

## $\alpha$ - $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{h})$ -GERAGHTY CONTRACTION VIA APPLICABILITY

Esra Yolacan

ABSTRACT. The encouragement of presented writing is to acquire various theorems for  $\alpha$ - $(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{h})$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings having a mixed monotone property in partial order on metric spaces. An instance is preferred to display the straightness of the obtained findings. Further, we propel the applicability of our conclusion in finding the solution for a two-point boundary value problem. Our results not only extend and generalize several results in the fixed point theory literature but also unify most of them.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Banach [9] constituted a pivotal fixed point (FP) theorem referred to as the “Banach Contraction Principle” (BCP) which plays an essential role in mathematical science and is seen as the primary source of metric FP theory. Concerning the implementation potency of theory, several authors have extended, improved, and generalized FP theory by presenting novel contractive states and substituting complete metric space (CMS) via numerous abstract spaces; see e.g. [21], [12], [1], [16], [22], [19].

Geraghty [13] notably touched on one of the riveting generalizations of BCP in the context of CMS via support function. After that, the authors [7] obtained the findings of [13] in the setting of a partially ordered CMS. Kadelburg et al. [17] studied common coupled FP theorems for Geraghty-type contraction mappings ( $G$ - $tc$ m) involving mixed monotone property ( $mmp$ ). Yolacan&Kır [25] presented

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

*Key words and phrases*. Geraghty type mappings, two-point boundary value problem, ordered set, fixed point.

Communicated by Dusko Bogdanic.

the existence of a coupled FP for  $\alpha - (G - tcm)$  by way of a partially ordered CMS and also gave some applications to a directed graph. Hammad et al. [14] established novel resultants about FP results with the concept of  $\beta - \phi - (G - tcm)$  in partially ordered CMS. Handa [15] considered  $(G - tcm)$  and investigated some FP theorem for  $G$ -non-decreasing mappings on partially ordered CMS.

Ansari et al. [4] discussed some FP results for various contractions via ordered CMS. Ansari et al. [5] showed several FP theorems for a kind of contractive maps in a partial order on CMS using compatible mappings. Babu & Kumar [8] proved common FP of  $(\mathcal{F} - \hbar) - \varphi - \psi - \phi$ -weakly contractive maps on CMS. Mahmood et al. [20] obtained interesting consequences for  $(\mathcal{F}, \hbar)$  pair of up-class functions via  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mappings.

The goal of the available writing is to present FP theorems for  $\alpha - (\mathcal{F}, \hbar) - (G - tcm)$  in ordered CMS. Eventually, we deal with the applicability of our conclusions in the probe fields of the two-point boundary value problem.

In the cause of integrity, we place some base definitions and attention-grabbing results in the literature on the topic.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** [23] Let  $T : \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  and  $\alpha : \vartheta^2 \times \vartheta^2 \rightarrow R^+$  be endowed maps.  $T$  is  $(\alpha)$ -admissible mapping if

$$\alpha((p, q), (m, n)) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha((T(p, q), T(q, p)), (T(m, n), T(n, m))) \geq 1$$

for  $\forall m, n, p, q \in \vartheta$ .

In the sequel, we shall take  $\alpha((p, q), (m, n)) = \alpha_{pqmn}$  for purify.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** [10] An element  $(p, q) \in \vartheta^2$  is a coupled FP of  $T : \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  if

$$T(q, p) = q \text{ and } T(p, q) = p.$$

The partially order  $\leq$  in  $\vartheta$  could be promoted on  $\vartheta^2$  hereinbelow:

$$q \geq n \text{ and } p \leq m \text{ iff } (p, q), (m, n) \in \vartheta^2, (m, n) \geq (p, q).$$

**DEFINITION 1.3.** [10] Let  $(\vartheta, \leq)$  be a partial order set and  $T : \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  be a map. Here  $T$  is called to hold (mmp) if

$$p_1, p_2 \in \vartheta, \quad p_2 \geq p_1 \quad \text{implies} \quad T(p_2, q) \geq T(p_1, q)$$

and

$$q_1, q_2 \in \vartheta, \quad q_1 \leq q_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad T(p, q_2) \leq T(p, q_1)$$

for  $\forall p, q \in \vartheta$ .

**DEFINITION 1.4.** [6]  $\hbar : (R^+)^2 \rightarrow R$  is sub-class of type I if

$$p \geq 1 \implies \hbar(1, q) \leq \hbar(p, q) \text{ for } \forall q \in R^+.$$

**EXAMPLE 1.1.** [6] Let the function  $\hbar$  be a sub-class of type I. Here, for  $p, q \in R^+$

- (a)  $\hbar(p, q) = pq$ ;
- (b)  $\hbar(p, q) = 2^{-1}q(1+p)$ ;
- (c)  $\hbar(p, q) = (l+q)^p, 1 < l$ .

