BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE ISSN (p) 2303-4874, ISSN (o) 2303-4955 www.imvibl.org /JOURNALS / BULLETIN Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., Vol. **11**(1)(2021), 195-208 DOI: 10.7251/BIMVI2101195R

> Former BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA ISSN 0354-5792 (o), ISSN 1986-521X (p)

# $\alpha$ -IDEALS IN 0-DISTRIBUTIVE ALMOST LATTICES

# G. Nanaji Rao and R. Venkata Aravinda Raju

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concept of an  $\alpha$ - ideal in a 0-distributive almost lattice. We give some examples of the  $\alpha$ -ideals. We prove that the set of all  $\alpha$ ideals in a 0-distributive almost lattice L forms a complete distributive lattice. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for an ideal in a 0-distributive AL to be an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Finally, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the contraction of an  $\alpha$ -ideal to be an  $\alpha$ -ideal.

## 1. Introduction

In 1973, W. H. Cornish [2] introduced the concept of an  $\alpha$ -ideal in a distributive lattice with 0 and studied many properties of these ideals. He characterised  $\alpha$ -ideals in terms of annulets. The concept of almost lattice (AL) was introduced by G. Nanaji Rao and Habtamu Tiruneh Alemu [3] as a common abstraction of almost all lattice theoretic generalizations of Boolean algebra like distributive lattices, almost distributive lattices and established necessary and sufficient conditions for an AL to become a lattice. Also, G. Nanaji Rao and Habtamu Tiruneh Alemu [4] introduced the concept of ideals in an AL and proved that the set  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  of all ideals in an AL L forms a lattice and proved the set of all principal ideals of L, denoted by  $P\mathcal{I}(L)$  is a sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{I}(L)$ . Later, G. Nanaji Rao and R. Venkata Aravinda Raju [7] introduced the concept of annihilators of a nonempty subsets of almost lattices and proved some of their basic properties. Also, they introduced the concept of 0-distributive almost lattice and obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for an AL with 0 to become 0-distributive AL in terms of annihilators, ideals and pseudo-complementations.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 06D99, 06D15.

Key words and phrases. distributive lattice, complete lattice, almost lattice (AL), ideal, 0-distributive AL,  $\alpha$ -ideal, annihilator preserving epimorphism, contraction.

Communicated by Daniel A. Romano.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $\alpha$ -ideal in a 0-distributive AL Land give certain examples of  $\alpha$ -ideals. Also, we obtain that if I is an ideal of a 0-distributive AL L then the set  $\overline{I} = \{x \in L : (a]^* \subseteq (x]^* \text{ for some } a \in I\}$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal containing I. We observe that the lattice  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  of all ideals in an AL L need not be distributive. We prove that the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  of all  $\alpha$ -ideals of L forms a complete distributive lattice but, in general, not a sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{I}(L)$ . We prove a set of identities for an ideal in a 0-distributive AL to become an  $\alpha$ -ideal. We derive necessary and sufficient conditions for an ideal in a 0-distributive AL to become an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Also, we characterize \*-0-distributive almost lattice (\*-0-DAL) in terms of  $\alpha$ -ideals. Finally, obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the contraction of an  $\alpha$ -ideal to be an  $\alpha$ -ideal.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect few important definitions and results which are already known and which will be used more frequently in the text.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset. Then P is said to be lattice ordered set if for every pair  $x, y \in P, l.u.b\{x, y\}$  and  $g.l.b\{x, y\}$  exists.

DEFINITION 2.2. An algebra  $(L, \vee, \wedge)$  of type (2, 2) is called a lattice if it satisfies the following axioms. For any  $x, y, z \in L$ ,

(1)  $x \lor y = y \lor x$  and  $x \land y = y \land x$ . (Commutative Law)

(2)  $(x \lor y) \lor z = x \lor (y \lor z)$  and  $(x \land y) \land z = x \land (y \land z)$ . (Associative Law) (3)  $x \lor (x \land y) = x$  and  $x \land (x \lor y) = x$ . (Absorption Law)

It can be easily seen that in any lattice L,

 $x \lor x = x$  and  $x \land x = x$  (Idempotent Law).

