjacent to either $v_{i+k}$ or $v_{i-k}$, in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, and so $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ has no isolated vertices.
Case (2): Let $k \in D$ for some $k, \frac{n}{2}+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$.
Then $v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ is an isolated vertex in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, since $d_{P_{n}}\left(v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, v_{i}\right)<\frac{n}{2}$ for all $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

The above two cases completes the proof.
Theorem 2.5. $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is $C_{r}$-free $(r \geqslant 3)$ if and only if $D$ does not contain the elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r-1}$ ( $a_{i}$ 's not necessarily distinct), such that $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} \in D$, and for each $k=2,3, \ldots, r-2, \sum_{s=1}^{k} a_{i_{s}} \notin D, i_{s} \in\{1,2, \ldots, r-1\}$.

Proof. Suppose $D$ contains the elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r-1}$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} \in$ $D$, and for each $k=2,3, \ldots, r-2, \sum_{s=1}^{k} a_{i_{s}} \notin D, i_{s} \in\{1,2, \ldots, r-1\}$. Then

$$
v_{1}, v_{a_{1}+1}, v_{a_{1}+a_{2}+1}, \ldots, v_{a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{r}+1}, v_{1}
$$

is an induced cycle in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. Conversely, suppose that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ contains $C_{r}$ as an induced subgraph, let it be $C: v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{r}}, v_{i_{1}}$. Take

$$
a_{t}:=d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{i_{t}}, v_{i_{t+1}}\right), t=1,2, \ldots, r-1
$$

and

$$
a_{r}:=d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{i_{k}}, v_{i_{1}}\right) .
$$

Then $D$ contains the elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{r}$. Also they satisfies the conditions $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i}=a_{r} \in D$, and for each $k=2,3, \ldots, r-2, \sum_{s=1}^{k} a_{j_{s}} \notin D, j_{s} \in\{1,2, \ldots, r-1\}$, for otherwise, we get an induced cycle

$$
v_{i_{1}}, v_{a_{j_{1}}+i_{1}}, v_{a_{j_{1}}+a_{j_{2}}+i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{a_{j_{1}}+a_{j_{2}}+\cdots+a_{j_{k}}+i_{1}}, v_{i_{1}}
$$

for some $k, 3 \leqslant k \leqslant r-2$, as a subgraph of $C$, which contradicts to our assumption that $C$ is an induced cycle. Hence the proof.

By a similar argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.1. $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is acyclic if and only if $D$ does not contain the elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}$ ( $a_{i}$ 's are not necessarily distinct) such that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \in D$.

Theorem 2.6. $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is $K_{1, r}$-free $(r \geqslant 2)$ if and only if $D$ does not contain the elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}$ such that $\left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right| \notin D$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$.

Proof. Suppose $D$ contains the elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{r}$, such that $\left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right| \notin$ $D$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. Without loss of generality, we may take $a_{1}<a_{2}<$ $\cdots<a_{r}$. Then the vertex $v_{1}$ is adjacent to the vertices $v_{a_{1}+1}, v_{a_{2}+1}, \ldots, v_{a_{r}+1}$ in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$. Also the distance between any two of these vertices does not belong to $D$, since $\left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right| \notin D$ for all $i, j$. It follows that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ contains $K_{1, r}$ as an induced subgraph. Conversely, assume that $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ contains $K_{1, r}$ as an induced subgraph. Let $v$ be its central vertex, and $v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{r}}$ be its remaining vertices. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r}$. For each $t$, $1 \leqslant t \leqslant r$, let $a_{t}:=d_{P_{n}}\left(v, v_{i_{t}}\right)$. Then $a_{t}, 1 \leqslant t \leqslant r$ are all elements of $D$, and $\left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right| \notin D$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. Hence the proof.

ThEOREM 2.7. $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is bipartite if and only if $D$ does not contain the elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{2 r},\left(1 \leqslant r \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{2 r} a_{i} \notin D$

Proof. Suppose $D$ contains elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{2 r}\left(1 \leqslant r \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{2 r} a_{i} \in D$. Then the cycle $v_{1}, v_{a_{1}+1}, v_{a_{1}+a_{2}+1}, \ldots, v_{a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{2 r}+1}, v_{1}$, is of odd length in $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$, since $\sum_{i=1}^{2 r} a_{i} \in D$, and $P_{n}$ is a path, so this implies the existence of the vertex $v_{a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{2 r}+1}$ in $P_{n}$. Hence $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not bipartite. Conversely, suppose $G\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is not bipartite. Then it has an odd cycle, let it be $C: v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}, v_{i_{1}}$. Take $a_{t}:=d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{i_{t}}, v_{i_{t+1}}\right), t=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ and $a_{k}:=d_{G\left(P_{n}, D\right)}\left(v_{i_{k}}, v_{i_{1}}\right)$. Then $a_{i} \in D$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and since $P_{n}$ is a path, $\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{t}}=a_{k} \in D$. Note that, since $C$ is an odd cycle, we have $k-1$ is even. This completes the proof.
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