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Abstract. In the present paper, we introduce new applications on fourthorder differential subordination associated with differential linear operator $I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda)$ in the punctured open unit disk $\mathbb{U}^{*}$. Also, we obtain some new results.

## 1. Introduction, definitions, and preliminaries

Denote by $\mathbb{C}$ be a complex plane $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U})$ be the class of functions which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<1\}$, for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}$ being the set of positive integers, let

$$
\mathbb{H}[a, n]=\left\{f \in \mathbb{H}: f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots \quad\right\}, \text { and } \mathbb{H}_{1}=\mathbb{H}[1,1] .
$$

Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and meromorphic univalent in the punctured open unit disk

$$
\mathbb{U}^{*}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}, 0<|z|<1\}=\mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Ali et al. [2] introduced and investigated the linear operator

$$
J_{1}(n, \lambda): \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma,
$$

[^0]that is obtained as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}(n, \lambda) f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k+\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad\left(z \in \mathbb{U}^{*}, \lambda>1\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function

$$
\Phi(z, s, r)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{(r+k)^{s}}, r \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}, s \in \mathbb{C} \text { when } 0<|z|<1
$$

A linear operator $I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda): \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$ (see $[\mathbf{9}]$ ) is defined
$I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z)=\frac{\Phi(z, s, r)}{z r^{-s}} * J_{1}(n, \lambda) f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r}{1+k+r}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{k+\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$.
It is easily verified from (1.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z\left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\lambda-1) I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)-\lambda I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z)  \tag{1.4}\\
& \quad I_{0, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z)=J_{1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \text { and } I_{0, r, 1}(0, \lambda) f(z)=f(z)
\end{align*}
$$

In 2011, Antonino and Miller [3] presented basic concepts and extended the theory of the second-order differential subordination in the open unit disk introduced by Miller and Mocanu [13] to the third-order case. Many scholar have discussed and dealt with second-order differential subordination and superordination theory in recent years, like $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4}]$. There are many authors who discussed the theory of the third-order differential subordination for example $[4,5,15,16,17,18,19]$, few authors introduced the theory of fourth-order differential subordination for example ( $[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}]$ ). In this paper, using methods of fourth-order differential subordination, sufficient conditions obtained.

To prove our main results, we need the basic concepts in theory of the fourthorder.

Definition 1.1. ([13]) Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be members of the analytic function class $\mathbb{H}$. The function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $F(z)$, or $F(z)$ is superordinate to $f(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$, such that $f(z)=F(w(z))(z \in \mathbb{U})$. In this case, we write $f \prec F$ or $f(z) \prec F(z)$. If the function $F(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$
f(z) \prec F(z)(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=F(0), \text { and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset F(\mathbb{U})
$$

Definition 1.2. ([3])Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the set of analytic and univalent functions $q$ on the set $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \backslash E(q)$, where

$$
E(q)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U}: \lim _{z \longrightarrow \zeta} q(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and are such that $\min \left|q^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|=\rho>0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$. Further, let the subclass of $\mathbb{Q}$ for which $q(0)=a$ be denoted by $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ with $\mathbb{Q}(0)=\mathbb{Q}_{0}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(1)=\mathbb{Q}_{1}, \mathbb{Q}_{1}=$ $\{q \in \mathbb{Q}: q(0)=1\}$.

Definition 1.3. ([6]) Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{5} \times \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that $h(z)$ be univalent function in $\mathbb{U}$. If $p(z)$ is analytic function in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfies the following fourth-order differential subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z), z^{3} p^{(3)}(z), z^{4} p^{(4)}(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)$ is called a solution of the differential subordination (1.5). A univalent function $q(z)$ is called a dominant of the solution of (1.5), or, more simply, a dominant if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.5). A dominant $\tilde{q}(z)$ which satisfies $\tilde{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants $q(z)$ of (1.5) is said to be the best dominant.