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $(L, \leq)$  be a lattice ordered set. If we define  $x \wedge y$  is the g.l.b of  $\{x, y\}$  and  $x \vee y$  is the l.u.b of  $\{x, y\}$   $(x, y \in L)$ , then  $(L, \vee, \wedge)$  is a lattice.

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $(L, \lor, \land)$  be a lattice. If we define a relation  $\leq$  on L, by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x = x \land y$ , or equivalently  $x \lor y = y$ . Then  $(L, \leq)$  is a lattice ordered set.

**Important Note:** Theorems 2.1. and 2.2. together imply that the concepts of lattice and lattice ordered set are the same. We refer to it as a lattice in future.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let L be a lattice. Then L is said to be a bounded lattice if L is bounded as a poset.

DEFINITION 2.4. A bounded lattice L with bounds 0 and 1 is said to be complemented if to each  $x \in L$ , there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $x \wedge y = 0$  and  $x \vee y = 1$ .

THEOREM 2.3. In any lattice  $(L, \lor, \land)$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z),$
- (2)  $(x \lor y) \land z = (x \land z) \lor (y \land z),$
- (3)  $x \lor (y \land z) = (x \lor y) \land (x \lor z),$
- (4)  $(x \wedge y) \lor z = (x \lor z) \land (y \lor z).$

DEFINITION 2.5. A lattice L is called a distributive lattice if it satisfies any one of the four conditions in the Theorem 2.3.

DEFINITION 2.6. A complemented distributive lattice is called a Boolean algebra.

DEFINITION 2.7. A lattice L is called a complete lattice if every nonempty subset of L has both l.u.b and g.l.b.

THEOREM 2.4. If P is a partial ordered set bounded above each of whose nonvoid subset R has an infimum, then each non-void subset of P will have a supremum, too, and by the definitions  $\bigcap R = \inf R$ ,  $\bigcup R = \sup R$ , then P becomes a complete lattice.

THEOREM 2.5. Let L be a lattice. Then for any  $x, y, z \in L$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $x \lor (y \land z) = (x \lor y) \land (x \lor z),$ (2)  $x \land (y \lor z) = (x \land y) \lor (x \land z),$ (3)  $(x \lor y) \land z \leqslant x \lor (y \land z).$ 

DEFINITION 2.8. An algebra  $(L, \lor, \land, 0)$  of type (2, 2, 0) is called an AL with 0 if, for any  $a, b, c \in L$ , it satisfies the following conditions:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & (a \wedge b) \wedge c = (b \wedge a) \wedge c, \\ (2) & (a \vee b) \wedge c = (b \vee a) \wedge c, \\ (3) & (a \wedge b) \wedge c = a \wedge (b \wedge c), \\ (4) & (a \vee b) \vee c = a \vee (b \vee c), \\ (5) & a \wedge (a \vee b) = a, \\ (6) & a \vee (a \wedge b) = a, \\ (7) & (a \wedge b) \vee b = b, \\ (8) & 0 \wedge a = 0. \end{array}$ 

It can be easily seen that  $a \wedge b = a$  if and only if,  $a \vee b = b$  in an AL.

DEFINITION 2.9. Let L be an AL and  $a, b \in L$ . An element a is less than or equal to b and write  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a \wedge b = a$  or equivalently  $a \vee b = b$ .

THEOREM 2.6. The relation  $\leq$  is a partial ordering on an AL L and hence  $(L, \leq)$  is a poset.

DEFINITION 2.10. Let L be any nonempty set. If we define  $x \lor y = x = y \land x$  for any  $x, y \in L$ , then clearly L is an AL and it is called a discrete AL.

DEFINITION 2.11. Let L and L' be two ALs with zero elements 0 and 0' respectively. Then a mapping  $f: L \to L'$  is called a homomorphism if it satisfies the following conditions.

