

BOUNDS ON DEGREE SQUARE SUM DISTANCE SQUARE ENERGY OF GRAPHS

Sudhir R. Jog and Jeetendra R. Gurjar

ABSTRACT. The degree square sum distance square matrix $DSSDS(G)$ of a graph G is a square matrix whose $(i, j)^{th}$ entry is $(d_i^2 + d_j^2)d_{ij}^2$ whenever $i \neq j$, and otherwise zero, where d_i is the degree of i^{th} vertex of G and $d_{ij} = d(v_i, v_j)$ is distance between v_i and v_j . In this paper, we define degree square sum distance square energy $E_{DSSDS}(G)$ as sum of absolute eigenvalues of $DSSDS(G)$. Also we obtain some bounds on degree square sum distance square eigenvalue and energy.

1. Introduction

The energy of the graph was introduced by I. Gutman in 1978 [6] which is having direct connection with total π -electron energy of a molecule in the quantum chemistry as calculated with the Huckel molecular orbital method. Recently several results on energy related with matrices dealing with degree of vertices and distance between vertices have been studied such as distance energy [8, 12], degree sum energy [7], degree exponent energy [14, 13], degree exponent sum energy [10, 3], degree square sum energy [2, 1, 4] etc.

In continuation with this, in order to upgrade, we now introduce concept of degree square sum distance square energy of connected graph. The purpose of this paper is to compute bounds on largest eigenvalue and energy of the new matrix associated with graph, called degree square sum distance square matrix denoted by $DSSDS(G)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C50, 05C12.

Key words and phrases. Degree square sum distance square eigenvalues, Degree square sum distance square energy.

Communicated by Igor Milovanović.

2. Degree Square Sum Distance Square Energy

Let G be a connected graph of order n with vertex set $V(G) = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$. We denote by $d(v_i)$ as the degree of a vertex v_i which is the number of edges incident on it and the distance between two vertices v_i and v_j as d_{ij} , the length of the shortest path joining them. Motivated from previous research, we now define the degree square sum distance square matrix of a connected graph G as, $DSSDS(G) = [dssd_{ij}s]$ where,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dssd_{ij}s &= (d(v_i)^2 + d(v_j)^2)d_{ij}^2 \text{ if } i \neq j \\ &= 0 \text{ if } i = j \end{aligned}$$

Properties: The following hold for $DSSDS(G)$,

1. $DSSDS(G)$ is real symmetric.
2. The eigenvalues of $DSSDS(G)$ are real.
3. The sum of the eigenvalues of $DSSDS(G)$ is zero, since trace

$$[DSSDS(G)] = 0.$$

4. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are the eigenvalues of $DSSDS(G)$ then, they can be arranged in a non-increasing order as $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$.

Analogous to the energy of a graph defined by I. Gutman [6] with respect to adjacency matrix, we define the degree square sum distance square energy of a graph as,

$$E_{DSSDS}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|.$$

EXAMPLE 2.1. For the graph $K_4 - e$ with suitable labeling we have

Degrees	DSSDS Matrix	Eigenvalues and Energy
$deg(v_1) = 2$ $deg(v_2) = 2$ $deg(v_3) = 3$ and $deg(v_4) = 3$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 13 & 10 \\ 13 & 0 & 8 & 20 \\ 13 & 8 & 0 & 20 \\ 10 & 20 & 20 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$	$\alpha_1 = 51.9258, \alpha_2 = -32$ $\alpha_3 = -18$ and $\alpha_4 = -1.9258.$ $E_{DSSDS}(K_4 - e) = 103.8516.$

3. Bounds on Degree Square Sum Distance Square Energy

LEMMA 3.1. Let G be a graph of order n . Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 = 2M,$$

where is $M = \sum_{i=1, i < j}^n ((d_i^2 + d_j^2)d_{ij}^2)^2$.

LEMMA 3.2 ([9]). Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be non negative numbers. Then

$$n \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - (\prod_{i=1}^n a_i)^{1/n} \right] \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - (\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{a_i})^2 \leq n(n-1) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - (\prod_{i=1}^n a_i)^{1/n} \right].$$

LEMMA 3.3 ([5]). Let a_i and b_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, be non-negative real numbers. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 + rR \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq (r+R) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right),$$

where r and R are real constants, such that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $ra_i \leq b_i \leq Ra_i$ holds.

LEMMA 3.4 ([11]). Let a_i and b_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ non negative real numbers. Then

$$\sum_i a_i^2 \sum_i b_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} (M_1 M_2 - m_1 m_2)^2$$

where

$$M_1 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i, M_2 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i, m_1 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i \text{ and } m_2 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i.$$

LEMMA 3.5. The CauchySchwartz inequality: Let a_i and b_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ be any real numbers, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right).$$

THEOREM 3.1. If α_1 is the index (largest degree square sum distance square eigenvalue) of a connected graph G of order n , then $\alpha_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2M(n-1)}{n}}$, where M is defined above.

