BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE ISSN (p) 2303-4874, ISSN (o) 2303-4955 www.imvibl.org /JOURNALS / BULLETIN Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., **12**(1)(2022), 175-179 DOI: 10.7251/BIMVI2201175J

> Former BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA ISSN 0354-5792 (o), ISSN 1986-521X (p)

COMPLETE MONOTONICITY OF SOME EXPONENTIAL AND TRIGAMMA RELATED FUNCTIONS

Vladimir Jovanović¹ and Milanka Treml¹

ABSTRACT. Induced by the inequalities in [4], we show that the functions $\theta(x,m) - \psi'(x+1)$ and $\psi'(x+1) - \theta(x,m)$ are not completely monotonic for any m > 0, where $\theta(x,m) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(e^{\frac{m}{x+1}} - e^{\frac{-m}{x}} \right)$ and ψ is digamma function.

1. Introduction

Recall that completely monotonic function (shortly CM) is an infinitely differentiable function $f: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ with the property

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)} \ge 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Fundamental for CM functions is *Bernstein theorem*: a function f is completely monotonic if and only if

(1.1)
$$f(x) = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-xt} d\mu(t), \ x > 0$$

for a non-negative Borel measure μ on $[0, \infty)$. The measure μ is unique (see [2], p. 61). Principle motivation for our paper are the inequalities

(1.2)
$$\theta(x,p) < \psi'(x+1) < \theta(x,q), \ x > 0,$$

where $\theta(x,m) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(e^{\frac{m}{x+1}} - e^{-\frac{m}{x}} \right)$ and ψ is digamma function. It is proved in [4] that p = 1 and q = 2 are the best possible constants in (1.2) among all $p, q \in (0, \infty)$. Now, one is tempted to ask whether $\theta(x,m) - \psi'(x+1)$ and $\psi'(x+1) - \theta(x,m)$ are CM functions for some m > 0. We show that, however, it is never the case. In order

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26A48; Secondary 30E20.

 $[\]mathit{Key}\ \mathit{words}\ \mathit{and}\ \mathit{phrases.}\ \mathit{Complete}\ \mathit{monotonicity}, \ \mathit{digamma}\ \mathit{function},\ \mathit{moment}\ \mathit{problem.}$

Communicated by Dusko Bogdanic.

¹⁷⁵

JOVANOVIĆ AND TREML

to accomplish the proof, we represent both functions in the form $\int_0^\infty \varphi(t) e^{-xt} dt$ and show that φ may be negative in both cases. Our considerations rely on the results in [3].

2. Formulations and proofs

The main ingredient in the proofs of our assertions is the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $\int_0^\infty |\varphi(t)| e^{-\varepsilon t} dt < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If $f(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) e^{-xt} dt$ is CM, then $\varphi \ge 0$.

PROOF. Then $f(x + \varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) e^{-\varepsilon t} e^{-xt} dt$ is also CM and according to Proposition 2.2 in [3], we have $\varphi(t) e^{-\varepsilon t} \ge 0$. Hence, $\varphi \ge 0$.

Next, we need

LEMMA 2.1. If
$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!(n+1)!}$$
, then for all $x > 0$

(2.1)
$$g(x) = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{x}} \int_0^1 \frac{t \sinh(2t\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt$$

(2.2)
$$g(-x) = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{x}} \int_0^1 \frac{t\sin(2t\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt$$

PROOF. Thanks to Cauchy integral formula for derivatives of exp, one obtains $\frac{1}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^z}{z^{n+1}} dz$, where Γ is the positively oriented circle |z| = R. Consequently, for all $x \neq 0$

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!(n+1)!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i x} \int_{\Gamma} e^z \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x/z)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right) dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i x} \int_{\Gamma} e^z \left(e^{\frac{x}{z}} - 1 \right) dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i x} \int_{\Gamma} \exp\left(z + \frac{x}{z} \right) dz.$$

Let x > 0 and Γ_x be the positively oriented circle $|z| = \sqrt{x}$. Note that for all $z \in \Gamma_x$ the numbers z and $\frac{x}{z}$ are complex-conjugate and therefore $z + \frac{x}{z} = 2\Re(z)$. If we use the parametrization $\gamma(t) = \sqrt{x}(t \pm i\sqrt{1-t^2}), t \in [-1,1]$ of Γ_x , we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_x} \exp\left(z + \frac{x}{z}\right) dz = \sqrt{x} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{2t\sqrt{x}} \left(1 + i\frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}\right) dt$$
$$-\sqrt{x} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{2t\sqrt{x}} \left(1 - i\frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}\right) dt$$
$$= 2i\sqrt{x} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{2t\sqrt{x}} \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt = 4i\sqrt{x} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t\sinh(2t\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt,$$

