

DISTANCE MATRIX AND ENERGY OF SEMIGRAPH

Surajit Kr. Nath, Ivan Gutman, and Ardhendu Kumar Nandi

ABSTRACT. Let S be a semigraph. A definition of distance between the vertices of S is offered, for which the distance matrix of S is symmetric. The distance energy of S is defined as the sum of absolute values of the eigenvalues of the distance matrix. A few results on distance energy and distance-spectral radius of semigraphs of diameter 2 are established.

1. Introduction

Semigraphs are a kind of compromise between of the concept of hypergraphs [2] and graphs [8], or a kind of generalization of graphs. A semigraph S is defined [15] as an ordered pair (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E}) , where $\mathbf{V} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a non-empty (usually finite) set of elements called vertices of S , whereas $\mathbf{E} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ is a set of ordered k -tuples of distinct vertices, called the edges of S . Each edge consists of a k -tuple of vertices, for various values of $k \geq 2$, satisfying the following conditions:

(a) Two edges have at most one vertex in common.

(b) Two edges (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) and (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q) are considered to be sam if and only if $p = q$ and either $x_i = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ or $x_i = y_{p+1-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

DEFINITION 1.1. Two vertices of a semigraph are adjacent if they belong to the same edge. The distance of such two vertices is equal to 1.

DEFINITION 1.2. Two edges of a semigraph are incident if they have a common vertex.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t , $t \geq 2$, be distinct (mutually different) edges of a semigraph S , such that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$, the edges e_i and e_{i+} are incident.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C50; Secondary 05C12; 05C65.

Key words and phrases. semigraph, distance matrix (of semigraph), distance energy (of semigraph).

Communicated by Daniel A. Romano.

Then these edges form a path in S , connecting the edges e_1 and e_t , whose length is t .

DEFINITION 1.4. A semigraph is said to be connected if for any two of its edges there is a path connecting any them.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t , $t \geq 2$, be a shortest path of the semigraph S , connecting the edges e_1 and e_t . Then the distance between the vertices belonging to e_1 , but not to e_2 , and the vertices belonging to e_t , but not to e_{t-1} , is t . If S is a connected semigraph, then the distance is well defined between any pair of its vertices.

The distance between the vertices u and v of the semigraph S will be denoted by $d_S(u, v)$.

At this point it should be noted that Definitions 1.1–1.5 are just one of the several possibilities that exist within the theory of semigraphs (for details see [15]). Based on the presently chosen distance between vertices (cf. Definitions 1.1 and 1.5), the distance matrix considered below will be symmetric, and its eigenvalues real-valued.

2. Matrix representations of semigraphs

The adjacency matrix of a semigraph S of order n is the square matrix $\mathbf{A}(S) = [a_{ij}]$ of order n whose elements are determined as follows [5]:

DEFINITION 2.1. Let the vertex set of S be $\mathbf{X} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Let $e_i = (v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, be the edges of S , and recall that the value of k differs for different i . Then for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $a_{1,\ell} = \ell - 1$ and $a_{k,\ell} = k - \ell$. All other elements of $\mathbf{A}(S)$ are equal to zero.

Definition 2.1 was proposed by Deshpande et al. in 2017 [5] (see also an earlier work on this matter [4]). By means of it, the matrix $\mathbf{A}(S)$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with the semigraph S , i.e., reproduces all its structural details. Unfortunately, such a matrix is non-symmetric, having complex-valued eigenvalues. As a consequence, the energy of a semigraph cannot be defined in the usual manner, i.e., as the sum of absolute values of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}(S)$ [7, 12]. Instead, in [6] the singular values of $\mathbf{A}(S)$ had to be employed. This creates a major shortcoming of the theory of semigraph energy, and significantly hinders its elaboration [6].

In order to avoid difficulties of this kind, we propose the following definition of the distance matrix $\mathbf{D}(S) = [d_{ij}]$ of a semigraph S .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let S be a connected semigraph with vertex set $\mathbf{X} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Then the distance matrix of S is the square matrix $\mathbf{D}(S) = [d_{ij}]$ of order n , whose (i, j) -element is equal to $d_S(v_i, v_j)$, where the distance between vertices v_i and v_j is determined via Definitions 1.1–1.5. In addition, $d_{ii} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

According to Definition 2.2, the distance matrix is symmetric, and therefore its eigenvalues are real-valued numbers.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Consider the 10-vertex semigraph S whose four edges are $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4), (v_2, v_5, v_6), (v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8), (v_8, v_9, v_{10})$. Then its distance matrix is:

$$D(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Distance spectrum and distance energy of semigraphs

The spectrum of the distance matrix of graphs has been extensively studied, see the survey [1] and the references cited therein. The distance energy of a graph, defined as the sum of absolute values of the eigenvalues of the distance matrix, was introduced in 2008 by Indulal et al. [9] and extensively studied since then, see the survey [14], the recent papers [3, 10, 16, 17], and the references cited therein.