PROOF. The trace of $DSSDS(G)$ being zero we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 0 \text{ i.e., } \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i = -\alpha_1.$$

Further $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 = \text{trace} DSSDS(G)^2 = 2M$, where M is as defined above. With $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = \alpha_i$ $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ and substituting in Lemma 3.5 we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i \right)^2 \leq (n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i^2 \leq (n-1)(2M - \alpha_1^2).$$

Therefore $(-\alpha_1)^2 \leq (n-1)(2M - \alpha_1^2)$. Hence bound for the index α_1 follows. \square

THEOREM 3.2. Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 2$ and M is the quantity defined above. Then

$$\sqrt{2M} \leq E_{DSSDS}(G) \leq \sqrt{2Mn}.$$

PROOF. With $a_i = 1, b_i = |\alpha_i|$ using Lemma 3.5 we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|\right)^2 \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i)^2 \text{ that is, } E_{DSSDS}(G)^2 \leq 2nM.$$

Hence $E_{DSSDS}(G) \leq \sqrt{2Mn}$. Now for the other part

$$E_{DSSDS}(G)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|\right)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^2 = 2M$$

so that, $E_{DSSDS}(G) \geq \sqrt{2M}$. Combining these two, inequality follows. □

THEOREM 3.3. *Let G be a connected graph of order n and Δ be the absolute value of the determinant of $DSSDS(G)$. Then*

$$\sqrt{2M + n(n-1)\Delta^{2/n}} \leq E_{DSSDS}(G) \leq \sqrt{2(n-1)M + n\Delta^{2/n}},$$

where M is defined as above.

PROOF. Let $a_i = \alpha_i^2, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$n \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2\right)^{1/n} \right] \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right)^2 \leq n(n-1) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2\right)^{1/n} \right]$$

That is

$$2M - n\Delta^{2/n} \leq 2nM - [E_{DSSDS}(G)]^2 \leq 2(n-1)M - n(n-1)\Delta^{2/n}.$$

Thus

$$2M + n(n-1)\Delta^{2/n} \leq [E_{DSSDS}(G)]^2 \leq 2(n-1)M + n\Delta^{2/n}.$$

We get the desired result. □

THEOREM 3.4. *If G is any graph of order n and Δ is the absolute value of the determinant of $DSSDS(G)$ then*

$$\sqrt{2M + n(n-1)\Delta^{\frac{2}{n}}} \leq E_{DSSDS}(G) \leq \sqrt{2Mn},$$

where M is defined as above.

PROOF. For lower bound consider,

$$[E_{DSSDS}(G)]^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} |\alpha_i||\alpha_j| = 2M + \sum_{i \neq j} |\alpha_i||\alpha_j|.$$

Since Arithmetic Mean (AM) \geq Geometric Mean (GM) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} |\alpha_i||\alpha_j| &\geq \left(\prod_{i \neq j} |\alpha_i||\alpha_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (|\alpha_i|^{2n-2})^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^{\frac{2}{n}} \right) = \Delta^{\frac{2}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

therefore we have

$$\sum_{i \neq j} |\alpha_i| |\alpha_j| \geq n(n-1) \Delta^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

Combining we get

$$[E_{DSSDS}(G)]^2 \geq 2M + n(n-1) \Delta^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

i.e.,

$$E_{DSSDS}(G) \geq \sqrt{2M + n(n-1) \Delta^{\frac{2}{n}}}.$$

For upper bound define

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_i| + |\alpha_j|)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_i|^2 + |\alpha_j|^2) + 2(\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n |\alpha_i| |\alpha_j|) \\ &= n \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i)^2 + n \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_j)^2 - 2(\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| |\alpha_j|) \\ &= 2nM + 2nM - 2[E_{DSSDS}(G)]^2 = 4nM - 2[E_{DSSDS}(G)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X \geq 0$, we get $E_{DSSDS}(G) \leq \sqrt{2Mn}$. Combining lower bound and upper bound, we arrive at the desired result. \square

THEOREM 3.5. *Let G be a graph of order n . Then*

$$E_{DSSDS}(G) \geq \sqrt{2Mn - \frac{n^2}{4}(\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2},$$

where α_p, α_q are maximum and minimum absolute value of α_i 's, and M as defined above.

PROOF. Suppose $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are the eigenvalues of $DSSDS(G)$. We assume that $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = |\alpha_i|$, by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)^2 &\leq \frac{n^2}{4}(\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2 \\ 2Mn - (E_{DSSDS}(G))^2 &\leq \frac{n^2}{4}(\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$2Mn > \frac{n^2}{4}(\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2$$

and

$$n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 > \frac{n^2}{4}(\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2.$$

Then

$$\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \dots + \alpha_p^2 + \alpha_q^2 > \frac{n}{4}(\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2 \text{ giving } \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \dots > \frac{n}{4}(-2\alpha_p\alpha_q)$$

which is true. Hence

$$E_{DSSDS}(G) \geq \sqrt{2Mn - \frac{n^2}{4}(\alpha_p - \alpha_q)^2}.$$

\square

THEOREM 3.6. *Let G be a graph of order n . Let $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$ be a non-increasing arrangement of $DSSDS(G)$. Then*

$$E_{DSSDS}(G) \geq \frac{\alpha_p \cdot \alpha_q n + 2M}{\alpha_p + \alpha_q},$$

where α_p, α_q are maximum and minimum absolute value of $DSSDS(G)$, and M as defined above.