(1)  $f(a \lor b) = f(a) \lor f(b),$ (2)  $f(a \land b) = f(a) \land f(b),$ 

(3) f(0) = 0'.

DEFINITION 2.12. Let L be an AL. Then a nonempty subset I of L is said to be an ideal of L if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) If  $x, y \in I$ , then there exists  $d \in I$  such that  $d \wedge x = x$  and  $d \wedge y = y$ ,

(2) If  $x \in I$  and  $a \in L$ , then  $x \wedge a \in I$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let L be an AL and I be an ideal of L. Then the following are equivalent:

(1)  $x, y \in I$  implies  $x \lor y \in I$ .

(2)  $x, y \in I$  implies there exists  $d \in I$  such that  $d \wedge x = x$  and  $d \wedge y = y$ .

COROLLARY 2.1. Let L be an AL and  $a \in L$ . Then  $(a] = \{a \land x | x \in L\}$  is an ideal of L and it is called principal ideal generated by a.

DEFINITION 2.13. Let L be an AL. Then a nonempty subset F of L is said to be a filter if it satisfies the following:

(1)  $x, y \in F$ , implies  $x \wedge y \in F$ .

(2)  $x \in F$  and  $a \in L$ , implies  $a \lor x \in F$ .

COROLLARY 2.2. Let L be an AL and a,  $b \in L$ . Then  $a \in (b]$  if and only if  $a = b \wedge a$ .

COROLLARY 2.3. Let L be an AL and a,  $b \in L$ . Then  $(a \wedge b] = (b \wedge a]$ .

THEOREM 2.7. Let L be an AL. Then the set  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  of all ideals of L forms a lattice under set inclusion in which the glb and lub for any  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(L)$  are respectively  $I \wedge J = I \cap J$  and  $I \vee J = \{x \in L : (a \vee b) \wedge x = x \text{ for some } a \in I \text{ and} b \in J\}.$ 

THEOREM 2.8. Let L be an AL. Then the set  $P\mathcal{I}(L)$  of all principal ideals of L is a sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  of all ideals of L.

DEFINITION 2.14. Let L be an AL. Then for any ideal I in L, define  $I^e = \{(a) : a \in I\}$ .

LEMMA 2.2. Let L be an AL and I, J be ideals of L. Then we have the following.

(1)  $I^e$  is an ideal of the lattice  $\mathcal{PI}(L)$ ,

(2)  $I \subseteq J \Leftrightarrow I^e \subseteq J^e$ ,

(3)  $(I \lor J)^e = I^e \lor J^e$ ,

 $(4) \ (I \cap J)^e = I^e \cap J^e,$ 

(5) I is prime  $\Leftrightarrow I^e$  is prime.

DEFINITION 2.15. Let L be an AL with 0. Then for any nonempty subset A of L, define  $A^* = \{x \in L : x \land a = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ . Here,  $A^*$  is called an annihilator of A in L.

LEMMA 2.3. Let L and L' be two ALs with zero elements 0 and 0' respectively and  $f: L \to L'$  a homomorphism. Then we have the following:

(1) If f is onto, then for any ideal I of L, f(I) is an ideal of L'.

(2) If J is an ideal of L', then  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal of L.

(3) ker(f) is an ideal of L.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let L be an AL with 0. Then for any ideals I, J of L. we have the following:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) \ I \cap I^* = \{0\}, \\ (2) \ I^* = \bigcap_{a \in I} (a]^*, \\ (3) \ (I \cap J)^* = (J \cap I)^*, \\ (4) \ I \subseteq J \Rightarrow J^* \subseteq I^*, \\ (5) \ I^* \cap J^* \subseteq (I \cap J)^*, \\ (6) \ I \subseteq I^{**}, \\ (7) \ I^{***} = I^*, \\ (8) \ I^* \subseteq J^* \Leftrightarrow J^{**} \subseteq I^{**}, \\ (9) \ (I \cup J)^* = I^* \cap J^* = (J \cup I)^*. \end{array}$ 

COROLLARY 2.5. Let L be an AL with 0. Then for any ideals I, J of L, we have the following:

- $(1) \ (I\cap J)^{**}=I^{**}\cap J^{**},$
- (2)  $I \cap J = (0] \Leftrightarrow I \subseteq J^* \Leftrightarrow J \subseteq I^*.$

COROLLARY 2.6. Let L be an AL with 0. If  $\{I_i : i \in \Delta\}$  is a family of ideals of L, then  $(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i)^{**} = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} (I_i)^{**}$ .

