

ON GENERALIZED (α, β) -DERIVATIONS IN d -ALGEBRAS

Aslıhan Şenbakar and Damla Yılmaz

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notions of generalized (α, β) -derivations on d -algebras. Also, the concepts of an α -ideal and G -invariant ideal are defined and relations among them are discussed. Moreover, some results regarding partial ordered edge d -algebras and generalized (α, β) -derivations are proved.

1. Introduction

Algebraic logic emerged as a subdiscipline of algebra in the nineteenth century. In the following years, studies on the relationship between logic and ordered systems such as Boolean algebras, lattice ordered groups, MV-algebras, etc. have been the subject of many researchers. For example, BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras are two classes of logic algebras introduced in 1966 ([4], [5]) and extensively investigated by many authors. It is known that BCK-algebras form a proper subclass of BCI-algebras. That is, every BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra but not vice versa ([3]). One of the generalizations of BCK-algebras, d -algebras, was presented by Neggers and Kim ([10]). After that, d -subalgebra, d -ideal and some related concepts defined and relations among them investigated by Neggers, Jun and Kim ([11]).

In the theory of rings, the notion of derivation was given by Posner who establish two very striking results on derivations in prime rings ([12]). Jun and Xin ([6]) introduced the notion of derivations of BCI-algebras, which was motivated from a lot of work done on the notion of derivations on d -algebras ([7], [8]) and their

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03G25; Secondary 06A06, 06F35.

Key words and phrases. d -algebras, generalized (α, β) -derivations, endomorphisms.

Communicated by Dusko Bogdanic.

references). In BCI-algebras, (α, β) -derivations studied and obtained some properties by several authors ([2], [9]). Recently, Al-Omary has introduced the notion of (α, β) -derivations on d -algebras and investigate their relations ([1]).

This paper presents the notions of generalized (α, β) -derivations of d -algebras. Also, we obtain some results regarding partial ordered edge d -algebras and generalized (α, β) -derivations. Furthermore, we introduce the concepts of an α -ideal, G -invariant ideal and we investigate their relations.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the definition of a d -algebra and properties that important for the study of d -algebras.

DEFINITION 2.1. [10] *A non-empty set $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a d -algebra if for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $a * a = 0$,
- (2) $0 * a = 0$,
- (3) $a * b = 0$ and $b * a = 0$ implies $a = b$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. [8] *A d -algebra \mathcal{A} has the following properties: for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$*

- (1) $a * (a * b) = b$
- (2) $(a * (a * b)) * b = 0$
- (3) $(a * b) * c = (a * c) * b$
- (4) $0 * (a * b) = (0 * a) * (0 * b)$
- (5) $a \leq b$ implies $a * c \leq b * c$ and $c * b \leq c * a$
- (6) $a * (a * (a * b)) = a * b$
- (7) $a * 0 = 0$ implies $a = 0$
- (8) $a * b = a * c$ implies $b = c$.

DEFINITION 2.2. [11] *Suppose $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}; *, 0)$ be a d -algebra and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. If $a * b \in I$ whenever $a, b \in I$, then I is called a d -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Also, I is called a d -ideal of \mathcal{A} if it satisfies:*

- (1) $a * b \in I$ and $b \in I$ imply $a \in I$
- (2) $a \in I$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ imply $a * b \in I$, i.e., $I * \mathcal{A} \subseteq I$.

LEMMA 2.1. [11] *If I is a d -ideal of a d -algebra \mathcal{A} , then $0 \in I$.*

DEFINITION 2.3. [10] *Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $a * \mathcal{A} = \{a * b \mid b \in \mathcal{A}\}$. If for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $a * \mathcal{A} = \{a, 0\}$, then \mathcal{A} is called an edge d -algebra.*

LEMMA 2.2. [7] *If \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra, then $a * 0 = a$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Notation: Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. We write $a \wedge b = b * (b * a)$.