Lemma 1.1. ([6]) Let $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ with $r_{0}=\left|z_{0}\right|$. For $n \geqslant 3$. Let

$$
f(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+a_{n+2} z^{n+2}+\ldots
$$

be continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}}}$ and analytic in $\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}} \cup\left\{z_{0}\right\}$, with $f(z) \neq 0$.If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max \left\{|f(z)|: z \in \overline{\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}}}\right\}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $m \geqslant n$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}=m  \tag{1.7}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}+1\right\} \geqslant m \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+3 z_{0}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+z_{0}^{3} f^{(3)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \geqslant m^{2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+7 z_{0}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+6 z_{0}^{3} f^{(3)}\left(z_{0}\right)+z_{0}^{4} f^{(4)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \geqslant m^{3} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.2. ([6]) Let $p \in \mathbb{H}[a, n]$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $q(0)=$ a for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}}}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=q^{-1}[p(z)]=f(z) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists points $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \phi_{0}} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $s_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$ such that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=q\left(s_{0}\right)$ and $p\left(\overline{\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}}}\right) \subset q(\mathbb{U})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{s_{0} q^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right\} \geqslant 0, \quad\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(s)}\right| \leqslant k \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{s_{0}^{2} q^{(3)}\left(s_{0}\right)}{q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right\} \geqslant 0, \quad\left|\frac{z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(s)}\right| \leqslant k^{2}, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{0}=\left|z_{0}\right|$. Then there exists $m \geqslant n \geqslant 3$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) & =m s_{0} q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)  \tag{1.14}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}+1\right\} & \geqslant m \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{s_{0} q^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}+1\right\}, \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+3 z_{0}^{2} p^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+z_{0}^{3} p^{(3)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \geqslant m^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{s_{0} q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+3 s_{0}^{2} q^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+s_{0}^{3} q^{(3)}\left(s_{0}\right)}{s_{0} q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right\} . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+7 z_{0}^{2} p^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+6 z_{0}^{3} p^{(3)}\left(z_{0}\right)+z_{0}^{4} p^{(4)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}  \tag{1.17}\\
\geqslant & m^{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{s_{0} q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+7 s_{0}^{2} q^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+6 s_{0}^{3} q^{(3)}\left(s_{0}\right)+s_{0}^{4} q^{(4)}\left(s_{0}\right)}{s_{0} q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}^{3} p^{(4)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \geqslant k^{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{s_{0}^{3} q^{(4)}\left(s_{0}\right)}{q^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right\} . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.4. ([6]) Let $\Omega$ be a set in $\mathbb{C}, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{2\}$. The class $\Psi_{n}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{5} \times \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$
\varphi(r, s, t, u, v ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
r=q(\zeta), s=k \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta), \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{t}{s}+1\right\} \geqslant k \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{u}{s}\right\} \geqslant k^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{2} q^{(3)}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{v}{s}\right\} \geqslant k^{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{3} q^{(4)}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\}
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$ and $k \geqslant n$.
The next theorem is the foundation result in the theory of fourth-order differential subordinations.

Theorem 1.1. (See [6]) Let $p \in \mathbb{H}[a, n]$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{2\}$. Also, let $q \in \mathbb{Q}(a)$ and satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{2} q^{(3)}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\} \geqslant 0, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right| \leqslant k^{2} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$ and $k \geqslant n$. If $\Omega$ is a set in $\mathbb{C}, \varphi \in \Psi_{n}[\Omega, q]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z), z^{3} p^{(3)}(z), z^{4} p^{(4)}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec q(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

## 2. Fourth-order differential subordination with $I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z)$

We first define the following class of admissible function, which are required in proving the differential subordination theorem involving the operator $I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z)$ defined by (1.3).

