

## EIGENVALUE INTERVALS FOR ITERATIVE SYSTEMS OF THE SECOND-ORDER IMPULSIVE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

Dondu Oz and Ilkay Yaslan Karaca

**ABSTRACT.** The goal of this study is to find the eigenvalue intervals of the parameters  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  for which positive solutions exist in the second-order impulsive boundary value problem's iterative systems. To arrive at our conclusions, we utilize the fixed point theorem. An example is also provided to show the application of the main results.

### 1. Introduction

Because it is substantially richer than the comparable theory of differential equations without impulsive effects, it is commonly accepted that the theory and applications of differential equations with impulsive effects are an important area of research. Impulsive differential equations can be used to express a variety of models, including population, ecology, biological systems, pharmacokinetics, biotechnology, and optimal control. Control theory, electronics, chemistry, mechanics, economics, medicine, electrical circuits, and population dynamics all benefit from impulsive differential equations. For an introduction to the general theory of impulsive differential equations, see references [1, 2, 3, 12, 21, 22], and for applications of impulsive differential equations, see references [6, 15].

In the literature, several researchers have examined at second-order impulsive boundary value problems; for a list, see [5, 8, 10, 13, 14, 25, 26, 27] in references.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34B37; Secondary 34B18, 34B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Impulsive boundary value problems, positive solutions, fixed point theorems, iterative systems, eigenvalue interval, Green's function.

Communicated by Dusko Bogdanic.

In [8], Hu et al. studied the following nonlinear second-order impulsive differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) = h(t)f(t, u), & t \in J' = [0, 1] \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, \\ -\Delta u'|_{t=t_k} = I_k(u(t_k)), \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(u(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) = 0, \\ \gamma u(1) + \delta u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $\rho = \beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma + \alpha\delta > 0$ ,  $J = (0, 1)$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < 1$ ,  $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ ,  $\bar{J} = [0, 1]$ ,  $J_0 = (0, t_1]$ ,  $J_1 = (t_1, t_2]$ , ...,  $J_m = (t_m, 1)$ ,  $f \in C(\bar{J} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $I_k, \bar{I}_k \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ . The authors showed that the existence of one or two positive solutions are established by using the fixed point index theorem in cone.

In addition, some researchers have been interested in systems of the second-order impulsive boundary value problems; we recommend the reader to [5, 13, 14] for further information on these. Obtaining optimal eigenvalue intervals for the existence of positive solutions to iterative systems with nonlinear boundary value problems, on the other hand, has attracted interest due to the importance of both theory and applications. This line includes papers like [4, 7, 9, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23].

We consider the following iterative system of nonlinear second-order impulsive boundary value problem (IBVP) in this work, which is motivated by the above-mentioned result:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} y_i''(t) + \lambda_i p_i(t) g_i(y_{i+1}(t)) = 0, & t \in J = [0, 1], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ y_{n+1}(t) = y_1(t), \\ \Delta y_i|_{t=t_k} = \lambda_i I_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k)), & t \neq t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ \Delta y_i'|_{t=t_k} = -\lambda_i J_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k)), \\ \alpha y_i(0) - \beta y_i'(0) = 0, \\ c y_i(1) + d y_i'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $J = [0, 1]$ ,  $t \neq t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$  with  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p < 1$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\Delta y_i|_{t=t_k}$  and  $\Delta y_i'|_{t=t_k}$  represent the jump of  $y_i(t)$  and  $y_i'(t)$  at  $t = t_k$ , i.e.,

$$\Delta y_i|_{t=t_k} = y_i(t_k^+) - y_i(t_k^-), \quad \Delta y_i'|_{t=t_k} = y_i'(t_k^+) - y_i'(t_k^-),$$

where  $y_i(t_k^+)$ ,  $y_i'(t_k^+)$  and  $y_i(t_k^-)$ ,  $y_i'(t_k^-)$  symbolize the right-hand limit and left-hand limit of  $y_i(t)$  and  $y_i'(t)$  at  $t = t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , respectively.

Throughout this paper, we suppose that the following conditions are provided.

- (H1)  $a, b, c, d \in [0, \infty)$  with  $ac + ad + bc > 0$ ,
- (H2)  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,
- (H3)  $p_i \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ . On any closed subinterval of  $[0, 1]$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $p_i$  does not vanish identically.

