

HOMOMORPHISMS OF PSEUDO-UP ALGEBRAS

Daniel A. Romano

ABSTRACT. As a generalization of UP-algebra, the notion of pseudo-UP algebras was introduced, and some of their properties were explored by the author in his article (Pseudo-UP algebra. An Introduction. *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.*, 10(2)(2020), 349-355). In addition, this author presented the concepts of pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters in such algebraic structures. In this article, as a continuation of the author's works, the concept of homomorphisms between pseudo-UP algebras are introduced and discussed.

1. Introduction

Iampan [1] introduced a new algebraic structure which is called UP-algebras as a generalization of KU-algebras. He studied ideals and congruences in UP-algebras. He also introduced the concept of homomorphism of UP-algebras and investigated some related properties. Moreover, he derived some straightforward consequences of the relations between quotient UP-algebras and isomorphism. In the study of this algebraic structure, this author took part also ([5, 6, 7, 8, 9]).

The concept of pseudo-UP algebra was introduced in [10] and some of its characteristic properties were proved. In his article [11], the author introduced the concepts of pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters in pseudo-UP algebras. The concept of homomorphisms between pseudo-UP algebras is introduced and discussed in this article, as a continuation of the papers mentioned above. The notion of homomorphisms between pseudo-UP algebras is designed in the same way as it was done in articles [2, 3, 4] when analyzing pseudo-BCK and pseudo-BCI algebras.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03G25, 06F99, 03G25 .

Key words and phrases. UP-algebra, Pseudo-UP algebra, Pseudo-UP ideal, Homomorphism between pseudo-UP algebras.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we will describe some elements of UP-algebras from the literature [1, 10, 11] necessary for our intentions in this text.

2.1. UP-algebras.

DEFINITION 2.1. ([1]) An algebra $A = (A, \cdot, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a UP-algebra where A is a nonempty set, \cdot is a binary operation on A , and 0 is a fixed element of A (i.e. a nullary operation) if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (UP-1) $(\forall x, y \in A)((y \cdot z) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)) = 0)$,
- (UP-2) $(\forall x \in A)(0 \cdot x = x)$,
- (UP-3) $(\forall x \in A)(x \cdot 0 = 0)$, and
- (UP-4) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \cdot y = 0 \wedge y \cdot x = 0) \implies x = y)$.

2.2. Pseudo-UP algebras.

DEFINITION 2.2. ([10]) A pseudo-UP algebra is a structure $\mathfrak{A} = ((A, \leq), \cdot, *, 0)$, where \leq is a binary relation on a set A , \cdot and $*$ are internal binary operations on A and 0 is an element of A , verifying the following axioms:

- (pUP-1) $(\forall x, y, z \in A)(y \cdot z \leq (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot z) \wedge y * z \leq (x * y) \cdot (x * z))$;
- (pUP-4) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \implies x = y)$;
- (pUP-5) $(\forall x, y \in A)((y \cdot 0) * x = x \wedge (y * 0) \cdot x = x)$ and
- (pUP-6) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \leq y \iff x \cdot y = 0) \wedge (x \leq y \iff x * y = 0))$.

Each UP-algebra $(A, \cdot, 0)$ can be viewed as a pseudo-UP algebra $((A, \leq), \cdot, *, 0)$ with $* = \cdot$.

From the previous definition, it immediately follows

LEMMA 2.1 ([10]). *In a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} the following holds:*

- (8) $(\forall x \in A)(x \cdot 0 = 0 \wedge x * 0 = 0)$;
- (9) $(\forall x \in A)(0 \cdot x = x \wedge 0 * x = x)$; and
- (10) $(\forall x \in A)(x \cdot x = 0 \wedge x * x = 0)$.

In the following definitions, we introduce the concept of pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters in pseudo-UP algebras

DEFINITION 2.3. ([11]) A nonempty subset J of a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} is called a pseudo-UP ideal of \mathfrak{A} if it satisfies

- (pJ1) $0 \in J$;
- (pJ2) $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x \cdot (y * z) \in J \wedge y \in J) \implies x \cdot z \in J)$ and
- (pJ3) $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x * (y \cdot z) \in J \wedge y \in J) \implies x * z \in J)$.