Using the above defined distance matrix of a semigraph (Definition 2.2), the distance spectrum and distance energy of semigraphs can be conceived straightforwardly.

Let S be a connected semigraph, and $D(S)$ its distance matrix. Denote by $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ its eigenvalues. These form the distance spectrum of S . Because $D(S)$ is symmetric, the distance spectrum consists of real-valued numbers. Because the diagonal of $D(S)$ is zero,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 0.$$

In full analogy with the distance energy of a graph, the distance energy of a semigraph can now be defined as

$$(3.1) \quad E_D(S) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|.$$

In what follows, we determine a few properties of $E_D(S)$ of semigraphs whose diameter is 2.

4. Distance energy of diameter 2 semigraphs

LEMMA 4.1. *Let S be a connected semigraph of order n and diameter 2. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m be the edges of S , and $|e_i|$ the number of vertices in e_i . Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 = 4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2}.$$

PROOF. Since S has diameter 2, in its distance matrix there are $2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2}$ elements equal to 1, n elements equal to 0, and $n^2 - n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2}$ elements equal to 2. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{D}(S)^2)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n d(i, \ell) d(\ell, i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n d(i, \ell)^2 \\ &= 1^2 \left[2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right] + 2^2 \left[n^2 - n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

resulting in Eq. (4.1). □

Based on Lemma 4.1, applying a technique analogous to what McClelland used for estimating graph energy [13], we arrive at the following two theorems.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let S be a connected semigraph of order n and diameter 2. Using the notation from Lemma 4.1,*

$$(4.2) \quad ED(S) \geq \sqrt{4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} + n(n-1) |\det \mathbf{D}(S)|^{2/n}}$$

with equality if and only if for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $|\mu_i \mu_j| = c$ for some fixed real number c .

PROOF. In view of Lemma 4.1,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} |\mu_i| |\mu_j| = 4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} |\mu_i \mu_j|.$$

The right-hand side summation in the above expression goes over $n(n-1)$ summands. Applying to it the geometric–arithmetic inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j} |\mu_i \mu_j| &= n(n-1) \left[\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} |\mu_i \mu_j| \right] \geq n(n-1) \prod_{i \neq j} |\mu_i \mu_j|^{1/n(n-1)} \\ &= n(n-1) \prod_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|^{2/n} = n(n-1) \det |\mathbf{D}(S)|^{2/n}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \right)^2 \geq 4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} + n(n-1) |\det \mathbf{D}(S)|^{2/n}$$

which by Eq. (3.1), directly implies the inequality (4.2). □

THEOREM 4.2. *Using the same notation as in Theorem 4.1,*

$$(4.3) \quad E_D(S) \leq \sqrt{4n^3 - 4n^2 - 6n \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2}}$$

with equality if and only if for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $|\mu_i| = c$ for some fixed real number c .

PROOF. We start with the obvious relation

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (|\mu_i| - |\mu_j|)^2 \geq 0$$

noting that equality holds if and only if all distance eigenvalues are mutually equal by absolute value. Expanding the left-hand side of (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (|\mu_i| - |\mu_j|)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (\mu_i^2 + \mu_j^2 - 2|\mu_i||\mu_j|) \\ &= n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 + n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j^2 - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j| \right) \end{aligned}$$

which by Eqs. (3.1) and (4.1) yields

$$2n \left[4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right] - 2E_D(S)^2 \geq 0$$

from which (4.3) follows straightforwardly. □

LEMMA 4.2. *Let the distance eigenvalues of the semigraph S be labeled as $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$. If S is connected of diameter 2, then*

$$\mu_1 \geq \frac{2}{n} \left[n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right].$$

PROOF. According to the Rayleigh–Ritz variational principle, if Ω is any n -dimensional column vector, then

$$\frac{\Omega^T \mathbf{D}(S) \Omega}{\Omega^T \Omega} \leq \mu_1.$$

Setting $\Omega = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$, we get

$$\Omega^T \mathbf{D}(S) \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} = 1 \cdot \left[2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right] + 2 \cdot \left[n^2 - n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right]$$

since the distance matrix has $2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2}$ elements equal to 1 and $n^2 - n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2}$ elements equal to 2. In addition, $\Omega^T \Omega = n$.