176

whence (2.1) for x > 0. Since g is an entire function, then owing to the uniqueness theorem

(2.3)
$$g(z) = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{z}} \int_0^1 \frac{t \sinh(2t\sqrt{z})}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that the right-hand side in (2.3) is even in \sqrt{z} , so it is independent on the branch we use for \sqrt{z} . Now, from $\sqrt{-x} = i\sqrt{x}$ for x > 0 and $\sinh(iz) = i \sin z$, one obtains (2.2).

Our first assertion concerning complete monotonicity is

PROPOSITION 2.2. The function $f(x) = \theta(x,m) - \psi'(x+1)$ is not completely monotonic for any m > 0, where

$$\theta(x,m) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(e^{\frac{m}{x+1}} - e^{-\frac{m}{x}} \right)$$

and $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$ is digamma function.

PROOF. From $\frac{1}{x^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty t^n e^{-xt} dt$ for all x > 0 and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x,m) &= \frac{1}{2m} \left(e^{\frac{m}{x+1}} - e^{-\frac{m}{x}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^n}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{1}{(x+1)^{n+1}} + \frac{(-1)^n}{x^{n+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(mt)^n}{n!(n+1)!} \left(e^{-t} + (-1)^n \right) e^{-xt} dt, \end{aligned}$$

so we obtain

(2.4)
$$\theta(x,m) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_m(t) e^{-xt} dt, \quad x > 0,$$

where $\varphi_m(t) = \frac{g(mt)e^{-t}+g(-mt)}{2}$. We will use Proposition 2.1 to show that $\theta(x,m)$ is not CM for any m > 0. In order to achieve that task, we prove that for any m > 0 there exists t > 0 such that $\varphi_m(t) < 0$. By using (2.1), (2.2) and introducing the substitution $s = \sqrt{mt}$, we get

$$2\varphi_m(t) = g(s^2)e^{-s^2/m} + g(-s^2) = \frac{2}{\pi s} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{t\sinh(2ts)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{m}} + \int_0^1 \frac{t\sin(2ts)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \right) dt$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi s} I_1(s) + \frac{2}{\pi s} I_2(s).$$

Applying $\sinh x \leqslant e^x$ for $x \geqslant 0$ and $\int_0^1 \frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt = 1$, we have

$$I_1(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{t \sinh(2ts)}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{m}} dt \leqslant e^{2s - \frac{s^2}{m}}.$$

Let $s = n\pi$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, it is

$$I_2(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{t\sin(2tn\pi)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\int_{\frac{2k}{2n}}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n}} \frac{t\sin(2tn\pi)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt + \int_{\frac{2k+1}{2n}}^{\frac{2k+2}{2n}} \frac{t\sin(2tn\pi)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \right).$$

If we apply substitution $t = y + \frac{1}{2n}$ in the second integral above, then, thanks to $\sin(2tn\pi) = \sin(2(y + \frac{1}{2n})n\pi) = -\sin(2yn\pi)$, one obtains

$$I_2(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{2k}{2n}}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n}} \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} - \frac{t+\frac{1}{2n}}{\sqrt{1-\left(t+\frac{1}{2n}\right)^2}} \right) \sin(2tn\pi) \, dt.$$

For $t \in (\frac{2k}{2n}, \frac{2k+1}{2n})$ it is $2tn\pi \in (2k\pi, (2k+1)\pi)$ and therefore $\sin(2tn\pi) \ge 0$. On the other hand, if we apply Lagrange theorem, it follows that for certain $\eta \in (t, t + \frac{1}{2n})$ it is

$$\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} - \frac{t+\frac{1}{2n}}{\sqrt{1-\left(t+\frac{1}{2n}\right)^2}} = -\frac{1}{2n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\eta^2)^3}} \leqslant -\frac{1}{2n}.$$