DEFINITION 2.4. ([11]) A nonempty subset F of a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} is called a pseudo-UP filter of \mathfrak{A} , if it satisfies the following properties:

- (pF1) $0 \in F$;
- (pF2) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \in F \wedge x \cdot y \in F \implies y \in F)$; and
- (pF3) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \in F \wedge x * y \in F \implies y \in F)$.

3. The main results

3.1. Lattices of pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters.

THEOREM 3.1. *The family $\mathfrak{J}(A)$ of all pseudo-UP ideals in a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} forms a complete lattice.*

PROOF. (1) Let $\{J_k\}_{k \in K}$ be a family of pseudo-UP ideals in a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} . It is obvious that $0 \in \bigcap_{k \in K} J_k$ is valid.

Let $x, y, z \in A$ be arbitrary elements such that $x \cdot (y * z) \in \bigcap_{k \in K} J_k$ and $y \in \bigcap_{k \in K} J_k$. Then for every index $k \in K$, it is valid $x \cdot (y * z) \in J_k$ and $y \in J_k$. Thus $x \cdot z \in J_k \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in K} J_j$. The implication (pJ3) can be proved by analogy with the previous proof. So, the intersection $\bigcap_{j \in K} J_j$ is a pseudo-UP ideal in \mathfrak{A} .

(2) Let \mathfrak{X} be the family of all pseudo-UP ideals of \mathfrak{A} that contain the union $\bigcup_{k \in K} J_k$. Then, according to the first part of this proof, $\bigcap \mathfrak{X}$ is the minimal pseudo-UP ideal in \mathfrak{A} that contains $\bigcup_{k \in K} J_k$.

(3) If we put $\sqcup_{k \in K} J_l = \bigcap \mathfrak{X}$ and $\sqcap_{k \in K} J_k = \bigcap_{k \in K} J_k$, then $(\mathfrak{J}(A), \sqcup, \sqcap)$ is a complete lattice. \square

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let B be an arbitrary subset in a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} . Then there exists the minimal pseudo-UP ideal J_B in \mathfrak{A} that contains B .*

PROOF. The proof of this corollary follows directly from the second part of the proof of the previous theorem. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let a be an arbitrary element in a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} . Then there exists the minimal pseudo-UP ideal J_a in \mathfrak{A} that contains a .*

PROOF. The proof of this corollary follows directly from the the previous Corollary if we put $B = \{a\}$. \square

The proof of the following theorem can be deducted in a similar way as the proof of the preceding theorem, so we shall leave it out.

THEOREM 3.2. *The family $\mathfrak{F}(A)$ of all pseudo-UP filters in a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} forms a complete lattice and holds $\mathfrak{F}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(A)$.*

3.2. The concept of pseudo-UP homomorphisms. The pseudo homomorphisms between pseudo-BCK algebras were studied by Y. B. Jun, M. Kondo and K. H. Kum in [2] and K. J. Lee and C. H. Park in [4]. The pseudo homomorphisms between pseudo-BCI algebras were studied by Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers in [3].

We will transfer the idea of determining homomorphisms on these algebras to pseudo-UP algebras in the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $A = ((A, \leq_A), \cdot_A, *_A, 0_A)$ and $B = ((B, \leq_B), \cdot_B, *_B, 0_B)$ be pseudo-UP algebras. A mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called a pseudo-UP homomorphism if

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall x, y \in A)(f(x \cdot_A y) &= f(x) \cdot_B f(y)) \text{ and} \\ (\forall x, y \in A)(f(x *_A y) &= f(x) *_B f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{A} = ((A, \leq), \cdot, *, 0)$ be a pseudo-UP algebra and $a \in A$. Then the mappings $f_a : A \rightarrow A$ and $g_a : A \rightarrow A$, defined by $f_a(x) = a \cdot x$ and $g_a(x) = a * x$, are not pseudo-UP homomorphisms.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a pseudo-UP homomorphism, then $f(0_A) = 0_B$ holds.*

PROOF. The assertion of this lemma follows directly from the Definition 3.1 with respect to equality (10) in the article [10]. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a pseudo-UP homomorphism, then*

(a) $(\forall x, y \in A)(x \leq_A y \implies f(x) \leq_B f(y))$.