Lemma 4.2 follows. □

Using Lemma 4.2, and following a proof technique invented by Koolen and Moulton [11] we obtain another upper bound for the distance energy of connected diameter 2 semigraphs.

THEOREM 4.3. *Using the same notation as in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2,*

$$E_D(S) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[2n(n-1) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} + \sqrt{2n^2(n-1) \left[2n(n-1) - 3 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right] - 4(n-1) \left[n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right]^2} \right].$$

PROOF. Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the vectors $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(|\mu_2|, |\mu_3|, \dots, |\mu_n|)$, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^n |\mu_i| \right)^2 \leq (n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i^2$$

from which, recalling that $\mu_1 > 0$,

$$(E_D(S) - \mu_1)^2 \leq (n-1) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 - \mu_1^2 \right] = (n-1) \left[4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} - \mu_1^2 \right]$$

i.e.,

$$(4.5) \quad E_D(S) \leq \mu_1 + \sqrt{(n-1) \left[4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} - \mu_1^2 \right]}.$$

Consider now the function

$$(4.6) \quad f(x) = x + \sqrt{(n-1) \left[4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} - x^2 \right]}$$

which is monotonically decreasing in the interval (a, b) , where

$$a = \frac{2}{n} \left[n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad b = \sqrt{4n^2 - 4n - 6 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|e_i|}{2}}.$$

Therefore, inequality (4.5) remains valid if on the right-hand side (4.6) the variable x is replaced by the lower bound for μ_1 from Lemma 4.2. This results in Theorem 4.3. \square

References

- [1] M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen. Distance spectra of graphs: A survey. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **458** (2014), 301–386.
- [2] C. Berge. *Hypergraphs*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [3] K. C. Das and I. Gutman. Upper bounds on distance energy. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, **86**(3)(2021), 611–620.

- [4] C. M. Deshpande and Y. S. Gaidhani. About adjacency matrix of semigraphs. *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*, **2**(4)(2012), 250–252.
- [5] C. M. Deshpande, Y. S. Gaidhani and B. P. Athawale. Adjacency matrix of a semigraph. *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.*, **63** (2017), 399–406.
- [6] Y. S. Gaidhani, C. M. Deshpande and S. Pirzada. Energy of a semigraph. *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.*, **16**(1)(2019), 41–49.
- [7] I. Gutman. The energy of a graph. *Ber. Math. Statist. Sect. Forshungsz. Graz*, **103** (1978), 1–22.
- [8] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969.
- [9] G. Indulal, I. Gutman and A. Vijayakumar. On distance energy of graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, **60**(2)(2008), 461–472.
- [10] Y. L. Jin, R. Gu and X. D. Zhang. The distance energy of clique trees. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **615** (2021), 1–10.
- [11] J. Koolen and V. Moulton. Maximal energy graphs. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, **26**(1)(2001), 47–52.
- [12] X. Li, X. Shi and I. Gutman. *Graph Energy*. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [13] B. J. McClelland. Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: The estimation of π -electron energies. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **54** (1971), 640–643.
- [14] H. S. Ramane. *Distance energy of graphs*, in: I. Gutman and X. Li (Eds.). *Graph Energies – Theory and Applications* (pp. 123–144). Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2016.
- [15] E. Sampathkumar (ed.), *Semigraphs and Their Applications*. Academy of Discrete Mathematics, Karnataka, 2019.
- [16] G. Sridhara, M. R. Rajesh Kanna and H. L. Parashivamurthy. New bounds for distance energy of graphs. *J. Indones. Math. Soc.*, **26**(2)(2020), 213–223.
- [17] S. Sun, K. C. Das and Y. Shang. On maximal distance energy. *Σ Mathematics*, **9** (2021), #360.

Received by editors 12.07.2021; Available online 19.07.2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BODOLAND UNIVERSITY, ASSAM, INDIA
E-mail address: surajitnathe9@gmail.com

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF KRAGUJEVAC, KRAGUJEVAC, SERBIA
E-mail address: gutman@kg.ac.rs

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BASUGOAN COLLEGE, ASSAM, INDIA
E-mail address: ardhendukumarnandi5@gmail.com