DEFINITION 2.4. [1] *Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra, $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a mapping. If $\delta(a * b) = \delta(a) * \delta(b)$, then δ is called an endomorphism. Note that $\delta(0) = 0$.*

REMARK 2.1. [1] *If \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra and α is an endomorphism on \mathcal{A} , then $\alpha(a) * 0 = \alpha(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

DEFINITION 2.5. [1] Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra. A map $d_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a left-right (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} , if it satisfies the identity $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(ab) = d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\alpha(b) \wedge \beta(a)d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. If $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ satisfies $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(ab) = \alpha(a)d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(b) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\beta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, then $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is called a right-left (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . Also, if $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a left-right and right-left (α, β) -derivation, then $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is an (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} .

THEOREM 2.1. [1] Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, *, 0)$ be a d -algebra.

- (1) If $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a left-right (α, β) -derivation, then $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is regular.
- (2) If \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra and $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a right-left (α, β) -derivation, then $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is regular.

3. Generalized (α, β) -derivations on d -algebras

In this section, we introduce the notions of left generalized (α, β) -derivations and right generalized (α, β) -derivations of \mathcal{A} and investigate their basic properties. In what follows, α and β are endomorphisms of a d -algebra \mathcal{A} .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra. A mapping $G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a left generalized (α, β) -derivation if there exists a left-right (α, β) -derivation $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$G(a * b) = (G(a) * \alpha(b)) \wedge (\beta(a) * d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(b))$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

If there exists a right-left (α, β) -derivation $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ of \mathcal{A} and G satisfies the identity

$$G(a * b) = (\alpha(a) * G(b)) \wedge (d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a) * \beta(b))$$

then G is called a right generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} .

Clearly, the notion of left (resp. right) generalized (α, β) -derivation covers the concept of left-right (resp. right-left) (α, β) -derivation when $G = d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$. For simplicity of notations, we write ab instead of $a * b$.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Consider a d -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with the following Cayley table: (\mathcal{A} is not a BCK-algebra, [11])

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	3	0
3	3	3	2	0	3
4	3	3	1	1	0

Define a map

$$d_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, a \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & a = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\ 1, & a = 4 \end{cases}$$

and define two endomorphisms

$$\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, a \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & a = 0, 1 \\ 2, & a = 2, 3, 4 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, a \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & a = 0, 1 \\ 3, & a = 2, 3, 4 \end{cases}.$$

Then, $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a left-right (α, β) -derivation. If we define a map

$$G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, a \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & a = 0, 1, 3, 4 \\ 2, & a = 2 \end{cases}$$

then G is a left generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . But G is not a right generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . Because $G(20) = G(2) = 2$, on the other hand $\alpha(2)G(0) \wedge d(2)\beta(0) = 0$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Consider a d -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ with the following Cayley table:

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	b
b	b	b	0	0
c	c	c	a	0

Define a map

$$d_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0, b \\ a, & x = a \\ c, & x = c \end{cases}$$

and define two endomorphisms

$$\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0 \\ b, & x = a, c \\ a, & x = b \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0 \\ a, & x = a \\ b, & x = b, c \end{cases}.$$

Then, $d_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a right-left (α, β) -derivation. If we define a map

$$G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0, b \\ a, & x = a \\ c, & x = c \end{cases}$$

then G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . Here, G is not a left generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . $G(ca) = G(c) = c$, but $G(c)\alpha(a) \wedge \beta(c)d(a) = 0$.

DEFINITION 3.2. [1] Let G be a self map on a d -algebra \mathcal{A} . If $G(0) = 0$, then G is called regular.