PROOF. Let $x, y \in A$ such that $x \leq_A y$. Then $x \cdot y = 0_A$ and $x * y =_A 0$ by (pUP-6) in [10]. Thus $f(x) \cdot_B f(y) = f(x \cdot_A y) = 0_B = f(x *_A y) = f(x) *_B f(y)$ by Corollary 3.3. So, it follows $f(x) \leq_B f(y)$ in accordance with (pUP-6) again. \square

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let A, B and C be pseudo-UP algebras and $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ be pseudo-UP homomorphisms. Then $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$, defined by*

$$(\forall x \in A)((g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)))$$

is a pseudo-UP homomorphism.

PROOF. Straightforward. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a pseudo-UP homomorphism between pseudo-UP algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} . Then*

(i) *If J is a pseudo-UP ideal of \mathfrak{B} , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a pseudo-UP ideal of \mathfrak{A} . Association $J \mapsto f^{-1}(J)$, induced by the homomorphism f , realizes the correspondence between family $\mathfrak{J}(B)$ and the family $\mathfrak{J}(A)$.*

(ii) *If f is surjective and I is a pseudo-UP ideal of \mathfrak{A} , then $f(I)$ is a pseudo-UP ideal of B . Association $I \mapsto f(I)$, induced by the homomorphism f , realizes the correspondence between family $\mathfrak{J}(A)$ and the family $\mathfrak{J}(B)$.*

PROOF. (i) Assume that J is a pseudo-UP ideal of \mathfrak{B} . Obviously $0_A \in f^{-1}(J)$.

Let $x, y, z \in A$ be such that $x \cdot_A (y *_A z) \in f^{-1}(J)$ and $y \in f^{-1}(J)$. Then $f(x) \cdot_B (f(y) *_B f(z)) \in J$. Since J is a pseudo-UP ideal in B , we have $f(x) \cdot_B f(z) \in J$. Thus $f(x \cdot_A z) \in J$ and $x \cdot_A z \in f^{-1}(J)$.

The implication $x *_A (y \cdot_A z) \in f^{-1}(J) \wedge y \in f^{-1}(J) \implies x *_A z \in f^{-1}(J)$ can be proved in an analogous way.

(ii) Assume that f is surjective and let I be a pseudo-UP ideal of A . Obviously, $0_B = f(0_A) \in f(I)$.

Let $a, b, c \in B$ be such that $a \cdot_B (b *_B c) \in f(I)$ and $b \in f(I)$. Then there exist elements $x, z \in B$ and $y, u \in I$ such that $a = f(x)$, $b = f(y)$, $c = f(z)$ and $a \cdot_B (b *_B c) = f(u)$. Thus, from $f(x \cdot_A (y *_A z)) = f(x) \cdot_B (f(y) *_B f(z)) = a \cdot_B (b *_B c) = f(u) \in f(I) \subseteq B$, it follows $x \cdot_A (y *_A z) \in I$. Now, from $x \cdot_A (y *_A z) \in I$ and $y \in I$ it follows $x \cdot_A z \in I$ because I is a pseudo-UP ideal in \mathfrak{A} . Hence $f(x) \cdot_B f(z) = f(x \cdot_A z) \in f(I)$. So, $f(I)$ is a pseudo-UP ideal in \mathfrak{B} .