(H4)  $I_{ik} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $J_{ik} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  are bounded functions such that  $[d + c(1 - t_k)]J_{ik}(\tau) > cI_{ik}(\tau)$ ,  $t < t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $\tau$  be any nonnegative number.

(H5) Each of

$$g_i^0 = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_i(y)}{y}, \quad I_{ik}^0 = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I_{ik}(y)}{y}, \quad J_{ik}^0 = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{J_{ik}(y)}{y},$$

exists as positive real number.

The purpose of this research is to find the eigenvalue intervals of  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , for which the iterative system of nonlinear second-order IBVP (1.1) has positive solutions. The fixed point theorem is the primary tool used for this. According to the authors' knowledge, the iterative system of nonlinear second-order IBVP (1.1) has not been studied before. Therefore, this paper will contribute to the literature.

The following is the outline of this paper's main structure. In Section 2, we present a number of definitions and fundamental lemmas that are useful in understanding our main result. The eigenvalue intervals for which the IBVP (1.1) iterative system has positive solutions are found in Section 3. In Section 4, we demonstrate how our main results may be used through an example.

### 2. Preliminaries

We begin with some background definitions on Banach spaces in this part, and then introduce auxiliary lemmas that will actually be useful later.

Let  $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ .  $C(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$  indicate the Banach space of all continuous mapping  $y : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with the norm  $\|y\| = \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|$ ,  $PC(J, \mathbb{R}^+) = \{y : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ :$

$y \in C(J')$ ,  $y(t_k^+)$  and  $y(t_k^-)$  exist and  $y(t_k^-) = y(t_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is also a Banach space with norm  $\|y\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|$ , and  $PC^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+) = \{y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}^+) : y' \in PC(J')$ ,  $y'(t_k^+)$  and  $y'(t_k^-)$  exist and  $y'(t_k^-) = y'(t_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a real Banach space with norm  $\|y\|_{PC^1} = \max\{\|y\|_{PC}, \|y'\|_{PC}\}$  where  $\|y\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|$ ,  $\|y'\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} |y'(t)|$ . Let  $\mathbb{B} = PC^1(J) \cap C^2(J')$ . If a function  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$  provides the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1), it is referred to as a solution of the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1).

We'll start with the situation of  $i = 1$  in the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1). So, we will provide the solution  $y_1$  of the IBVP (2.1). Then, since  $y_1$  is known, we can find  $y_n$ . If we continue in this direction, we will have  $y_{n-1}$ , then  $y_{n-2}$ , and eventually  $y_2$ . As a consequence, the solution  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  for the IBVP (1.1) iterative system is found.

Let  $h \in C[0, 1]$ , then we consider the following IBVP:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -y_1''(t) = h(t), & t \in J = [0, 1], t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ \Delta y_1|_{t=t_k} = \lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)), \\ \Delta y_1'|_{t=t_k} = -\lambda_1 J_{1k}(y_2(t_k)), \\ ay_1(0) - by_1'(0) = 0, \\ cy_1(1) + dy_1'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation are denoted by  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ .

$$(2.2) \quad -y_1''(t) = 0, t \in [0, 1],$$

under the initial conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \theta(0) = b, & \theta'(0) = a, \\ \phi(1) = d, & \phi'(1) = -c. \end{cases}$$

Using the initial conditions (2.3), we can deduce from equation (2.2) for  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  the following equations:

$$(2.4) \quad \theta(t) = b + at, \quad \phi(t) = d + c(1 - t).$$

Set

$$(2.5) \quad \rho := ad + ac + bc.$$

and

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (H1)-(H5) hold. If  $y_1 \in \mathbb{B}$  is a solution of the equation*

$$(2.6) \quad y_1(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)h(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{1k}(t, t_k),$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{cases} (b + as)[d + c(1 - t)], & s \leq t, \\ (b + at)[d + c(1 - s)], & t \leq s, \end{cases}$$

$$W_{1k}(t, t_k) =$$

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{cases} (b + at)[-c\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (d + c(1 - t_k))\lambda_1 J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))], & t < t_k, \\ (d + c(1 - t))[a\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (b + at_k)J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))], & t_k \leq t, \end{cases}$$

then  $y_1$  is a solution of the IBVP (2.1).