DEFINITION 1.5. [6] Let  $\tilde{h}, \mathcal{F} : (R^+)^2 \rightarrow R$  be two maps.  $(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{h})$  is an up-class of type I, if the function  $\tilde{h}$  is a sub-class of type I, also supplies:

- (a)  $0 \leq w \leq 1 \implies \mathcal{F}(w, v) \leq \mathcal{F}(1, v)$ ,
  - (b)  $\tilde{h}(1, q) \leq \mathcal{F}(1, v) \implies q \leq v$ ,
- for  $\forall w, v, q \in R^+$ .

EXAMPLE 1.2. [6] Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{h})$  is an up-class of type I. Then

- (a)  $\tilde{h}(p, q) = pq, \mathcal{F}(w, v) = wv$ ;
  - (b)  $\tilde{h}(p, q) = (p + 1)2^{-1}q, \mathcal{F}(w, v) = wv$ ;
  - (c)  $\tilde{h}(p, q) = (l + q)^p, 1 < l, \mathcal{F}(w, v) = wv$ ;
- for  $w \in [0, 1]$  and  $p, q, v \in R^+$ .

## 2. Main results

$\Omega$  is the collection of functions  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\beta(w_n) \rightarrow 1 \implies w_n \rightarrow 0$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $(\vartheta^2, \leq, d)$  be an order MS,  $T : \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  and  $\alpha : \vartheta^2 \times \vartheta^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be given mappings.  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{h})$ - $(G - tcm)$  if

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{h} \left( \alpha_{pqmn}, \frac{d(T(p, q), T(m, n)) + d(T(q, p), T(n, m))}{2} \right) \leq \mathcal{F} \left( \beta \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(q, n)}{2} \right), \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(q, n)}{2} \right) \right)$$

for all  $(m, n), (p, q) \in \vartheta^2$  via  $(m, n) \geq (p, q)$  where  $\beta \in \Omega$  and  $(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{h})$  is an up-class of type I.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $(\vartheta, \leq, d)$  be an ordered CMS,  $T : \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  be  $(\alpha)$ -admissible and  $\alpha$ - $(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{h})$ - $(G - tcm)$  through  $(mmp)$ . Given that the undermentioned states hold:

- (a) there exists  $p_0, q_0 \in \vartheta$  such that

$$\alpha_{T(p_0, q_0)T(q_0, p_0)p_0q_0} \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{q_0p_0T(q_0, p_0)T(p_0, q_0)} \geq 1,$$

- (b) (i)  $T$  is continuous, or

(ii)  $(\vartheta, \leq, d)$  is regular and, if  $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\} \subset \vartheta$  such that  $\alpha_{p_{n+1}q_{n+1}p_nq_n} \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_{q_n p_n q_{n+1} p_{n+1}} \geq 1$  and  $q_n \rightarrow q, p_n \rightarrow p$  for all  $q, p \in \vartheta$ , then  $\alpha_{ppq_nq_n} \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_{q_n p_n qp} \geq 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

If there exists  $p_0, q_0 \in \vartheta$  such that  $p_0 \leq T(p_0, q_0)$  and  $q_0 \geq T(q_0, p_0)$ , then  $T$  has a coupled FP.

PROOF. Constitute  $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\} \subset \vartheta$  via  $T(p_n, q_n) = p_{n+1}$  and  $T(q_n, p_n) = q_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We shall show that

$$(2.2) \quad p_{n+1} \geq p_n \text{ and } q_{n+1} \leq q_n, \forall n \geq 1.$$

Due to hyp., by  $p_0 \leq T(p_0, q_0) = p_1$  and  $q_0 \geq T(q_0, p_0) = q_1$ . Next, assume that (2.2) provides with for  $n \in N$ . Using  $(mmp)$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad p_{n+1} = T(p_n, q_n) \leq T(p_{n+1}, q_n) \leq T(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) = p_{n+2},$$

$$(2.4) \quad q_{n+1} = T(q_n, p_n) \geq T(q_{n+1}, p_n) \geq T(q_{n+1}, p_{n+1}) = q_{n+2}.$$