PROOF. Suppose $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$, are the eigenvalues of $DSSDS(G)$. We assume that $b_i = |\alpha_i|, a_i = 1, r = \alpha_q$, and $R = \alpha_p$, then by using Lemma 3.3 we have,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i^2| + \alpha_p \alpha_q \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \leq (\alpha_p + \alpha_q) \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|$$

also we have, $E_{DSSDS}(G) \leq \sqrt{2Mn}$. Since $E_{DSSDS}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|, \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i^2| = 2M$. Hence the theorem. \square

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose zero is not an eigenvalue of $DSSDS(G)$. Then*

$$E_{DSSDS}(G) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2Mn}\sqrt{\alpha_p\alpha_q}}{\alpha_p + \alpha_q},$$

where α_p, α_q are maximum and minimum absolute value of α_i 's, and M as defined above.

PROOF. The AM-GM inequality implies.

$$\frac{\alpha_p \alpha_q n + 2M}{\alpha_p + \alpha_q} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2Mn\alpha_p\alpha_q}}{\alpha_p + \alpha_q}$$

Then the theorem follows as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.6. \square

For the graph $K_4 - e, M = 2024, n = 4, \Delta = 57600, \alpha_p = 51.9258, \alpha_q = 1.9258$ and $E_{DSSDS}(K_4 - e) = 103.8516$, we have the following

Sl.No.	Lower bound	Upper bound
Theorem 3.1.	55.0999
Theorem 3.2.	63.62389488	127.24778
Theorem 3.3.	83.23460819	114.4727142
Theorem 3.4.	83.23460819	127.24778
Theorem 3.5.	78.68926229
Theorem 3.6.	47.258379
Theorem 3.7.	82.59726401

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the reviewers for their purposeful suggestions.

References

- [1] B. Basavanagoud and E. Chitra. Degree square sum energy of graphs. *International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications* **6**(2-B)(2018), 193–205.
- [2] B. Basavanagoud and E. Chitra. Degree square sum polynomial of some special graphs. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, **13**(19)(2018), 14060–14078.
- [3] B. Basavanagoud and E. Chitra. Degree exponent sum energy of a graph. *Gulf J. Math.*, **8**(1)(2020), 52–70.
- [4] B. Basavanagoud and E. Chitra. Degree square sum equienergetic and hyperenergetic graphs. *Malaya J. Math.*, **8**(2)(2020), 301–305.
- [5] M. Biernacki, H. Pidek, and C. Ryll Nardzewski. Sur une inegalite entre des integrales definies. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skodowska Sect.*, **A4**(1950), 1–4. (French)
- [6] I. Gutman. The energy of a graph. *Ber. Math. Stat. Sect. Forschungsz. Graz* **103**(1978), 1–22.
- [7] S. M. Hosamani and H. S. Ramane. On degree sum energy of a graph. *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **9**(3)(2016), 340–345.
- [8] G. Indulal, I. Gutman and A. Vijaykumar. On distance energy of graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60**(2)(2008), 461–472.
- [9] H. Kober. On the arithmetic and geometric means and on Holder's inequality. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **9**(3)(1958), 452–459.
- [10] P. Mahalank, H. S. Ramane and A. R. Desai. Degree exponent adjacency polynomial of some graphs. *Adv. Math., Sci. J* **9**(3)(2020), 1001-1008.
- [11] N. Ozeki. On the estimation of inequalities by maximum and minimum values. *J. College Arts Sci. Chiba Univ.*, **5**(1968), 199–203. (in Japanese)
- [12] H. S. Ramane, D. S. Revankar, I. Gutman, S. Bhaskara Rao, B. D. Acharya and H. B. Walikar. Bounds for the distance energy of a graph. *Kragujevac J. Math.* **31**(2008), 59-68.
- [13] H. S. Ramane and S. S. Shinde. Degree exponent polynomial of graphs obtained by some graph operations. *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.* **63**(2017), 161–168.
- [14] H. S. Ramane and S. S. Shinde. Degree exponent polynomial and degree exponent energy of graphs. *Indian Journal of Discrete Mathematics* **2**(1)(2016), 1–7.

Received by editors 15.12.2020; Revised version 03.02.2021; Available online 08.02.2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOGTE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UDYAMBAG BELAGAVI
KARNATAKA, 590008, INDIA.

E-mail address: sudhir@git.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOGTE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UDYAMBAG BELAGAVI
KARNATAKA, 590008, INDIA.

E-mail address: jeetendra.g8@gmail.com