EXAMPLE 3.3. *The left generalized (α, β) -derivation G and the right generalized (α, β) -derivation G in Example 3.1 and Example 3.2 are regular.*

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is a d -algebra and $G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a left generalized (α, β) -derivation. Hence, G is regular.*

PROOF. For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(0) &= G(0a) = G(0)\alpha(a) \wedge \beta(0)d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a) \\ &= G(0)\alpha(a) \wedge 0d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a) \\ &= G(0)\alpha(a) \wedge 0 \\ &= 0(0G(0)\alpha(a)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra and $G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation. Thus, G is regular.*

PROOF. Indeed, using Remark 2.1 and $aa = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(0) &= G(0a) = \alpha(0)G(a) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(0)\beta(a) \\ &= 0G(a) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(0)\beta(a) = 0 \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(0)\beta(a) \\ &= d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(0)\beta(a)(d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(0)\beta(a)0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra.*

(1) *If G is a left generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} , then $G(a) = G(a) \wedge \beta(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(2) *If G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} , then $G(a) = \alpha(a) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. (1) Let G be a left generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . Using Theorem 2.1(1), Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(a) &= G(a0) = G(a)\alpha(0) \wedge \beta(a)d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(0) \\ &= G(a)0 \wedge \beta(a)0 = G(a) \wedge \beta(a). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let G be a right generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . Using Theorem 2.1(2), Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.2 and Remark 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(a) &= G(a0) = \alpha(a)G(0) \wedge (d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\beta(0)) \\ &= \alpha(a)0 \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)0 = \alpha(a) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a). \end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 3.2. *Let α, β be two endomorphisms and G be a self map on a d -algebra \mathcal{A} . If $G(a) = \alpha(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then G is a left (or right) generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. Assume G be a self map on a d -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $G(a) = \alpha(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $a(ab) = b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(ab) &= \alpha(ab) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b) \\ &= G(a)\alpha(b) \\ &= (\beta(a)d_{(\alpha,\beta)}(b))((\beta(a)d_{(\alpha,\beta)}(b))(G(a)\alpha(b))) \\ &= G(a)\alpha(b) \wedge \beta(a)d_{(\alpha,\beta)}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can be shown that G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} . \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Assume that α, β are two endomorphisms and G is a self map on a d -algebra \mathcal{A} . If $G(a) = \alpha(a)$, then $G(ab) = G(a)G(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. Since $a(ab) = b$, using Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(ab) &= G(a)\alpha(b) \wedge \beta(a)d_{(\alpha,\beta)}(b) \\ &= \alpha(a)\alpha(b) \wedge \beta(a)d_{(\alpha,\beta)}(b) \\ &= \beta(a)d_{(\alpha,\beta)}(b)(\beta(a)d_{(\alpha,\beta)}(b)\alpha(a)\alpha(b)) \\ &= \alpha(a)\alpha(b) = G(a)G(b). \end{aligned}$$

\square

If $G = d_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is taken in the two theorems above, the Theorem 3.4. in [1] is obtained as a result.

DEFINITION 3.3. [1] *Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra and G_1, G_2 be two self maps. We define $G_1 \circ G_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $(G_1 \circ G_2)(a) = G_1(G_2(a))$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

THEOREM 3.4. [1] *Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra and $d_{1(\alpha,\beta)}, d_{2(\alpha,\beta)}$ be two left-right (α, β) -derivations on \mathcal{A} such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. Then, $d_{1(\alpha,\beta)} \circ d_{2(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a left-right (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} .*

THEOREM 3.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra and G_1, G_2 be two left generalized (α, β) -derivations associated with $d_{1(\alpha,\beta)}, d_{2(\alpha,\beta)}$ respectively on \mathcal{A} such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. Then, $G_1 \circ G_2$ is a left generalized (α, β) -derivation associated with $d_{1(\alpha,\beta)} \circ d_{2(\alpha,\beta)}$ on \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $a(ab) = b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G_1 \circ G_2)(ab) &= G_1(G_2(ab)) \\ &= G_1(G_2(a)\alpha(b) \wedge \beta(a)d_{2(\alpha,\beta)}(b)) \\ &= G_1(G_2(a)\alpha(b)) \\ &= G_1(G_2(a))\alpha^2(b) \wedge \beta(G_2(a))d_{1(\alpha,\beta)}(\alpha(b)) \\ &= G_1(G_2(a))\alpha(b) \\ &= (\beta(a)(d_{1(\alpha,\beta)} \circ d_{2(\alpha,\beta)})(b))(\beta(a)(d_{1(\alpha,\beta)} \circ d_{2(\alpha,\beta)})(b))(G_1 \circ G_2)(a)\alpha(b) \\ &= (G_1 \circ G_2)(a)\alpha(b) \wedge \beta(a)(d_{1(\alpha,\beta)} \circ d_{2(\alpha,\beta)})(b). \end{aligned}$$