Beside, from (a), we obtain

$$\alpha_{T(p_0, q_0)T(q_0, p_0)(p_0, q_0)} = \alpha_{p_1 q_1 p_0 q_0} \geq 1,$$

in connection with  $(\alpha)$  – *admissibility* of  $T$

$$\alpha_{T(p_1, q_1)T(q_1, p_1)T(p_0, q_0)T(q_0, p_0)} = \alpha_{p_2 q_2 p_1 q_1} \geq 1,$$

by means of inductive, we get

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha_{p_{n+1} q_{n+1} p_n q_n} \geq 1, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Invariably, by use of (a) and  $(\alpha)$  – *admissibility* of  $T$

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha_{q_n p_n q_{n+1} p_{n+1}} \geq 1, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Suppose that if  $(p_n, q_n) = (p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})$  for some  $n$ , then

$$p_n = T(p_n, q_n) \text{ and } q_n = T(q_n, p_n),$$

we are done. Assume now that  $(p_n, q_n) \neq (p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Owing to  $(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) \geq (p_n, q_n)$ , using (2.1) and (2.5), we find out

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar \left( 1, \frac{d(p_{n+1}, p_n) + d(q_{n+1}, q_n)}{2} \right) \\ = & \hbar \left( 1, \frac{d(T(p_n, q_n), T(p_{n-1}, q_{n-1})) + d(T(q_n, p_n), T(q_{n-1}, p_{n-1}))}{2} \right) \\ \leq & \hbar \left( \times 2^{-1} \times \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_{p_n q_n p_{n-1} q_{n-1}} \\ d(T(p_n, q_n), T(p_{n-1}, q_{n-1})) \\ + d(T(q_n, p_n), T(q_{n-1}, p_{n-1})) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ \leq & \mathcal{F} \left( \beta \left( \frac{d(p_n, p_{n-1}) + d(q_n, q_{n-1})}{2} \right), \left( \frac{d(p_n, p_{n-1}) + d(q_n, q_{n-1})}{2} \right) \right) \\ \implies & \\ (2.7) \quad & \frac{d(p_{n+1}, p_n) + d(q_{n+1}, q_n)}{2} \leq \beta \left( \frac{d(p_n, p_{n-1}) + d(q_n, q_{n-1})}{2} \right) \\ & \times \left( \frac{d(p_n, p_{n-1}) + d(q_n, q_{n-1})}{2} \right) \\ & < \left( \frac{d(p_n, p_{n-1}) + d(q_n, q_{n-1})}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\omega_n := d(p_n, p_{n+1}) + d(q_n, q_{n+1})$ , then sequence  $\{\omega_n\}$  is decreasing. Hence, there is some  $\omega_n \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_n = \omega$ . Allege that  $\omega = 0$ . Assume, contrarily, that  $\omega > 0$ , as it is by (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{n-1}} & \leq \beta(2^{-1} \times \omega_{n-1}) < 1, \\ \implies & \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(2^{-1} \times \omega_{n-1}) & = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Herefrom,

$$(2.8) \quad d(p_{n-1}, p_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } d(q_{n-1}, q_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ due to } \beta \in \Omega$$

or

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\omega_{n-1}\} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thence  $\omega = 0$ , viz

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\omega_n\} = 0.$$

Now, we show that  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences ( $Cs$ ). Let's say, contrarily, that at the least one of  $\{p_n\}$  or  $\{q_n\}$  are not ( $Cs$ ). At that rate, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which we could obtain sub-sequences  $\{p_{a(l)}\}$ ,  $\{p_{b(l)}\}$  of  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_{a(l)}\}$ ,  $\{q_{b(l)}\}$  of  $\{q_n\}$  by way of  $l \leq b(l) < a(l)$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad d(p_{a(l)-1}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)-1}, q_{b(l)}) < \varepsilon \text{ and } d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)}) \geq \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\xi_l := d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})$ . From (2.10), (2.11) and using triangle inequality, we have

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \xi_l = \varepsilon.$$

We obtain, using the triangle inequality,

$$\xi_l \leq \omega_{a(l)} + \omega_{b(l)} + d(p_{a(l)+1}, p_{b(l)+1}) + d(q_{a(l)+1}, q_{b(l)+1}).$$