THEOREM 2.9. Let L be an AL with 0. Then for any  $x, y \in L$ , we have the following:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & (x] \cap [x]^* = (0], \\ (2) & [x]^* \cap [x]^{**} = (0], \\ (3) & (x]^* = [x]^*, \\ (4) & (x]^* \cap [x]^{**} = (0], \\ (5) & x \leqslant y \Rightarrow [y]^* \subseteq [x]^*, \\ (6) & [x \land y]^* = [y \land x]^*, \\ (7) & [x \lor y]^* = [y \lor x]^*, \\ (8) & (x] \subseteq [x]^{**}, \\ (9) & [x]^{***} = [x]^*, \\ (10) & [x]^* \subseteq [y]^* \Leftrightarrow [y]^{**} \subseteq [x]^{**}, \\ (11) & [x \land y]^{**} = [x]^{**} \cap [y]^{**}. \end{array}$ 

COROLLARY 2.7. Let L be an AL with 0. Then for any  $0 \neq x \in L$ , there exists a maximal filter F of L such that  $x \in F$ .

DEFINITION 2.16. Let L and L' be two ALs with 0 and 0' respectively. Then a homomorphism  $f: L \to L'$  is called annihilator preserving if it satisfies  $f(A^*) = (f(A))^*$ , for any  $\{0\} \subset A \subset L$ .

DEFINITION 2.17. Let L be an AL with 0. Then L is said to be 0-distributive if for any  $a, b, c \in L$ ,  $a \wedge b = 0$  and  $a \wedge c = 0$  imply  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = 0$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. Then for any  $x, y, z \in L$ ,

$$[(x \lor y) \land z]^* = [(x \land z) \lor (y \land z)]^*.$$

#### 3. $\alpha$ -Ideals in 0-Distributive Almost Lattices

In this section, we introduce the concept of an  $\alpha$ -ideal in a 0-distributive AL Land give certain examples of  $\alpha$ -ideals. For any ideal I of an AL L with 0, we prove that  $\overline{I} = \{x \in L : (a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  for some  $a \in I\}$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal containing Iand also we prove some basic properties of  $\overline{I}$ . We prove that the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  of all  $\alpha$ -ideals of L forms a complete distributive lattice. We derive a set of identities for an ideal in a 0-distributive AL to become an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Also, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for an ideal in a 0-distributive AL to become an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Finally, in this section we characterise the \*-0-DAL in terms of  $\alpha$ -ideals. First, we begin this section with the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let L be a 0-distributive AL and I be an ideal of L. Then I is called an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L, if  $(x]^{**} \subseteq I$  for all  $x \in I$ .

Note that we denote the set of all  $\alpha$ -ideals in an AL L by  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ . In the following, we give certain examples of an  $\alpha$ -ideals.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $A = \{0, a\}$  and  $B = \{0, b_1, b_2\}$  be two discrete ALs. Write  $L = A \times B = \{(0, 0), (0, b_1), (0, b_2), (a, 0), (a, b_1), (a, b_2)\}$ . Then clearly  $(L, \lor, \land, 0')$  is an AL with zero under point-wise operations, where 0' = (0, 0). Now, put  $I = \{(0, 0), (0, b_1), (0, b_2)\}$ . Then clearly I is an ideal of L. Also, clearly  $((0, 0)]^{**} = \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $((0, b_1)]^{**} = ((0, b_2)]^{**} = \{(0, 0), (0, b_1), (0, b_2)\} \subseteq I$ . Thus I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $L = \{0, a, b, c\}$  and define  $\lor$  and  $\land$  on L as follows:

V	0	а	b	с		$\wedge$	0	a	b	с
0	0	a	b	с		0	0	0	0	0
a	a	а	b	b	and	a	0	a	a	0
b	b	b	b	b		b	0	a	b	с
с	с	b	b	с		с	0	0	с	с

Then clearly  $(L, \lor, \land, 0)$  is a 0-distributive AL. Now, put  $I = \{0, a\}$ . Then clearly I is an ideal in L. Also, clearly  $(0]^{**} = \{0\}$  and  $(a]^{**} = \{0, a\} \subseteq I$ . Thus I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

Next, we prove some basic properties of  $\alpha$ -ideals in 0-distributive ALs.

THEOREM 3.1. Let L be a 0-distributive AL and let S be a nonempty subset of L which is closed under the operation  $\wedge$ . Then the set  $I = \{x \in L : x \land y = 0 \text{ for some } y \in S\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

PROOF. Clearly  $0 \in I$  and hence I is nonempty. Let  $a, b \in I$ . Then  $a \wedge r = 0$ and  $b \wedge s = 0$  for some  $r, s \in S$ . This implies  $a \wedge (r \wedge s) = 0$  and  $b \wedge (r \wedge s) = 0$ . It follows that  $(a \vee b) \wedge (r \wedge s) = 0$ , we get  $a \vee b \in I$ . Again, let  $x \in I$  and  $r \in L$ . Then  $x \wedge s = 0$  for some  $s \in S$ . Now, consider  $(x \wedge r) \wedge s = (r \wedge x) \wedge s = r \wedge (x \wedge s) = r \wedge 0 = 0$ . Therefore  $x \wedge r \in I$ . Hence I is an ideal of L. Clearly,  $I = \bigcup_{x \in S} (x]^*$ . Let  $a \in I$ . Then  $a \in (t]^*$  for some  $t \in S$ . This implies  $(a] \subseteq (t]^*$ . Thus  $(a]^{**} \subseteq (t]^{***} = (t]^*$ . Hence  $(a]^{**} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in S} (x]^* = I$ . Therefore I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L. COROLLARY 3.1. Let L be a 0-distributive AL and let F be a filter of L. Then the set  $I = \{x \in L : x \land y = 0 \text{ for some } y \in F\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

THEOREM 3.2. The set union of any chain of  $\alpha$ -ideals of a 0-distributive AL L is itself an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L.

PROOF. Suppose  $\{I_i\}_{i \in \Delta}$  be an arbitrary family of  $\alpha$ -ideals of L. Now, put  $I = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} I_i$ . Then clearly I is an ideal of L. Now, we shall prove I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L. Suppose  $x \in I = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} I_i$ . Then  $x \in I_i$  for some  $i \in \Delta$ . This implies  $(x]^{**} \subseteq I_i$ , since each  $I_i$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. It follows that  $(x]^{**} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} I_i = I$ . Therefore I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

We now define an extension of an ideal I of a 0-distributive AL, which leads to a useful characterization of  $\alpha$ -ideals.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let L be 0-distributive AL. Then for any ideal I of L, define  $\overline{I} = \{x \in L : (a]^* \subseteq (x]^* \text{ for some } a \in I\}$ 

THEOREM 3.3. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. Then for any ideal I of L,  $\overline{I}$  is an ideal of L.

