BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE ISSN (p) 2303-4874, ISSN (o) 2303-4955 www.imvibl.org /JOURNALS / BULLETIN Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., Vol. **11**(3)(2021), 539-543 DOI: 10.7251/BIMVI2103539R

> Former BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA ISSN 0354-5792 (o), ISSN 1986-521X (p)

ON NANO g_s -CLOSED SETS AND NANO g_s -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

I. Rajasekaran, O. Nethaji and S. Jackson

ABSTRACT. In this article, a new arrival of sets is called nano g_s -closed sets are introduced in nanotopological spaces. The properties of the nano g_s closed sets are investigated and they are compared with the obtainable related nano generalized closed sets and continuous function between nano topological spaces are also defined and their properties are investigated.

1. Introduction and Preliminary

The concept of nano topology was introduced by M. Lellis Thivagar *et al* (2013). which was defined in terms of approximations and boundary region of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it and also defined nano closed sets, nano-interior and nano-closure. K. Bhuvaneswari *et al* (2014) introduced and studied the concept of nano generalised closed sets in nano topological spaces.

Since then many mathematicians introduced and investigated different types of nano generalized closed sets in nanotopological spaces.

In this paper, A novel closed sets called nano g_s -closed sets are introduced in nano spaces. The properties of the nano g_s -closed sets are investigated and they are compared with the obtainable related nano generalized closed sets and continuous function between nano topological spaces are also defined and their properties are investigated.

Recently, Lellis Thivagar *et. al* (2013) introduced the notions of weaker form of nano semi-open sets, nano regular-open sets and nano pre-open sets, K. Bhuvaneswari *et al* (2014, 2015, 2016) introduced and studied the concept of nano

539

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 54C05, 54C08, 54C10.

Key words and phrases. nano open set, nano semi-open set, nano g_s -closed set.

Communicated by Daniel A. Romano.

generalized closed sets, nano generalized semi closed sets and nano generalized continuous, nano generalized semi continuous, Nagaveni *et. al* (2016, 2017) introduced nano weakly generalized closed sets and nano weakly generalized continuous were studied in detail.

In the rest of the paper, we denote a nano topological space by (U, \mathcal{N}) , where $\mathcal{N} = \tau_R(X)$. The nano-interior and nano-closure of a subset A of U are denoted by n-int(A) and n-cl(A), respectively.

2. On ng_s -closed sets

DEFINITION 2.1. Let (U, \mathcal{N}) be a nanotopological space.

(1) Nano regular weakly generalized closed set (briefly, nrwg-closed) if

 $ncl(nint(Q)) \subseteq G$

whenever $Q \subseteq G$ and G is *nr*-open.

(2) A subset Q of U is said to be a ng_s -closed set if Q is both ns-open and ng-closed.

PROPOSITION 2.1. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , every ng_s -closed set is ng-closed.

Remark 2.1. The following example shows that the Proposition 2.1 of converse is not true.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ with $X = \{a, d\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, U\}$. In the space U, then $\{c\}$ is ng-closed set but not ng_s -closed.

THEOREM 2.1. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , the union of two ng_s -closed sets is also a ng_s -closed.

PROOF. Let P and Q be two ng_s -closed sets. Then P and Q are ng-closed sets and ns-open sets. Therefore, $P \cup Q$ is ng-closed set and ns-open set and hence it is a ng_s -closed.

REMARK 2.2. The following example shows that the intersection of two ng_s -closed sets is not a ng_s -closed in (U, \mathcal{N}) .

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $U = \{a, b, c\}$ and $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ with $X = \{a\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{a\}, U\}$. We have $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{a, c\}$ are ng_s -closed sets. But their intersection of U as $A \cap B = \{a\}$ is not ng_s -closed.

THEOREM 2.2. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , if P and Q are both n-closed and n-open subsets then $P \cap Q$ is a ng_s-closed.

PROOF. Suppose that P and Q are both *n*-closed and *n*-open subsets. Then, $P \cap Q$ is a *n*-closed set and so is a *ng*-closed set. Also, $P \cap Q$ is a *n*-open set and so is a *ns*-open set. Hence $P \cap Q$ is a *ng_s*-closed.