The implication $a *_B (y \cdot_B z) \in f(I) \wedge b \in f(I) \implies a *_B z \in f(I)$ can be proved in an analogous way. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a pseudo-UP homomorphism of pseudo-UP algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} . Then the kernel $\text{Ker}(f) = \{x \in A : f(x) = 0_B\}$ of f is a pseudo-UP ideal of A .*

PROOF. Obviously, $0_A \in \text{Ker}(f)$.

Let $x, y, z \in A$ be such that $x \cdot_A (y *_A z) \in \text{Ker}(f)$ and $y \in \text{Ker}(f)$. Then $f(y) = 0_B$ and $0_B = f(x \cdot_A (y *_A z)) = f(x) \cdot_B (f(y) *_B f(z)) = f(x) \cdot_B (0_B *_B f(z)) = f(x) \cdot_B f(z) = f(x \cdot_A z)$. Thus $x \cdot_A z \in \text{Ker}(f)$.

The second implication can be proven analogous to the previous one. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a pseudo-UP homomorphism between pseudo-UP algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} . Then:*

- (iii) *If G is a pseudo-UP filter of \mathfrak{B} , then $f^{-1}(G)$ is a pseudo-UP filter of \mathfrak{A} .*
- (iv) *If f is surjective and F is a pseudo-UP filter of \mathfrak{A} , then $f(F)$ is a pseudo-UP filter of B .*

PROOF. The proof of this theorem can be deduced by direct verification, similar to the proof of the Theorem 3.3. \square

3.3. The concept of congruences of pseudo-UP algebras. We define the notion of congruence relations on pseudo-UP algebras.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{A} = ((A, \leq), \cdot, *, 0)$ be a pseudo-UP algebra and θ be an equality relation on the set A . θ is called:

- (a) Left congruence relation on \mathfrak{A} if $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x, y) \in \theta \implies ((z \cdot x, z \cdot y) \in \theta \wedge (z * x, z * y) \in \theta))$.
- (b) Right congruence relation on \mathfrak{A} if $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x, y) \in \theta \implies ((x \cdot z, y \cdot z) \in \theta \wedge (x * z, y * z) \in \theta))$.
- (c) Congruence relation on \mathfrak{A} if it is a left and right congruence.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let us suppose that the following formula

$$(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z) \wedge (x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z))$$

is a valid formula in a pseudo-UP algebra A . For any $a \in A$, let us define

$$R_a = \{(x, y) \in A \times A : a \cdot x = a \cdot y \wedge a * x = a * y\}.$$

Then R_a is a right congruence on \mathfrak{A} . Obviously, the following is valid $R_a = \text{Ker}(f_a) \cap \text{Ker}(g_a)$.

LEMMA 3.2. *The condition (c) is equivalent to the following implication*

$$(\forall x, y, u, v \in A)((x, y) \in \theta \wedge (u, v) \in \theta \implies ((x \cdot u, y \cdot v) \in \theta \wedge (x * u, y * v) \in \theta))$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let θ a congruence relation on a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} . Then the set $C_0 = \{x \in A : (x, 0) \in \theta\}$ is a pseudo-UP ideal in A .*

PROOF. Since $(0, 0) \in \theta$, it is obvious that $0 \in C_0$ holds.

Let $x, y, z \in A$ be such that $x \cdot (y * z) \in C_0$ and $y \in C_0$. Then $(x \cdot (y * z), 0) \in \theta$ and $(y, 0) \in \theta$. From $(y, 0) \in \theta$ it follows $(y * z, 0 * z) \in \theta$ and $(y * z, z) \in \theta$ by (9). Thus $(x \cdot (y * z), x \cdot z) \in \theta$. Now, from $(x \cdot (y * z), 0) \in \theta$ and $(x \cdot (y * z), z \cdot z)$ it follows $0, x \cdot z \in \theta$ because θ is a transitive relation. So, $x \cdot z \in C_0$.