By (2.5),  $\alpha_{p_{a(l)}, q_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}, q_{b(l)}} \geq 1$ . Since  $b(l) < a(l)$ ,  $(p_{b(l)}, q_{b(l)}) \leq (p_{a(l)}, q_{a(l)})$  and from (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar \left( 1, \frac{d(p_{a(l)+1}, p_{b(l)+1}) + d(q_{a(l)+1}, q_{b(l)+1})}{2} \right) \\ = & \hbar \left( 1, 2^{-1} \times \left( \begin{array}{c} d(T(p_{a(l)}, q_{a(l)}), T(p_{b(l)}, q_{b(l)})) \\ + d(T(q_{a(l)}, p_{a(l)}), T(q_{b(l)}, p_{b(l)})) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ \leq & \hbar \left( \times \left( \frac{\alpha_{p_{a(l)}, q_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}, q_{b(l)}}{d(T(p_{a(l)}, q_{a(l)}), T(p_{b(l)}, q_{b(l)})) + d(T(q_{a(l)}, p_{a(l)}), T(q_{b(l)}, p_{b(l)}))} \right) \right) \\ \leq & \mathcal{F} \left( \beta \left( \frac{d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})}{2} \right), \left( \frac{d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})}{2} \right) \right) \\ = & \mathcal{F} \left( \beta \left( \frac{d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})}{2} \right), \left( \frac{\xi_l}{2} \right) \right) \\ \Rightarrow & \\ & d(p_{a(l)+1}, p_{b(l)+1}) + d(q_{a(l)+1}, q_{b(l)+1}) \leq \beta \left( \frac{d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})}{2} \right) \xi_l. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\xi_l \leq \omega_{a(l)} + \omega_{b(l)} + \beta \left( \frac{d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})}{2} \right) \xi_l.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\xi_l - \omega_{a(l)} - \omega_{b(l)}}{\xi_l} \leq \beta \left( \frac{d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})}{2} \right) < 1.$$

Using (2.10) and (2.12), we get

$$\beta \left( \frac{d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)})}{2} \right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) = 0 = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)}),$$

then

$$d(p_{a(l)}, p_{b(l)}) + d(q_{a(l)}, q_{b(l)}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a contradiction. This show that  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  are  $(Cs)$ . As  $\vartheta$  is a CMS, there consists  $p, q \in \vartheta$  such that

$$(2.13) \quad p_n \rightarrow p \text{ and } q_n \rightarrow q \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assume the hyp. (i) provides. Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.3) and (2.4), from (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(p_n, q_n) \\ &= T\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n\right) = T(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we show that  $q = T(q, x)$ .

Assume the hyp. (ii) is satisfied. Inasmuch as  $p_n \rightarrow p$  is a nondecreasing sequence, we obtain that  $p_n \leq p$  for all  $n$  and likewise  $q_n \geq q$  for all  $n$ , namely,  $(p_n, q_n) \leq (p, q)$ . Moreover, by (2.5), (2.6) and assumption (ii), we figure out  $\alpha_{pqp_nq_n} \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_{q_n p_n q p} \geq 1$ .

By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar(1, 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p_n, q_n), T(p, q)) + d(T(q_n, p_n), T(p, q)))) \\ & \leq \hbar(\alpha_{p_n q_n p q}, 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p_n, q_n), T(p, q)) + d(T(q_n, p_n), T(p, q)))) \\ & \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\beta\left(\frac{d(p_n, p) + d(q_n, q)}{2}\right), \left(\frac{d(p_n, p) + d(q_n, q)}{2}\right)\right) \\ \Rightarrow & \\ & 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p_n, q_n), T(p, q)) + d(T(q_n, p_n), T(p, q))) \\ & \leq \beta\left(\frac{d(p_n, p) + d(q_n, q)}{2}\right) \left(\frac{d(p_n, p) + d(q_n, q)}{2}\right) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $p = T(p, q)$ . Accordingly, one can show that  $q = T(q, p)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Appending the hypostasis of Theorem 2.1, given that for  $\forall (p, q), (w, v) \in \vartheta^2$ , there consists  $(m, n) \in \vartheta^2$  such that  $\alpha_{pqmn} \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_{wvmn} \geq 1$ , and also suppose that  $(m, n)$  is comparable to  $(p, q)$  and  $(w, v)$ . Here  $T$  hold a unique coupled FP.*

**PROOF.** On account of Theorem 2.1,  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Assume  $(p, q)$  and  $(w, v)$  are coupled FP of  $T$ ; that is;  $p = T(p, q), q = T(q, p), w = T(w, v)$  and  $v = T(v, w)$ . By hypothesis, there consists  $(m, n) \in \vartheta^2$  such that  $(m, n)$  is comparable to  $(p, q)$  and  $(w, v)$ . We define sequences  $\{m_l\}, \{n_l\}$  as follows

$$m_0 = m, n_0 = n, m_{l+1} = T(m_l, n_l) \text{ and } n_{l+1} = T(n_l, m_l) \text{ for all } l.$$