THEOREM 2.3. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , if P is ng_s -closed and Q is n-open such that $Q \subseteq P$, then Q is a ng_s -closed set.

PROOF. Suppose that P is a ng_s -closed set. Then, $n\text{-}cl(P) \subseteq G$ whenever $P \subseteq G$, G is n-open and $P \subseteq n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(P))$. We now suppose that $Q \subseteq P$, Q is n-open and $P \subseteq G$, G is n-open. Then, we get $n\text{-}cl(Q) \subseteq G$ whenever $Q \subseteq G$, G is n-open. Thus, Q is a ng-closed set. As $n\text{-}cl(Q) \subseteq n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(Q))$, we also get $Q \subseteq n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(Q))$. Thus, Q is ns-open set. Hence Q is a ngs-closed.

THEOREM 2.4. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , if Q is a n-clopen set then Q is ng_s -closed.

PROOF. Suppose that Q is clopen set. Then, Q is ng-closed set and ns-open set. Hence Q is ng_s -closed.

THEOREM 2.5. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , if a ng_s -closed set Q is np-closed, then Q is nrclosed.

PROOF. Suppose that the ng_s -closed set Q is np-closed set. Then, we get $Q \subseteq n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(Q))$ and $n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(Q)) \subseteq Q$. Thus Q = n-cl(n-int(Q)). Hence Q is nr-closed set.

THEOREM 2.6. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , every ng_s -closed set is nwg-closed.

PROOF. Let Q be ng_s -closed. Then, n- $cl(Q) \subseteq G$ whenever $Q \subseteq G$ and G is n-open and $Q \subseteq n$ -cl(n-int(Q)). Let $Q \subseteq G$, G is n-open. Then, n-cl(n- $int(Q)) \subseteq n$ - $cl(Q) \subseteq G$. Hence Q is nwg-closed.

THEOREM 2.7. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , every ng_s -closed set is ngs-closed.

PROOF. Let Q be a ng_s -closed. Then, $n\text{-}cl(Q) \subseteq G$ whenever $Q \subseteq G$ and G is n-open and $Q \subseteq n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(Q))$. Let $Q \subseteq G$, G is n-open. Since $n\text{-}scl(Q) \subseteq n\text{-}cl(Q)$, we get $n\text{-}scl(Q) \subseteq G$ whenever $Q \subseteq G$ and G is n-open. Hence Q is ngs-closed.

THEOREM 2.8. In a (U, \mathcal{N}) , every ng_s -closed set is nrwg-closed.

PROOF. Let Q be a ng_s -closed. Then, $n\text{-}cl(Q) \subseteq G$ whenever $Q \subseteq G$ and G is n-open and $Q \subseteq n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(Q))$. Let $Q \subseteq G$, Q is n-open. Then, $n\text{-}cl(n\text{-}int(Q)) \subseteq G$ whenever $Q \subseteq G$ and G is nr-open. Hence Q is nrwg-closed. \Box

REMARK 2.3. The following Diagram shows all the above discussions.

$$nwg$$
-closed
 \uparrow
 ng -closed $\leftarrow ng_s$ -closed $\rightarrow nrwg$ -closed
 \downarrow
 ngs -closed

3. On ng_s -continuous functions

DEFINITION 3.1. A function $f: U \to V$ is called:

(1) nrwg-continuous function if $f^{-1}(T)$ is a nrwg-closed set of U for every n-closed set T of V.

(2) ng_s -continuous function if $f^{-1}(T)$ is a ng_s -closed set of U for every n-closed set T of V.

REMARK 3.1. The following example shows that the composition of two ng_s continuous functions but not ng_s -continuous.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $U = \{1, 2\}$ and $U' = \{1, 2, 3\} = U''$ and $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}, U'/R = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\} = U''/R$ with $X = \{1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{1\}, U\}$. $Y = \{1, 2\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{1, 2\}, U\}$. $Z = \{1, 3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}'' = \{\phi, \{1, 3\}, U\}$. Define $f : U \to U'$ by f(1) = 1, f(2) = 3 and $g : U' \to U''$ by g(1) = 1, g(3) = 2. Then, f and g are ng_s -continuous but the composition $g \circ f$ is not a ng_s -continuous.