\square

DEFINITION 3.4. [1] Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra. Define a binary relation \leq on \mathcal{A} by $a \leq b$ iff $ab = 0$. Thus, (\mathcal{A}, \leq) is a partially ordered set.

LEMMA 3.3. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra with partial order \leq . If G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} , then $G(a) \leq \alpha(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

PROOF. Let G be a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} . It follows from Theorem 3.1 (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} G(a) &= \alpha(a) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a) \\ &= d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)(d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\alpha(a)) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$G(a)\alpha(a) = (d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)(d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\alpha(a))\alpha(a).$$

Since $(a(ab))b = 0$, we have $G(a)\alpha(a) = 0$ and so $G(a) \leq \alpha(a)$. \square

LEMMA 3.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a d -algebra with partial order \leq and let G be a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} . Then, $G(ab) \leq \alpha(a)G(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G(ab) &= \alpha(a)G(b) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\beta(b) \\ &= (d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\beta(b))((d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\beta(b))(\alpha(a)G(b))). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(a(ab))b = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(ab)(\alpha(a)G(b)) &= (d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\beta(b))((d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(a)\beta(b))(\alpha(a)G(b)))(\alpha(a)G(b)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 3.5. Assume that \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra with partial order \leq and G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} .

- (1) For all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $G(G(a)) \leq \alpha(G(a))$.
- (2) If $\alpha(a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$G^{-1}(0) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid G(a) = 0\}$$

is a d -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

PROOF. (1) Assume that G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} . By Theorem 3.1 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(G(a)) &= \alpha(G(a)) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(G(a)) \\ &= d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(G(a))(d_{(\alpha, \beta)}(G(a))\alpha(G(a))). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides from the right by $\alpha(G(a))$, we get $G(G(a))\alpha(G(a)) = 0$ and so we have required result.

(2) By Lemma 3.2, we have G is a regular. Hence, $G^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a, b \in G^{-1}(0)$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $G(ab) \leq \alpha(a)G(b) = 0$, since $\alpha(a) = 0 = G(b)$. Hence, $G(ab) = 0$ and so $ab \in G^{-1}(0)$. Therefore, $G^{-1}(0)$ is a d -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . \square

THEOREM 3.6. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra with partial order \leq and G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. Then,*

$$G^n(G^{n-1}(\dots(G^2(G(a))))\dots) \leq \alpha(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

PROOF. Let's prove the theorem using the mathematical induction method. Let $n = 1$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $G(a) \leq \alpha(a)$. Assume that

$$G^n(G^{n-1}(\dots(G^2(G(a))))\dots) \leq \alpha(a)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\nabla_n = G^n(G^{n-1}(\dots(G^2(G(a))))\dots)$, that is $\nabla_n \leq \alpha(a)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{n+1}(\nabla_n) &= G^{n+1}(\nabla_n 0) \\ &= \alpha(\nabla_n)G^{n+1}(0) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{n+1}(\nabla_n)\beta(0) \\ &= \alpha(\nabla_n) \wedge d_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{n+1}(\nabla_n) \\ &= d_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{n+1}(\nabla_n)(d_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{n+1}(\nabla_n)\alpha(\nabla_n)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$G^{n+1}(\nabla_n)\alpha(\nabla_n) = ((d_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{n+1}(\nabla_n)(d_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{n+1}(\nabla_n)\alpha(\nabla_n))\alpha(\nabla_n))\alpha(\nabla_n) = 0,$$

since $(\alpha(ab))b = 0$. Thus, $G^{n+1}(\nabla_n) \leq \alpha(\nabla_n) \leq \alpha(a)$. This completes the proof. □