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega$ be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{1}$. The class $\mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{5} \times \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$
\phi(a, b, c, d, e ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { whenever } \\
& \qquad a=q(\zeta), b=\frac{k \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)+\lambda q(\zeta)}{\lambda-1} \\
& \qquad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) c-\lambda(\lambda-1) a}{(\lambda-1) b-\lambda a}-(2 \lambda-1)\right\} \geqslant k \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\} \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3) d-3 \lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) c+2 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) a}{(\lambda-1) b-\lambda a}+3 \lambda(\lambda+1)\right\} \geqslant k^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{2} q^{(3)}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4) e-4 \lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3) d+6 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-2) c-3 \lambda\left(\lambda^{3}+2 \lambda^{2}-\lambda+2\right) a}{(\lambda-1) b-\lambda a}\right. \\
\left.-4 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}+9 \lambda+2\right)\right\} \geqslant k^{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{3} q^{(4)}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$ and $k \geqslant 3$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \Sigma$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{2} q^{(3)}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\} \geqslant 0,\left|\frac{I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right| \leqslant k^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\phi \left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z),\right.\right.  \tag{2.2}\\
\left.\left.I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) ; z\right): z \in \mathbb{U}\right\} \subset \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \prec q(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $F(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (1.3) with respect to z with using (2.3), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)=\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)+\lambda F(z)}{\lambda-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a similar argument, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z)=\frac{z^{2} F^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 \lambda z F^{\prime}(z)+\lambda(\lambda-1) F(z)}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}  \tag{2.5}\\
I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z)=\frac{z^{3} F^{(3)}(z)+3 \lambda z^{2} F^{\prime \prime}(z)+3 \lambda(\lambda-1) z F^{\prime}(z)+\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) F(z)}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) & =\frac{z^{4} F^{(4)}(z)+4 \lambda z^{3} F^{(3)}(z)+6 \lambda(\lambda-1) z^{2} F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4)}  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\frac{4 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) z F^{\prime}(z)+\lambda\left(\lambda^{3}-6 \lambda^{2}+11 \lambda-6\right) F(z)}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Define the transformation from $\mathbb{C}^{5}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(r, s, t, u, v)=r, b(r, s, t, u, v)=\frac{s+\lambda r}{\lambda-1}, c(r, s, t, u, v)=\frac{t+2 \lambda s+\lambda(\lambda-1) r}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)} \\
d(r, s, t, u, v)=\frac{u+3 \lambda t+3 \lambda(\lambda-1) s+\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) r}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
e(r, s, t, u, v)=\frac{v+4 \lambda u+6 \lambda(\lambda-1) t+4 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) s+\lambda\left(\lambda^{3}-6 \lambda^{2}+11 \lambda-6\right) r}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4)}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi(r, s, t, u, v ; z)=\phi(a, b, c, d, e ; z) \\
=\phi\left(r, \frac{s+\lambda r}{\lambda-1}, \frac{t+2 \lambda s+\lambda(\lambda-1) r}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}, \frac{u+3 \lambda t+3 \lambda(\lambda-1) s+\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) r}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)}\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
\left.\frac{v+4 \lambda u+6 \lambda(\lambda-1) t+4 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) s+\lambda\left(\lambda^{3}-6 \lambda^{2}+11 \lambda-6\right) r}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4)} ; z\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof will make use of Lemma 1.1. Using (2.3) to (2.7) and from (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi\left(F(z), z F^{\prime}(z), z^{2} F^{\prime \prime}(z), z^{3} F^{(3)}(z), z^{4} F^{(4)}(z) ; z\right) \\
&=\phi\left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z),\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
&\left.\quad I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) ; z\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (2.2) becomes

$$
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z), z^{3} p^{(3)}(z), z^{4} p^{(4)}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{t}{s}+1=\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) c-\lambda(\lambda-1) a}{(\lambda-1) b-\lambda a}-(2 \lambda-1), \\
\frac{u}{s}=\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3) d-3 \lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) c+2 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) a}{(\lambda-1) b-\lambda a}+3 \lambda(\lambda+1),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{v}{s}= & \frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4) e-4 \lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3) d+6 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-2) c-3 \lambda\left(\lambda^{3}+2 \lambda^{2}-\lambda+2\right) a}{(\lambda-1) b-\lambda a} \\
& -4 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}+9 \lambda+2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 2.1 is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\varphi \in \Psi_{3}[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition 1.4 with $n=3$. Therefore, by using (2.1) and Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
F(z)=I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \prec q(z) .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Our next corollary is an extension of Theorem 2.1 to the case when the behavior of $q(z)$ on $\partial \mathbb{U}$ is not known.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, and let the function $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ with $q(0)=1$. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{A}_{l}\left[\Omega, q_{\rho}\right]$ for some $\rho \in(0,1)$, where $q_{\rho}(z)=q(\rho z)$. If the function $f \in \Sigma$ and $q_{\rho}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(2.10)
$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{2} q_{\rho}^{(3)}(\zeta)}{q_{\rho}^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\} \geqslant 0,\left|\frac{I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z)}{q_{\rho}^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right| \leqslant k^{2},\left(z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geqslant 3, \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E\left(q_{\rho}\right)\right)$,
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z),\right. \\
\left.I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z) \prec q(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Proof. By using Theorem 1.1, we get

$$
I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \prec q_{\rho}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Then, we obtain the result from