Seeing  $(m, n)$  is comparable with  $(p, q)$ , it is simple to express that  $p \leq m_1$  and  $q \geq n_1$ . Hence,  $p \leq m_l$  and  $q \geq n_l$  for all  $l$ . By presume, there exists  $(m, n) \in \vartheta^2$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad \alpha_{pqmn} \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{wvmn} \geq 1.$$

Owing to  $(\alpha)$  - *admissibility* of  $T$ , by (2.14), we infer

$$(2.15) \quad \alpha_{pqm_l n_l} \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{qpn_l m_l} \geq 1,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \alpha_{wvm_l n_l} \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{vwn_l m_l} \geq 1,$$

for all  $l$ . By (2.1), (2.5) and (2.6), we hold

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{h} \left( 1, \frac{d(p, m_l) + d(q, n_l)}{2} \right) \\ &= \bar{h} \left( 1, 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p, q), T(m_{l-1}, n_{l-1})) + d(T(q, p), T(n_{l-1}, m_{l-1}))) \right) \\ &\leq \bar{h} \left( 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p, q), T(m_{l-1}, n_{l-1})) + d(T(q, p), T(n_{l-1}, m_{l-1}))) \right) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F} \left( \beta \left( \frac{d(p, m_{l-1}) + d(q, n_{l-1})}{2} \right), \left( \frac{d(p, m_{l-1}) + d(q, n_{l-1})}{2} \right) \right) \\ &\Rightarrow \\ (2.17) \quad & \frac{d(p, m_l) + d(q, n_l)}{2} \leq \beta \left( \frac{d(p, m_{l-1}) + d(q, n_{l-1})}{2} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \frac{d(p, m_{l-1}) + d(q, n_{l-1})}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{d(p, m_{l-1}) + d(q, n_{l-1})}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\mu_l := d(p, m_l) + d(q, n_l)$ , then  $\{\mu_l\}$  is decreasing and nonnegative. Therefore, there is some  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_l = \mu$ .

We argue that  $\mu = 0$ . Supposing, contrarily, that  $\mu > 0$ . By switching to subsequences, if required, we can pretend that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta \left( \frac{\mu_{l-1}}{2} \right) = \theta$  exists (since  $0 \leq \beta \left( \frac{\mu_{l-1}}{2} \right) < 1$ ). Then by (2.17), taking  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\theta\mu = \mu$  and so  $\theta = 1$ . We get

$$d(p, m_{l-1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } d(q, n_{l-1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty \text{ since } \beta \in \Omega,$$

viz,

$$d(p, m_{l-1}) + d(q, n_{l-1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } l \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a contradiction. Thereby  $\mu = 0$ , viz,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \{d(p, m_l) + d(q, n_l)\} = 0.$$

It is implies

$$(2.18) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d(p, m_l) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(q, n_l).$$

Similarly, we get

$$(2.19) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d(w, m_l) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(v, n_l).$$

From (2.18) and (2.19), we have  $p = w$  and  $q = v$ . □

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let  $\vartheta = [0, \infty)$ ,  $d(p, q) = |p - q|$  for all  $p, q \in \vartheta$  and partial order be defined by  $p \leq q$ . Then  $(\vartheta, \leq, d)$  is regular and ordered CMS. Let  $\beta(v) = \frac{\ln(1+v)}{v} \in \Omega$  for all  $v \geq 0$ . Let a mapping  $T : \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  be defined by

$$T(p, q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+p}{1+q}\right), & \text{if } p \geq q \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define  $\alpha : \vartheta^2 \times \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  by

$$\alpha_{pqmn} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \geq m, q \leq n \\ 5^{-3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Farther, we define the mappings  $\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{h} : (R^+)^2 \rightarrow R$  by

$$\mathfrak{h}(p, q) = \begin{cases} pq, & \text{if } p \geq 1 \\ p, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(pq) = pq.$$

Herein,  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{h})$  is an up-class of type I. Next, we show that  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ - $(G - tcm)$ .