Proof of the Second Implication $(x * (y \cdot z) \in C_0 \wedge y \in C_0) \implies x * z \in C_0$ can be demonstrated similarly to the proof of a previous implication. \square

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a pseudo-UP homomorphism and $\theta = \{(x, y) \in A \times A : f(x) =_B f(y)\}$. Then θ is a congruence relation on \mathfrak{A} ,*

PROOF. The proof of this theorem is obtained by direct verification. Indeed:

Since $f(x) =_B f(x)$ for any $x \in A$ because f is a mapping, we conclude that θ is reflexive. Of course, it is obvious that θ is a symmetric and transitive relation.

Let $x, y, z \in A$ such that $(x, y) \in \theta$. Then $f(x) =_B f(y)$. Thus

$$f(z \cdot_A x) =_B f(z) \cdot_B f(x) =_B f(z) \cdot_B f(y) =_B f(z \cdot_A y), \text{ and}$$

$$f(z * _A x) =_B f(z) * _B f(x) =_B f(z) * _B f(y) =_B f(z * _A y).$$

So, hold $(z \cdot_A x, z \cdot_A y) \in \theta$ and $(z * _A x, z * _A y) \in \theta$. Therefore, we concluded by part (a) of Definition 3.2 that θ is a left congruence on the pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} .

Analogously to this one can prove that θ is a right congruence at \mathfrak{A} . Finally, θ is a congruence at \mathfrak{A} according to (c) in the definition 3.2. \square

4. Final observation

The concept of pseudo-UP homomorphism between pseudo-UP algebras is introduced and discussed in this text. The obtained results, in further research, can serve as base to construct isomorphism theorems for pseudo-UP algebras. The problem we encounter with respect to any congruence of θ on a pseudo-UP algebra \mathfrak{A} is that, generally speaking, the factor structure of \mathfrak{A}/θ need not be a pseudo-UP algebra. With little effort it can be verified that the factor structure \mathfrak{A}/θ satisfies the axioms (pUP-1), (pUP-5) and (pUP-6) in the Definition 2.3 except the axiom (pUP- 4).

This conclusion predicts to us that further exploration of the properties of homomorphisms on pseudo-UP algebras would have difficulty with designing isomorphism theorems with these algebras if we proceeded into the usual framework of algebraic considerations.

References

- [1] A. Iampan. A new branch of the logical algebra: UP-algebras. *J. Algebra Relat Top.*, **5**(1)(2017), 35-54.
- [2] Y. B. Jun, M. Kondo and K. H. Kim. Pseudo-ideals of pseudo-BCK algebras. *Sci. Math. Japonicae Online*, **8**(2003), 87-91.
- [3] Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers. On pseudo-BCI ideals of pseudo-BCI algebras. *Mat. Vesnik*, **58**(1)(2006), 39-46.
- [4] K. J. Lee and C. H. Park. Some ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras. *J. Appl. Math. Inform.*, **27**(1-2)(2009), 217-231.
- [5] D. A. Romano. Proper UP-filters in UP-algebra. *Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, **1**(2)(2018), 98-100.

- [6] D. A. Romano. Notes on UP-ideals in UP-algebras. *Communications in Advanced Mathematical Sciences*, **1**(1)(2018), 35–38.
- [7] D. A. Romano. Some properties of proper UP-filters of UP-algebras. *Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, **1**(2)(2018), 109–111.
- [8] D. A. Romano. Some decompositions of UP-ideals and proper UP-filters. *Mathematical Advances in Pure and Applied Sciences*, **2**(1)(2019), 16–18.
- [9] D. A. Romano. Pseudo-valuations on UP-algebras. *Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, **2**(3)(2019), 138–140.
- [10] D. A. Romano. Pseudo-UP algebras, An introduction. *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.*, **10**(2)(2020), 349–355.
- [11] D. A. Romano. Pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters in pseudo-UP algebras. *Mathematical Sciences and Applications E-Notes*, **8**(1)(2020), 155–158.

Received by editors 23.10.2019; Revised version 25.06.2020; Available online 06.07.2020.

INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE,
6, KORDUNAŠKA STREET, 78000 BANJA LUKA, BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA
E-mail address: `bato49@hotmail.com`