$$
q_{\rho}(z) \prec q(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega=h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathbb{U}$ onto $\Omega$. In this case, the class $\mathbb{A}_{l}[h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\mathbb{A}_{l}[h, q]$. The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{A}_{l}[h, q]$. If the function $f \in \Sigma$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{0}$ satisfy the condition (2.1), and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z),\right.  \tag{2.11}\\
\left.I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z),
\end{gather*}
$$

then $I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \prec q(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})$.
The next result is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.1.
Corollary 2.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, and $q$ be univalent function in $\mathbb{U}$ with $q(0)=1$. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{A}_{l}\left[h, q_{\rho}\right]$ for some $\rho \in(0,1)$, where $q_{\rho}(z)=q(\rho z)$. If the function $f \in \Sigma$ and $q_{\rho}$ satisfy conditions (2.10), and (2.11), then

$$
I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \prec q_{\rho}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

The following theorem yield the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.11).

Theorem 2.3. Let $h$ be univalent function in $\mathbb{U}$. Also, let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{5} \times \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that the differential equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi\left(q(z), \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)+\lambda q(z)}{\lambda-1}, \frac{z^{2} q^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 \lambda z q^{\prime}(z)+\lambda(\lambda-1) q(z)}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}\right.  \tag{2.12}\\
\frac{z^{3} q^{(3)}(z)+3 \lambda z^{2} q^{\prime \prime}(z)+3 \lambda(\lambda-1) z q^{\prime}(z)+\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) q(z)}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)}, \\
\left.\frac{z^{4} q^{(4)}(z)+4 \lambda z^{3} q^{(3)}(z)+6 \lambda(\lambda-1) z^{2} q^{\prime \prime}(z)+4 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) z q^{\prime}(z)+\lambda\left(\lambda^{3}-6 \lambda^{2}+11 \lambda-6\right) q(z)}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4)} ; z\right)=h(z)
\end{gather*}
$$

has a solution $q(z)$ with $q(0)=1$, which satisfies the condition (2.1). If the function $f \in \Sigma$ that satisfies condition (2.11), and if the function

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z),\right. \\
\left.I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) ; z\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$
I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \prec q(z)
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Proof. From Theorem 1.1, we see that $q(z)$ is a dominant of (2.11). Since $q(z)$ satisfies (2.12), it has also a solution of (2.11) and therefore $q$ will be dominated by all dominants. Hence $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

In view of Definition 2.1 and in a special case when $q(z)=M z,(M>0)$, the class of admissible functions $\mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, M]$, is described as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Omega$ be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, and $M>0$. The class $\mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, M]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{5} \times \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that (2.13)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(M e^{i \theta}, \frac{k+\lambda}{\lambda-1} M e^{i \theta}, \frac{L+[2 \lambda k+\lambda(\lambda-1)] M e^{i \theta}}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}, \frac{N+3 \lambda L+\left[3 \lambda(\lambda-1) k+\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right)\right] M e^{i \theta}}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)}\right. \\
\left.\frac{A+4 \lambda N+6 \lambda(\lambda-1) L+\left[4 \lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-3 \lambda+2\right) k+\lambda\left(\lambda^{3}-6 \lambda^{2}+11 \lambda-6\right)\right] M e^{i \theta}}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)(\lambda-4)} ; z\right) \notin \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

whenever $z \in \mathbb{U}, \operatorname{Re}\left(L e^{-i \theta}\right) \geqslant(k-1) k M, \operatorname{Re}\left(N e^{-i \theta}\right) \geqslant 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(A e^{-i \theta}\right) \geqslant 0(\theta \in$ $\mathbb{R} ; k \geqslant 3)$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, M]$. If the function $f \in \Sigma$ that satisfies

$$
\left|I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda)\right| \leqslant k^{2} M \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geqslant 3, M>0)
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z),\right. \\
\left.I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
\left|I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda)\right|<M
$$

In the special case, when $\Omega=q(\mathbb{U})=\{w:|w|<M\}$, the class $\mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\mathbb{A}_{l}[M]$. Corollary 2.3 can now be written in the following form:

Corollary 2.4. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{A}_{l}[\Omega, M]$. If the function $f \in \Sigma$ that satisfies

$$
\left|I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z)\right| \leqslant k^{2} M \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}, k \geqslant 3, M>0)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \phi\left(I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z),\right. \\
& \left.I_{s, r, 1}(n+3, \lambda) f(z), I_{s, r, 1}(n+4, \lambda) f(z) ; z\right) \mid<M
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\left|I_{s, r, 1}(n, \lambda) f(z)\right|<M
$$
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