PROOF. Let  $y_1$  satisfies the integral equation (2.6), then we get

$$y_1(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)h(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{1k}(t, t_k),$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(t) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^t (b + as)[d + c(1 - t)]h(s)ds + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_t^1 (b + at)[d + c(1 - s)]h(s)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (d + c(1 - t))[a\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (b + at_k)J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{t < t_k < 1} (b + at)[-c\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (d + c(1 - t_k))\lambda_1 J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1'(t) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^t (-c)(b+as)h(s)ds + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_t^1 (a)[d+c(1-s)]h(s)ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (-c)[a\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (b+at_k)J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{t < t_k < 1} (a)[-c\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (d+c(1-t_k))\lambda_1 J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1''(t) &= \frac{1}{\rho} (-ct - (d+c(1-t)))h(t) \\
&= -h(t),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$y_1''(t) + h(t) = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1(0) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^1 b[d+c(1-s)]h(s)ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^p b[-c\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (d+c(1-t_k))\lambda_1 J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1'(0) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^1 (a)[d+c(1-s)]h(s)ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^p (a)[-c\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (d+c(1-t_k))\lambda_1 J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))],
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$(2.9) \quad ay_1(0) - by_1'(0) = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1(1) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^1 (b+as)(c+d)h(s)ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^p (c+d)[a\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (b+at_k)J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$y_1'(1) = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^1 (-c)(b+as)h(s)ds + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^p (-c)[a\lambda_1 I_{1k}(y_2(t_k)) + (b+at_k)J_{1k}(y_2(t_k))],$$

we get

$$(2.10) \quad cy_1(1) + dy_1'(1) = 0.$$

From equations (2.9) and (2.10), we have that the conditions of the IBVP (2.1) are satisfied.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that (H1)-(H5) hold, then for any  $t, s \in J$ , we have*

$$(2.11) \quad 0 \leq G(t, s) \leq G(s, s).$$

PROOF. It is easily obtained from equation (2.7).  $\square$

We note that an  $n$ -tuple  $(y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t))$  is a solution of the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1) if and only if

$$y_1(t) = \lambda_1 \int_0^1 G(t, s_1)p_1(s_1)g_1 \left( \lambda_2 \int_0^1 G(s_1, s_2)p_2(s_2)g_2 \left( \lambda_3 \int_0^1 G(s_2, s_3)p_3(s_3)g_3 \dots \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. g_{n-1} \left( \lambda_n \int_0^1 G(s_{n-1}, s_n)p_n(s_n)g_n(y_1(s_n))ds_n + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{nk}(s_{n-1}, t_k) \right) ds_{n-1} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{n-1,k}(s_{n-2}, t_k) \right) ds_{n-2} + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{3k}(s_2, t_k) \right) ds_2 \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{2k}(s_1, t_k) \right) ds_1 + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{1k}(t, t_k). \\ y_i(t) = \lambda_i \int_0^1 G(t, s)p_i(s)g_i(y_{i+1}(s))ds + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{ik}(t, t_k), \quad t \in J,$$

$$y_{n+1}(t) = y_1(t).$$

and

$$W_{ik}(t, t_k) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{cases} (b+at)[-c\lambda_i I_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k)) + (d+c(1-t_k))\lambda_i J_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k))], & t < t_k, \\ (d+c(1-t))[a\lambda_i I_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k)) + (b+at_k)J_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k))], & t_k \leq t. \end{cases}$$

To identify the eigenvalue intervals for which the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution, we will apply the following fixed point theorem [24].

**THEOREM 2.1.** [24] *Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Assume that  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $E$  with  $\theta \in \Omega$  and let  $T : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow E$  be a completely continuous operator such that*

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega.$$

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .*

### 3. Main results

In this section, we establish criteria to determine the eigenvalues for which the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

Now, we define an integral operator  $\mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ , for  $y_1 \in \mathbb{B}$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} Ty_1(t) = & \lambda_1 \int_0^1 G(t, s_1)p_1(s_1)g_1 \left( \lambda_2 \int_0^1 G(s_1, s_2)p_2(s_2)g_2 \left( \lambda_3 \int_0^1 G(s_2, s_3)p_3(s_3)g_3 \dots \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. g_{n-1} \left( \lambda_n \int_0^1 G(s_{n-1}, s_n)p_n(s_n)g_n(y_1(s_n))ds_n + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{nk}(s_{n-1}, t_k) \right) ds_{n-1} \right. \right. \\ (3.1) \quad & \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{n-1,k}(s_{n-2}, t_k) \right) ds_{n-2} + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{3k}(s_2, t_k) \right) ds_2 \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{2k}(s_1, t_k) \right) ds_1 + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{1k}(t, t_k). \end{aligned}$$

The operator  $T$  is completely continuous by an application of the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem.