Following the lines of the solution of Example 2.4 in [18], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{h}(\alpha_{pqmn}, 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p, q), T(m, n)) + d(T(q, p), T(n, m)))) \\ & \alpha_{pqmn} 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p, q), T(m, n)) + d(T(q, p), T(n, m))) \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq \ln\left(1 + \frac{\lfloor |p - m| + |q - n| \rfloor}{2}\right) \\ & = \frac{\ln\left(1 + \frac{\lfloor |p - m| + |q - n| \rfloor}{2}\right) \lfloor |p - m| + |q - n| \rfloor}{\frac{\lfloor |p - m| + |q - n| \rfloor}{2}} \\ & \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\beta\left(\frac{d(p, m) + d(q, n)}{2}\right), \left(\frac{d(p, m) + d(q, n)}{2}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $p \geq m$  and  $q \leq n$ . In addition of this,

$$\frac{\lfloor |p - m| + |q - n| \rfloor}{2} = \frac{d(p, m) + d(q, n)}{2}.$$



Therefore, all states of Theorem 2.1 are provided. Also, the point  $(0,0)$  is the coupled FP of  $T$ .

### 3. Application

We appeal our consequences to prove in existence & uniqueness of solving the two-point boundary value problem

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{d^2 p}{dt^2}(v) = \varrho(v, p(v), p(v)), & v \in [0, 1], \\ p(0) = p(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varrho : [0, 1] \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a continuous function.

The Green function affiliated with (3.1) is endowed with

$$G(v, w) = \begin{cases} v(1-w), & 0 \leq v \leq w \leq 1 \\ w(1-v), & 0 \leq w \leq v \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We reckon with  $\vartheta = C(I, R)$  of continuous functions identified  $I = [0, 1]$ . Let

$$d(p, q) = \|p - q\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in I} |p(t) - q(t)|, \quad \forall p, q \in \vartheta.$$

Then  $(\vartheta, d)$  is a CMP.

Let  $\kappa : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function involving circumstances below:

- (a)  $\kappa$  is increasing;
- (b) for each  $v > 0$ ,  $\kappa(v) < v$ ;
- (c)  $\beta(v) = \frac{\kappa(v)}{v} \in \Omega$ .

For instance,  $\kappa(v) = \ln(1+v)$  are in  $\Omega$ .

We consider terms below:

- (i)  $\varrho : [0, 1] \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a continuous function.
- (ii) There exists  $\rho : R^2 \rightarrow R$  such that

$$0 \leq \varrho(v, a, c) - \varrho(v, b, d) \leq 2[\ln(1+a-b) + \ln(1+d-c)], \quad v \in I$$

for  $\forall a, b, c, d \in R$  via  $\rho(a, b) \geq 0, \rho(c, d) \geq 0$  and  $a \geq b, c \leq d$ .

- (iii) There exists  $p_1 \in \vartheta$  such that

$$\rho\left(p_1(v), \left(\int_0^1 G(v, w) \varrho(w, p(w), q(w)) dw\right)\right) \geq 0, \quad t \in I.$$

- (iv)  $\rho(p(v), u(v)) \geq 0$  for all  $p, m \in \vartheta$  implies for  $v \in I$ ,

$$\rho\left(\int_0^1 G(v, w) \varrho(w, p(w), q(w)) dw, \int_0^1 G(v, w) \varrho(w, m(w), n(w)) dw\right) \geq 0.$$

- (v) There exists  $(\gamma, \delta) \in \vartheta^2 \times \vartheta^2$  solution to

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{d^2 \gamma}{dt^2}(v) \leq \varrho(v, \gamma(v), \delta(v)), & v \in [0, 1] \\ -\frac{d^2 \delta}{dt^2}(v) \geq \varrho(v, \delta(v), \gamma(v)), & v \in [0, 1] \\ \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = \delta(0) = \delta(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** Assume that circumstances (i) – (v) are provided. In that case, (3.1) hold a unique solution in  $\vartheta^2$ .

PROOF. It is considered that  $p \in \vartheta^2$  is a solution of (3.1) iff  $p \in \vartheta$  is a solution of the expression below,

$$p(v) = \int_0^1 G(v, w) \varrho(w, p(w)) dw, \quad v \in I.$$

Define  $T : \vartheta^2 \rightarrow \vartheta$  by

$$T(p, q)(v) = \int_0^1 G(v, w) \varrho(w, p(w), q(w)) dw, \quad v \in I$$

for all  $p, q \in \vartheta$ .

From term (ii), it is obvious that  $T$  has the  $(mmp)$  in accordance with the partial order  $\leq$  in  $\vartheta$ .