DEFINITION 3.5. *Let G be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of a d -algebra \mathcal{A} . We say that a d -ideal I of \mathcal{A} is an α -ideal (resp. β -ideal) if $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ (resp. $\beta(I) \subseteq I$).*

DEFINITION 3.6. *Let G be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of a d -algebra \mathcal{A} . We say that a d -ideal I of \mathcal{A} is G -invariant if $G(I) \subseteq I$.*

EXAMPLE 3.4. *Let G be a left generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} which described in Example 3.1 and $I = \{0, 1\}$. Then, I is both an α -ideal and β -ideal. Moreover, it is a G -invariant of \mathcal{A} .*

EXAMPLE 3.5. *Let G be a right generalized (α, β) -derivation on \mathcal{A} which described in Example 3.2 and $I = \{0, b\}$. It is clear that I is a β -ideal, but I is not an α -ideal. Also, I is a G -invariant of \mathcal{A} .*

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is an edge d -algebra, I is a d -ideal of \mathcal{A} and G is a right generalized (α, β) -derivation of \mathcal{A} . Then, G is regular iff every α -ideal of \mathcal{A} is G -invariant.*

PROOF. Suppose that I is an α -ideal of \mathcal{A} and G is regular. Let $b \in G(I)$. Then, we have $b = G(a)$ for some $a \in I$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $G(a) \leq \alpha(a)$, that is $G(a)\alpha(a) = 0 \in I$. Hence, $\alpha(a) \in \alpha(I) \subseteq I$ which implies that $b \in I$. Thus, $G(I) \subseteq I$ which implies that I is a G -invariant. Now, assume that every α -ideal of \mathcal{A} is G -invariant. The ideal $\{0\}$ is both α -ideal and G -invariant. Thus, we have $G(0) \subseteq \{0\}$ and so $G(0) = 0$. It implies that G is regular. □

References

- [1] R. M. Al-Omary, *On (α, β) -derivations in d -algebras*, Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, **12.4** (2019), 549–556.
- [2] A. M. Al-Roqi, *On generalized (α, β) -derivations in BCI-algebras*, Journal of applied mathematics and informatics, **32(1,2)** (2014), 27–38.
- [3] Q. P. Hu, *On some classes of BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **29** (1984), 251–253.
- [4] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, *On axiom systems of propositional calculi*, I. Proceedings of the Japan Academy, **41(6)** (1965), 436–439.
- [5] K. Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proceedings of the Japan Academy, **42(1)** (1966), 26–29.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, *On derivations of BCI-algebras*, Information Sciences, **159(3-4)** (2004), 167176.
- [7] N. Kandaraj and M. Chandramouleeswaran, *On Left derivations of d -algebras*, Int. J. Math. Arch., **3(11)** (2012), 39613966.
- [8] N. Kandaraj, *Derivations on d algebras*.
- [9] G. Muhiuddin and A. M. Al-Roqi, *On left (θ, φ) -derivations in BCI-algebras*, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, **22(2)** (2014), 157–161.
- [10] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On d -algebras*, Mathematica Slovaca, **49(1)** (1999), 19–26.
- [11] J. Neggers, Y. B. Jun, and H. S. Kim, *On d -ideals in d -algebras*, Mathematica Slovaca, **49(3)** (1999), 243–251.
- [12] E. C. Posner, *Derivation in prime rings*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1093–1100.

ASLIHAN ŞENBAKAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ERZURUM TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ERZURUM, TURKEY
Email address: senbakar9@gmail.com

DAMLA YILMAZ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ERZURUM TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ERZURUM, TURKEY
Email address: damla.yilmaz@erzurum.edu.tr