Let

$$N := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \left[ \left( \int_0^1 G(s, s)p_i(s)ds + \frac{p}{\rho}(2a + b)(c + d) \right) \cdot \left( \max\{g_i^0, I_{ik}^0, J_{ik}^0\} \right) \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Assume that conditions (H1)-(H5) are satisfied. Then, for each  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  satisfying*

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda_i < N, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

*there exists an  $n$ -tuple  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  satisfying (1.1) such that  $y_i(t) > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , on  $J$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\lambda_r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , be as in (3.2). Now, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \lambda_r \leq & \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \left[ \left( \int_0^1 G(s, s)p_i(s)ds + \frac{p}{\rho}(2a + b)(c + d) \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \cdot \left( \max\{g_i^0 + \varepsilon, I_{ik}^0 + \varepsilon, J_{ik}^0 + \varepsilon\} \right) \right]^{-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The fixed points of the completely continuous operator  $T : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  defined by (3.1) are investigated. Based on the definitions of  $g_i^0, I_{ik}^0, J_{ik}^0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there is a  $K_1 > 0$  such that, for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$g_i(y) \leq (g_i^0 + \varepsilon)y, \quad I_{ik}(y) \leq (I_{ik}^0 + \varepsilon)y, \quad J_{ik}(y) \leq (J_{ik}^0 + \varepsilon)y, \quad 0 < y < K_1.$$

Let  $y_1 \in \mathbb{B}$  with  $\|y_1\| = K_1$ . We obtain from Lemma 2.2 and the choice of  $\varepsilon$ , for  $0 \leq s_{n-1} \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_n \int_0^1 G(s_{n-1}, s_n) p_n(s_n) g_n(y_1(s_n)) ds_n + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{nk}(s_{n-1}, t_k) \\ & \leq \lambda_n \left[ \left( \int_0^1 G(s_n, s_n) p_n(s_n) ds_n + \frac{p}{\rho} (2a+b)(c+d) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left( \max\{g_n^0 + \varepsilon, I_{nk}^0 + \varepsilon, J_{nk}^0 + \varepsilon\} \right) \right] \|y_1\| \\ & \leq K_1. \end{aligned}$$

It proceeds in the same way from Lemma 2.2, for  $0 \leq s_{n-2} \leq 1$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{n-1} \int_0^1 G(s_{n-2}, s_{n-1}) p_{n-1}(s_{n-1}) g_{n-1} \left( \lambda_n \int_0^1 G(s_{n-1}, s_n) p_n(s_n) g_n(y_1(s_n)) ds_n \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{nk}(s_{n-1}, t_k) \right) ds_{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{n-1,k}(s_{n-2}, t_k) \\ & \leq \lambda_{n-1} \left[ \left( \int_0^1 G(s_{n-1}, s_{n-1}) p_{n-1}(s_{n-1}) ds_{n-1} + \frac{p}{\rho} (2a+b)(c+d) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left( \max\{g_{n-1}^0 + \varepsilon, I_{n-1,k}^0 + \varepsilon, J_{n-1,k}^0 + \varepsilon\} \right) \right] \|y_1\| \\ & \leq \|y_1\| = K_1. \end{aligned}$$

If we continue this bootstrapping argument, we get, for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 \int_0^1 G(t, s_1) p_1(s_1) g_1(\lambda_2 \dots) ds_1 + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{1k}(t, t_k) \\ & \leq \lambda_1 \left[ \left( \int_0^1 G(s_1, s_1) p_1(s_1) ds_1 + \frac{p}{\rho} (2a+b)(c+d) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left( \max\{g_1^0 + \varepsilon, I_{1k}^0 + \varepsilon, J_{1k}^0 + \varepsilon\} \right) \right] K_1 \\ & \leq K_1 = \|y_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|Ty_1\| \leq K_1 = \|y_1\|$ . If we established  $\Omega_1 = \{y \in \mathbb{B} : \|y\| < K_1\}$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad \|Ty_1\| \leq \|y_1\| \text{ for } y_1 \in \partial\Omega.$$