THEOREM 3.1. Let U, V and W be two nanotopological spaces. Let $f: U \to V$ be a ng_s -continuous and $g: V \to W$ be a continuous. Then, $g \circ f$ is ng_s -continuous.

PROOF. Suppose that Q be a *n*-closed set in W. Then, $g^{-1}(Q)$ is a closed set in V and so $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(Q))$ is a ng_s -closed. That is, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(Q)$ is ng_s -closed. Hence $g \circ f$ is ng_s -continuous.

THEOREM 3.2. Every ng_s-continuous function is ng-continuous.

PROOF. Suppose that $f: U \to V$ is a ng_s -continuous function and let Q be a n-closed set in V. Then, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is a ng_s -closed set in U. Then by the Definition of ng_s -closed set, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is ng-closed set in U. Hence f is a ng-continuous function.

THEOREM 3.3. Every ng_s-continuous function is nwg-continuous.

PROOF. Suppose that $f: U \to V$ is a ng_s -continuous function and let Q be a *n*-closed set in V. Then, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is ng_s -closed set in U. Then by Theorem 2.6, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is nwg-closed set in U. Hence f is nwg-continuous function. \Box

THEOREM 3.4. Every ng_s-continuous function is ngs-continuous.

PROOF. Suppose that $f: U \to V$ is a ng_s -continuous function and let Q be a *n*-closed set in V. Then, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is ng_s -closed set in U. Then by Theorem 2.7, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is ngs-closed set in U. Hence f is ngs-continuous function.

THEOREM 3.5. Every ng_s-continuous function is nrwg-continuous.

PROOF. Suppose that $f: U \to V$ is a ng_s -continuous function and let Q be a closed set in V. Then, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is ng_s -closed set in U. Then by Theorem 2.8, $f^{-1}(Q)$ is nrwg-closed set in U. Hence f is nrwg-continuous function. \Box

REMARK 3.2. The following Diagram shows all the above discussions.

$$nwg$$
-continuous
 \uparrow
 ng -continuous
 \downarrow
 ngs -continuous
 \downarrow

References

- K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya. Nano generalized closed sets. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 4(5)(2014), 1–3. http://www.ijsrp.org/research-paper-0514/ijsrp-p2984.pdf
- [2] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya. On nano generalised continuous function in nano topological space. International Jiournal of Mathematical Archive, 6(6)(2015), 182– 186.
- [3] K. Bhuvaneswari and A. Ezhilarasi. Nano generalized semi continuity in nano topological space. International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 6(8)(2016).
- [4] K. Bhuvaneswari and A. Ezhilarasi. On nano semi-generalized and nano generalized-semi closed sets in nano topological spaces. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research, 4(3)(2014), 117–124.
- [5] M. Lellis Thivagar and C. Richard. On nano forms of weakly open sets. International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1)(2013), 31–37.
- [6] N. Nagaveni and M. Bhuvaneswari. On nano weakly generalized closed sets. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 106(7)(2016), 129–137.
- [7] N. Nagaveni and M. Bhuvaneswari. On nano weakly generalized continuous functions. International Journal of Emerging Research in Management and Technology, 6(4)(2017), 95–100.

Received by editors 11.03.2021; Revised version 23.06.2021; Available online 05.07.2021.

I. RAJASEKARAN:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TIRUNELVELI DAKSHINA MARA NADAR SANGAM COLLEGE,

T. KALLIKULAM - 627 113, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA.

E-mail address: sekarmelakkal@gmail.com

O. Nethaji

PG AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KAMARAJ COLLEGE,

Thoothukudi - 628 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail address: jionetha@yahoo.com

S. Jackson

PG and Research Department of Mathematics, V. O. Chidambaram College,

Thoothukudi - 628 003, Tamil Nadu, India

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{jackvocmaths@gmail.com}$