Consequently,

$$I_2(s) \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{-1}{2n} \int_{\frac{2k}{2n}}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n}} \sin(2nt\pi) \, dt = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{-1}{2n^2\pi} = -\frac{1}{2n\pi} = -\frac{1}{2s}$$

and for $s = n\pi$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we finally deduce

$$2\varphi_m(t) = \frac{2}{\pi s} \left(I_1(s) + I_2(s) \right) \leqslant \frac{2}{\pi s} \left(e^{2s - s^2/m} - \frac{1}{2s} \right) < 0,$$

for *n* large enough. It is certainly $\int_0^\infty |\varphi_m(t)| e^{-\varepsilon t} dt < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, from Proposition 2.1 and (2.4) we conclude that $\theta(x,m)$ is not CM. On the other hand, we know that $\psi'(x+1)$ is completely monotonic. This follows from the representation $\psi'(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} e^{-xt} dt$, which is due to S. Ramanujan (see [1, p. 260]). If $f(x) = \theta(x,m) - \psi'(x+1)$ were CM, then $\theta(x,m) = f(x) + \psi'(x+1)$ would also be CM (as a sum of two CM functions), which is not.

Our last assertion is

PROPOSITION 2.3. The function $f(x) = \psi'(x+1) - \theta(x,m)$ is not CM for any m > 0, where the functions θ and ψ are as in the previous proposition.

PROOF. Following procedure from the proof of the preceding proposition, we see that in this case $f(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_m(t) e^{-xt} dt$, where

$$\varphi_m(t) = \frac{te^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} - \frac{g(mt)e^{-t} + g(-mt)}{2}$$

and φ_m obeys the condition $\int_0^\infty |\varphi_m(t)| e^{-\varepsilon t} dt < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Again, we prove that for any m > 0 it is $\varphi_m(t) < 0$ for certain t > 0. Since $g(mt) \ge 0$, it follows $2\varphi_m(t) \le \frac{2t}{e^t-1} - g(-mt)$ and the substitution $s = \sqrt{mt}$ together with (2.2) yields

$$(2.5) \ 2\varphi_m(t) \leqslant h(s) = \frac{2s^2}{m(e^{\frac{s^2}{m}} - 1)} - g(-s^2) = \frac{2s^2}{m(e^{\frac{s^2}{m}} - 1)} - \frac{2}{\pi s} \int_0^1 \frac{t\sin(2ts)}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \, dt.$$

178

Now, if we set $s = n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and carry out similar steps as in the proof of the previous proposition, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t\sin(2ts)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \, dt &= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t\sin((2n+1)\pi t)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2n+1}} \frac{t\sin((2n+1)\pi t)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \, dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}}^{\frac{2k}{2n+1}} \frac{t\sin((2n+1)\pi t)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \, dt \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\frac{2k}{2n+1}}^{\frac{2k}{2n+1}} \frac{t\sin((2n+1)\pi t)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \, dt \\ &\geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}}^{\frac{2k}{2n+1}} \frac{t\sin((2n+1)\pi t)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \, dt + \int_{\frac{2k}{2n+1}}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n+1}} \frac{t\sin((2n+1)\pi t)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \, dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\frac{2k}{2n+1}}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n+1}} \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} - \frac{t-\frac{1}{2n+1}}{\sqrt{1-(t-\frac{1}{2n+1})^{2}}} \right) \sin((2n+1)\pi t) \, dt \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\frac{2k}{2n+1}}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n+1}} \sin((2n+1)\pi t) \, dt \\ &= \frac{2n}{(2n+1)^{2}\pi} = \frac{2s-\pi}{4s^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, if $s = n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then owing to (2.5), we have

$$2\varphi_m(t) \le h(s) \le \frac{2s^2}{m(e^{\frac{s^2}{m}} - 1)} - \frac{2s - \pi}{2s^3\pi} < 0,$$

for large n, and again, Proposition 2.1 concludes the proof.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, Mineola, New York, 1965.
- [2] C. Berg, G. Forst, Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1975.
- [3] V. Jovanović, M. Treml, An application of a moment problem to completely monotonic functions, to appear in Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.
- [4] Z.-H. Yang, Y.-M. Chu, X.-J. Tao, A Double Inequality for the Trigamma Function and Its Applications, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014 (2014), 1-9.

Received by editors 15.12.2021; Revised version 20.12.2021; Available online 30.12.2021.

 1 Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Banja Luka, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Email address: vladimir.jovanovic@pmf.unibl.org *Email address*: milanka.treml@pmf.unibl.org