PROOF. By the definition of  $\overline{I}$ , it is clear that  $I \subseteq \overline{I}$ . Therefore  $\overline{I}$  is a nonempty subset of L. Let  $x, y \in \overline{I}$ . Then  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  and  $(b]^* \subseteq (y]^*$  for some  $a, b \in I$ . Hence  $(a]^* \cap (b]^* \subseteq (x]^* \cap (y]^*$ . This implies  $(a \lor b]^* \subseteq (x \lor y]^*$  and  $a \lor b \in I$ . Hence  $x \lor y \in \overline{I}$ . Again, let  $x \in \overline{I}$  and  $r \in L$ . Then  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  for some  $a \in I$ . This implies  $(x]^{**} \subseteq (a]^{**}$ . Since  $x \in (x]^{**} \subseteq (a]^{**}$ ,  $x \in (a]^{**}$  and  $r \in (r]^{**}$ . It follows that  $x \land r \in (a]^{**} \cap (r]^{**} = (a \land r]^{**}$ . This implies  $(x \land r] \subseteq (a \land r]^{**}$ . It follows that  $(a \land r]^* \subseteq (x \land r]^*$  and we have  $a \land r \in I$ . Thus  $x \land r \in \overline{I}$ . Therefore  $\overline{I}$  is an ideal of L.

THEOREM 3.4. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. Then for any ideals I, J of L, we have the following.

(1)  $I \subseteq \overline{I}$ , (2)  $I \subseteq J \Rightarrow \overline{I} \subseteq \overline{J}$ , (3)  $\overline{I \cap J} = \overline{I} \cap \overline{J}$ , (4)  $\overline{I} \lor \overline{J} \subseteq \overline{I} \lor \overline{J}$ , (5)  $\overline{(\overline{I})} = \overline{I}$ , (6)  $\overline{(I^*)} = I^*$ . PROOF. Proof (1) is clear.

(2) Suppose  $I \subseteq J$  and suppose  $x \in \overline{I}$ . Then  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  for some  $a \in I$ . Hence  $x \in \overline{J}$ , since  $a \in I \subseteq J$ . Therefore  $\overline{I} \subseteq \overline{J}$ 

(3) Clearly,  $\overline{(I \cap J)} \subseteq \overline{I} \cap \overline{J}$ . Conversely, suppose  $x \in \overline{I} \cap \overline{J}$ . Then  $x \in \overline{I}$  and  $x \in \overline{J}$ . This implies  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  and  $(b]^* \subseteq (x]^*$ , where  $a \in I$  and  $b \in J$ . It follows that  $(x]^{**} \subseteq (a]^{**}$  and  $(x]^{**} \subseteq (b]^{**}$ . Hence  $(x]^{**} \subseteq (a]^{**} \cap (b]^{**} = (a \wedge b]^{**}$ . This implies  $(a \wedge b]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  and  $a \wedge b \in I \cap J$ . Thus  $x \in \overline{I \cap J}$ . Hence  $\overline{I} \cap \overline{J} \subseteq \overline{I \vee J}$ . Therefore  $\overline{I \cap J} = \overline{I} \cap \overline{J}$ .

Proof (4) is clear.

(5) We have  $I \subseteq \overline{I}$ . Therefore by condition (2), we get  $\overline{I} \subseteq \overline{(I)}$ . Conversely, suppose  $x \in \overline{(I)}$ . Then  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$ , where  $a \in \overline{I}$ . Again, since  $a \in \overline{I}$ ,  $(b]^* \subseteq (a]^*$  for some  $b \in I$ . It follows that  $(b]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  and  $b \in I$ . Thus  $x \in \overline{I}$ . Hence  $\overline{(I)} \subseteq \overline{I}$ . Therefore  $\overline{(I)} = \overline{I}$ .

(6) Clearly  $I^* \subseteq \overline{(I^*)}$ . Suppose  $x \in \overline{(I^*)}$ . Then  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  for some  $a \in I^*$ . This implies  $(x]^{**} \subseteq (a]^{**}$ . Since  $a \in I^*$ , we get  $(a] \subseteq I^*$ . It follows that  $(a]^{**} \subseteq I^*$ . Hence  $x \in (x]^{**} \subseteq (a]^{**} \subseteq I^*$ . Thus  $x \in I^*$ . Hence  $\overline{(I^*)} \subseteq I^*$ . Therefore  $\overline{(I^*)} = I^*$ .