We can see that  $T$  has a fixed point  $y_1 \in \bar{\Omega}$  by applying Theorem 2.1 to (3.3). As a result, by setting  $y_{n+1} = y_1$ , we get a positive solution  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  of the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1) given iteratively by

$$y_r(t) = \lambda_r \int_0^1 G(t, s) p_r(s) g_r(y_{r+1}(s)) ds + \sum_{k=1}^p W_{rk}(t, t_k), \quad r = n, n-1, \dots, 1.$$

□

#### 4. An Example

**Example 4.1** In the iterative system of the IBVP (1.1), suppose that  $n = p = 3$ ,  $p_i(t) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $a = c = 6, b = d = 3$  i.e.,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} y_i''(t) + \lambda_i g_i(y_{i+1}(t)) = 0, & t \in J = [0, 1], t \neq t_k, 1 \leq i, k \leq 3, \\ \Delta y_i|_{t=t_k} = \lambda_i I_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k)), \\ \Delta y_i'|_{t=t_k} = -\lambda_i J_{ik}(y_{i+1}(t_k)), \\ 6y_i(0) - 3y_i'(0) = 0, \\ 6y_i(1) + 3y_i'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

where

$$g_1(y_2) = y_2(2 - e^{-y_2}), \quad g_2(y_3) = y_3(4 - 3e^{-2y_3}), \quad g_3(y_1) = y_1(3 - \frac{5}{2}e^{-3y_1}),$$

$$I_{1k}(y_2) = \frac{3y_2^2 + 4y_2}{4 + y_2}, \quad I_{2k}(y_3) = \frac{2y_3^3 + 4y_3}{5 + y_3}, \quad I_{3k}(y_1) = \frac{5y_1^2 + 2y_1}{5 + 2y_1},$$

$$J_{1k}(y_2) = \frac{6y_2^2 + 8y_2}{2 + y_2}, \quad J_{2k}(y_3) = \frac{4y_3^3 + 8y_3}{3 + y_3}, \quad J_{3k}(y_1) = \frac{10y_1^2 + 4y_1}{3 + y_1}.$$

It is clear that (H1)-(H5) has been satisfied. By simple calculation, we get  $\rho = 72, \theta(t) = 3 + 6t, \phi(t) = 9 - 6t$  and

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{72} \begin{cases} (3 + 6s)(9 - 6t), & s \leq t, \\ (3 + 6t)(9 - 6s), & t \leq s. \end{cases}$$

We obtain

$$g_1^0 = 1, \quad g_2^0 = 1, \quad g_3^0 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad I_{1k}^0 = 1, \quad I_{2k}^0 = \frac{4}{5}, \quad I_{3k}^0 = \frac{2}{5}, \quad J_{1k}^0 = 4, \quad J_{2k}^0 = \frac{8}{3}, \quad J_{3k}^0 = \frac{4}{3},$$

and

$$N = \min\{0.0410958904109589, 0.0616438356164384, 0.1232876712328767\}.$$

Using Theorem 2.1, we obtain the optimal eigenvalue interval of

$$\lambda_i < 0.0410958904109589, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which has a positive solution to the impulsive boundary value problem (4.1).

#### References

- [1] D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov, *Systems with Impulse Effect, Stability Theory and Applications*. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1989.
- [2] D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov, *Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications of Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific Technical, Vol. 66. Harlow, UK, 1993.
- [3] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and S. K. Ntouyas, *Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions vol. 2 of Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications*. Hindawi, Publishing Corporation, New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- [4] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and S. K. Ntouyas, Eigenvalue problems for systems of nonlinear boundary value problems on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2007**(031640) (2008).