Let  $p, q, m, n \in \vartheta$  such that  $p \geq m$  and  $q \leq n$ . By condition (ii), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-1} \times (d(T(p, q), T(m, n)) + d(T(q, p), T(n, m))) \\ = & 2^{-1} \sup_{v \in I} |T(p, q)(v) - T(m, n)(v)| + 2^{-1} \sup_{t \in I} |T(q, p)(v) - T(n, m)(v)| \\ = & 2^{-1} \sup_{v \in I} \int_0^1 G(v, w) |\varrho(w, p(w), q(w)) - \varrho(w, m(w), n(w))| ds \\ & + 2^{-1} \sup_{v \in I} \int_0^1 G(v, w) |\varrho(w, p(w), q(w)) - \varrho(w, n(w), m(w))| ds \\ \leq & 2^{-1} \sup_{v \in I} \int_0^1 2G(v, w) [\ln(1 + p(w) - m(w)) + \ln(1 + n(w) - q(w))] \\ & + 2^{-1} \sup_{v \in I} \int_0^1 2G(v, w) [\ln(1 + q(w) - n(w)) + \ln(1 + m(w) - p(w))] \\ \leq & 2^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{l} [\ln(1 + d(p, m)) + \ln(1 + d(n, q))] \\ + [\ln(1 + d(q, n)) + \ln(1 + d(m, p))] \end{array} \right) \left( \sup_{v \in I} \int_0^1 2G(v, w) \right) \\ \leq & 4^{-1} [[\ln(1 + d(p, m)) + \ln(1 + d(n, q))] \\ \leq & 4^{-1} [\ln(1 + d(p, m) + d(n, q)) + \ln(1 + d(n, q) + d(p, m))] \\ = & 2^{-1} \ln(1 + d(p, m) + d(n, q)) \\ \leq & \ln \left( 1 + \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} \right) \\ = & \frac{\ln \left( 1 + \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} \right)}{\frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2}} \cdot \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\kappa \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} + 1 \right)$$

and

$$\beta \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} \right) = \frac{\kappa \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} \right)}{\frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-1} (d(T(p, q), T(m, n)) + d(T(q, p), T(n, m))) \\ & \leq \beta \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} \right) \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $p, q, m, n \in \vartheta$ .

We define  $\alpha : \vartheta^2 \times \vartheta^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha_{pqmn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho(T(p, q)(t), T(m, n)(t)) \geq 0, t \in I \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for  $\forall p, q, m, n \in \vartheta$ , we own

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{pqmn} 2^{-1} (d(T(p, q), T(m, n)) + d(T(q, p), T(n, m))) \\ & \leq \beta \left( \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2} \right) \frac{d(p, m) + d(n, q)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (iii), there exists  $p_1 \in \vartheta$  such that

$$\alpha \left( p_1(v), \left( \int_0^1 G(v, w) \varrho(w, p(w), q(w)) dw \right) \right) = 1, v \in I.$$

Now, let  $(\gamma, \delta) \in \vartheta^2 \times \vartheta^2$  be a solution to (3.2). We claim that  $\gamma \leq T(\gamma, \delta)$  and  $\delta \geq T(\gamma, \delta)$ . In fact,

$$-\frac{d^2\gamma}{dt^2}(w) \leq \varrho(w, \gamma(w), \delta(w)), \quad w \in [0, 1].$$

Multiplying by  $G(v, w)$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^1 -\frac{d^2\gamma}{dt^2}(w) G(v, w) dw \leq T(\gamma, \delta)(v), \quad v \in [0, 1].$$

By  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = 0$  and using an integration, we have

$$\gamma(t) \leq T(\gamma, \delta)(v), \quad v \in [0, 1].$$

Then, we get  $\gamma \leq T(\gamma, \delta)$ . Similarly one can prove that  $\delta \geq T(\gamma, \delta)$ .

By taking  $\mathcal{F}(p, q) = \hbar(p, q) = pq$  in (2.1) and fulfilling Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, we deduce the existence of a uniqueness  $(p, q) \in \vartheta^2$  solution to  $p = T(p, q)$  and  $q = T(q, p)$ .  $\square$

The study of metric spaces plays the main role in most scientific fields both in applied and pure science such as computer science, biology, physics, and medicine, see [11], [24], [2], [3]. Therefore, we introduced and investigated some of novel FP theorems for  $\alpha - (\mathcal{F}, \hbar) - (G - tcm)$  having  $(mmp)$  in partially ordered metric spaces. Besides, we presented and verified these theorems for mappings satisfying the existence & unique of solving to the two-point boundary value problem. Inside of the future scope of the thought, the reader may infer, coupled coincidence and coupled common FP theorems on abstract space via a graph by considering auxiliary function.