COROLLARY 3.2. Let *L* be a 0-distributive *AL* and  $\{I_i\}_{i\in\Delta}$  be a family of  $\alpha$ ideals in *L*. Then  $\overline{(\bigcap_{i\in\Delta} I_i)} = \bigcap_{i\in\Delta} \overline{I_i} = \bigcap_{i\in\Delta} I_i$ .

In the following we characterise an ideal  $\overline{I}$  in 0-distributive AL.

THEOREM 3.5. Let L be a 0-distributive AL and I be an ideal of L. Then  $\overline{I}$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal containing I.

PROOF. Clearly,  $\overline{I}$  is an ideal of L containing I. Suppose  $x \in \overline{I}$ . Then  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  for some  $a \in I$ . Let  $t \in (x]^{**}$ . Then we have  $(x]^* \subseteq (t]^*$ . Thus  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^* \subseteq (t]^*$ . It follows that  $t \in \overline{I}$ , since  $a \in I$ . Thus  $(x]^{**} \subseteq \overline{I}$ . Therefore  $\overline{I}$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal containing I. Suppose K is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L such that  $I \subseteq K$ . Now, let  $x \in \overline{I}$ . Then  $(a]^* \subseteq (x]^*$  for some  $a \in I$ , since K is an  $\alpha$ -ideal containing I,  $(a]^{**} \subseteq K$ . Now, since  $t \in (x]^{**} \subseteq (a]^{**} \subseteq K$ ,  $t \in K$ . Hence  $\overline{I} \subseteq K$ . Therefore  $\overline{I}$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal containing I.

Next, we prove that the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  of all  $\alpha$ -ideals in a 0-distributive AL is a complete distributive lattice. For, this first we need the following lemma whose proof is straight forward.

LEMMA 3.1. Let L be a 0-distributive AL and I be an ideal of L. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal,

(2)  $I = \overline{I}$ .

Recall that the set  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  of all ideals in an AL L is lattice. But, in the following we give an example of an AL in which  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  is not a distributive lattice.

$\vee$	0	a	b	с	d	and	$\wedge$	0	a	b	с	d
0	0	а	b	с	d		0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	a	d	d	d		a	0	a	0	0	a
b	b	d	b	d	d		b	0	0	b	0	b
c	с	d	d	с	d		с	0	0	0	с	с
d	d	d	d	d	d		d	0	a	b	с	d

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let  $L = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  and define  $\lor$  and  $\land$  on L as follows:

Then clearly  $(L, \lor, \land, 0)$  is an AL. Now, Put  $I = \{0, a\}, J = \{0, b\}$  and  $K = \{0, c\}$ . Then clearly I, J and K are ideals of L. Also, clearly  $J \cap K = \{0\}$  and hence  $I \lor (J \cap K) = \{0, a\}$ . On the other hand  $I \lor J = \{0, a, b, c, 1\} = L$  and  $I \lor K = \{0, a, b, c, 1\} = L$ . Therefore  $(I \lor J) \cap (I \lor K) = L$ . Thus  $I \lor (J \cap K) \neq (I \lor J) \cap (I \lor K)$ . Therefore the lattice  $\mathcal{I}(L)$  is not a distributive lattice.

Again, in the following we give an example of an AL L in which the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  of all  $\alpha$ -ideal in L is not a sublattice of the lattice  $\mathcal{I}(L)$ .

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let  $L = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  and define  $\lor$  and  $\land$  on L as follows:

$\vee$	0	a	b	с	d	and	$\wedge$	0	a	b	с	d
0	0	а	b	с	d		0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	а	с	с	d		a	0	a	0	а	a
b	b	с	b	с	d		b	0	0	b	b	b
c	с	с	с	с	d		с	0	a	b	с	с
d	d	d	d	d	d		d	0	a	b	с	d

Then clearly  $(L, \lor, \land, 0)$  is a 0-distributive AL. Now, put  $I = \{0, a\}$  and  $J = \{0, b\}$ . Then clearly I and J are  $\alpha$ -ideals of L. But, we have  $I \lor J = \{0, a, b, c\}$ , which is not an  $\alpha$ -ideal, since  $(c]^{**} = L \not\subseteq I \lor J$ . Thus  $I \lor J$  is not an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L. Therefore  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  is not a sublattice of  $\mathcal{I}(L)$ .