- [5] J. R. Graef, H. Kadari, A. Ouahab and A. Oumansour, Existence results for systems of second-order impulsive differential equations. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae Vol.*, **88**(1) (2019), 51–66.
- [6] Y. Guo, Globally robust stability analysis for stochastic Cohen–Grossberg neural networks with impulse control and time-varying delays. *Ukr. Math. J.*, **69** (2017), 1049–1060.
- [7] J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas and I. K. Purnaras, Positive solutions for systems of m-point nonlinear boundary value problems. *Math. Model. Anal.*, **13**(3) (2008), 357–370.
- [8] L. Hu, L. Liu and Y. Wu, Positive solutions of nonlinear singular two-point boundary value problems for second-order impulsive differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **196** (2008), 550–562.
- [9] I. Y. Karaca and F. T. Fen, Eigenvalues for iterative systems of dynamic equations with integral boundary conditions. *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Sér. A1 Math. Stat.*, **64**(1) (2015), 87–97.
- [10] I. Y. Karaca and D. Oz, Upper and lower solutions for second-order with M-point impulsive boundary value problems. *Filomat*, **35**(13) (2021), 4327–4340.
- [11] I. Y. Karaca and F. Tokmak, Eigenvalues for iterative systems of nonlinear m-point boundary value problems on time scales. *Bound. Value Probl.*, **63** (2014).
- [12] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov and P. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations vol. 6 of Series in Modern Applied Mathematics*. Word Scientific Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, USA, 1989.
- [13] E. Lee and Y-H. Lee, Multiple positive solutions of a singular Gelfand type problem for second-order impulsive differential systems. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **40**(3-4) (2004), 307–328.
- [14] E. Lee and Y-H. Lee, Multiple Positive solutions of a singular Emden-Fowler type problem for second-order impulsive differential systems. *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2011**(212980)(2011).
- [15] S. Pasquero, Ideality criterion for unilateral constraints in time-dependent impulsive mechanics. *J. Math. Phys.*, **46** (2005), 1–83.
- [16] K. R. Prasad, N. Sreedhar and K. R. Kumar, Solvability of iterative systems of three-point boundary value problems. *TWMS J. APP. Eng. Math.*, **3**(2) (2013), 147–159.
- [17] K. R. Prasad, N. Sreedhar and Y. Narasimhulu, Eigenvalue intervals for iterative systems of nonlinear m-point boundary value problems on time scales. *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.*, **22**(4) (2014), 353–368.
- [18] K. R. Prasad, N. Sreedhar and M. A. S. Srinivas, Eigenvalue intervals for iterative systems of nonlinear three-point boundary value problems on time scales. *Nonlinear Stud.*, **22**(3) (2015), 419–431.
- [19] A. K. Rao and S. N. Rao, Eigenvalues for iterative systems of nonlinear second order boundary value problems on time scales. *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.*, Vol. **2** (2012), 47–57.
- [20] S. N. Rao and A. K. Rao, Eigenvalue intervals for iterative systems of nonlinear boundary value problems on time scales. *Creat. Math. Inform.*, **22**(1)(2013), 95–104.
- [21] A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Peretyuk, *Impulsive Differential Equations of Word Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science Series A: Monographs and Theatyses Word Scientific*. Vol. **14**. River Edge, NJ, USA, 1995.
- [22] D. R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*. University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [23] N. Sreedhar and K. R. Prasad, Existence of positive solutions for iterative systems of nonlinear m-point boundary value problems on time scales. *Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **5**(2) (2017), 200–210.
- [24] J. X. Sun, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Application*. Science Press, Beijing, 2008.
- [25] I. Yaslan and E. Tozak, Existence results for second-order multi-point impulsive time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals. *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.*, **11**(3) (2021), 527–538.
- [26] I. Yaslan and E. Tozak, Positive solutions for second-order impulsive time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals. *Filomat*, **35**(12) (2021), 4209–4220.

- [27] K. Zhang, J. Xu and D. O'Regan, Weak solutions for a second order impulsive boundary value problem. *Filomat*, **31**(20) (2017), 6431–6439.

Received by editors 24.4.2022; Revised version 9.5.2022; Available online 18.5.2022.

DONDU OZ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EGE UNIVERSITY, 35100 BORNOVA, IZMIR, TURKEY

*Email address:* dondu.oz@ege.edu.tr

ILKAY YASLAN KARACA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EGE UNIVERSITY, 35100 BORNOVA, IZMIR, TURKEY

*Email address:* ilkay.karaca@ege.edu.tr