## References

1. R. P. Agarwal, M. Jleli, and B. Samet, Banach Contraction Principle and Applications., *Fixed Point Theory in Metric Spaces*. Springer, Singapore, 2018.
2. J. Ahmada, A. E. Al-Mazrooeia, and T. M. Rassiasb, Common fixed point theorems with applications to theoretical computer science. *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, **14**(2)(2023), 1–10.
3. I. Ahmed, G. U. Modu, A. Yusuf, P. Kumam, and I. Yusuf, A mathematical model of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) containing asymptomatic and symptomatic classes. *Results in Physics.*, **21**(103776)(2021).
4. A. H. Ansari, H. Işık, and S. Radenovic, Coupled fixed point theorems for contractive mappings involving new function classes and applications. *Filomat.*, **31**(7)(2017), 1893–1907.
5. A. H. Ansari and D. Dolićanin-Djekić, T. Došenović and S. Radenovic, Coupled Coincidence Point Theorems for  $(\alpha - \mu - \psi - H - F)$  –Two Sided-Contractive Type Mappings in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces Using Compatible Mappings. *Filomat.*, **31**(9)(2017), 2657–2673.
6. A. H. Ansari and S. Shukla Some fixed point theorems for ordered  $F - (\mathcal{F}, h)$ –contraction and subcontractions in 0- $f$ -orbitally complete partial metric spaces. *Adv. Math. Stud.*, **9**(1)(2016), 37–53.
7. A. H. Amini and H. Emami, A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **72**(2010), 2238–2242.
8. G. V. R Babu and M. V. Kumar, Common fixed points of generalized  $F - H - \varphi - \psi - \phi$ –weakly contractive mappings. *Aligarh Bull. Math.*, **38**(1)(2019), 13–36.
9. S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equation integrales. *Fund. Math.*, **3**(1922), 133–181.1.
10. T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **65**(2006), 1379–1393.
11. P. L. Combettes and J. C. Pesque, Fixed Point Strategies in Data Science. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, **69**(2021).
12. A. Entesar and H. S. Mahmood, Improved the results of the Banach contraction method using the trapezoidal rule for solving differential equations. *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, **25**(8)(2022).
13. M. Geraghty, On contractive mappings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **40**(1973), 604–608.
14. H. A. Hammad, K. Abodayeh, and W. Shatanawi, Applying an Extended  $\beta - \phi$ –Geraghty Contraction for Solving Coupled Ordinary Differential Equations. *Symmetry.*, **72315**(3)(2023).
15. A. Handa, Applicability of Fixed Point Results in Boundary Value Problems Satisfying Generalized Geraghty-Type Contraction. *Thai J. Math.*, **21**(1)(2023), 201–217.
16. M. Jleli and B. Samet, A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle. *J Inequal Appl.*, **2014**(38)(2014).
17. Z. Kadelburg, P. Kumam, S. Radenović, and W. Sintunavarat, Common coupled fixed point theorems for Geraghty-type contraction mappings using monotone property. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2015**(27)(2015), 111–222.
18. E. Karapınar and R. P. Agarwal, A note on "Coupled fixed point theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$ –contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces". *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**(216)(2013).
19. S. H. Khan, A. E. Al-Mazrooei, and A. Latif, Banach Contraction Principle-Type Results for Some Enriched Mappings in Modular Function Spaces. *Axioms.*, **549**(12)6(2023).
20. Q. Mahmood, A. Shahzad, A. Shoaib, A. H. Ansari, and S. Radenovic, Common fixed point results for  $\alpha - \psi$ –contractive mappings via  $(\mathcal{F}, h)$  pair of upper class functions. *J. Math. Anal.*, **10**(4)(2019), 1–10.
21. B. E. Rhoades, A comprasion of various definitions of contractive mappings. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **226**(1997), 257–290.
22. S. Romaguera, On the Correlation between Banach Contraction Principle and Caristi's Fixed Point Theorem in b-Metric Spaces. *Mathematics.*, **136**(10)1(2022).
23. B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$ –contractive type mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75**(2012), 2154–2165.

24. P. A. Tass, Phase Resetting in Medicine and Biology: Stochastic Modelling and Data Analysis. *Springer Series in Synergetics*. Springer, 2006.
25. E. Yolacan and M. Kır, New Results for  $\alpha$ -Geraghty Type Contractive Maps with Some Applications. *Gazi Univ. J. Sci.*, **29**(3)(2016), 651–658.

Received by editors 10.10.2023; Revised version 23.12.2023; Available online 31.12.2023.

ESRA YOLACAN, CAPPADOCIA UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF APPLIED SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF AIRFRAME AND POWERPLANT MAINTENENCE, MUSTAFAPAŞA, ÜRGÜP / NEVŞEHİR, TÜRKİYE  
*Email address:* yolacanesra@gmail.com