However, in the following we prove that if L is a 0-distributive AL then the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  of  $\alpha$ -ideals in L is a distributive lattice on its own. For this, first we need the following lemma whose proof is straight forward.

LEMMA 3.2. Let L be an AL with 0. Then for any  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(L), I^{**} \vee J^{**} \subseteq (I \vee J)^{**}$ 

But, the converse of the lemma 3.2 is not true. For, in example 3.4, we have  $I^{**} = I$ ,  $J^{**} = J$  and hence  $I^{**} \vee J^{**} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ . On the other hand, we have  $(I \vee J)^* = \{0\}$  and hence  $(I \vee J)^{**} = L$ . Therefore  $I^{**} \vee J^{**} \neq (I \vee J)^{**}$ .

Now, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.6. Let L be a 0-distributive AL in which  $I^{**} \vee J^{**} = (I \vee J)^{**}$  for every  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  is a distributive lattice with respect to set inclusion, where for any  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L), g.l.b.(I, J) = I \cap J$  and  $l.u.b.(I, J) = I \nabla J = \overline{I \vee J}$ .

PROOF. Clearly,  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  is a poset with respect to set inclusion. Let  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ . Then clearly,  $I \cap J$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal and hence  $I \cap J \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ . Also, clearly  $I \cap J$  is the *g.l.b.* of I and J. Now, we shall prove  $I \overline{\lor} J$  is the *l.u.b.* of I and J in  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ . Now, by Theorem 3.5, we get  $\overline{I \lor J}$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal containing  $I \lor J$  and hence  $I \overline{\lor} J = \overline{I \lor J} \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ . Since  $I, J \subseteq I \lor J, \overline{I}, \overline{J} \subseteq \overline{I \lor J} = I \overline{\lor} J$ . It follows that  $I \overline{\lor} J$  is an upper bound of I and J. Then  $I \subseteq K$  and  $J \subseteq K$ . This implies  $I \lor J \subseteq K$ . Hence  $\overline{I \lor J} \subseteq \overline{K} = K$ . Thus  $I \overline{\lor} J$  is the *l.u.b.* of I and J. Therefore  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  is a lattice.

Now, we shall prove  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  is a distributive lattice. Let  $I, J, K \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  is a lattice, we have  $I\overline{\vee}(J\cap K)\subseteq (I\overline{\vee}J)\cap (I\overline{\vee}K)$ . Conversely, suppose  $x \in (I \overline{\lor} J) \cap (I \overline{\lor} K)$ . Then  $x \in (I \lor J) \cap (I \lor K) = (I \lor J) \cap (I \lor K)$ . It follows that  $[a]^* \subseteq [x]^*$  for some  $a \in (I \lor J) \cap (I \lor K)$ . Hence  $a \in I \lor J$  and  $a \in I \lor K$ . This implies  $(i_1 \lor j) \land a = a$  for some  $i_1 \in I$ ,  $j \in J$  and  $(i_2 \lor k) \land a = a$  for some  $i_2 \in I$ ,  $k \in K$ . Now, since  $[a]^* \subseteq [x]^*$ ,  $[x]^{**} \subseteq [a]^{**}$ . Now, we have  $[(i_1 \lor j) \land a]^{**} = [a]^{**}$ . It follows that  $[i_1 \lor j]^{**} \cap [a]^{**} = [a]^{**}$ . Thus  $[a]^{**} \subseteq [i_1 \lor j]^{**}$ . Similarly, we get  $[a]^{**} \subseteq [i_2 \lor k]^{**}$ . Therefore  $[a]^{**} \subseteq [i_1 \lor j]^{**} \cap [i_2 \lor k]^{**}$ . This implies  $[x]^{**} \subseteq [i_1 \lor j]^{**} \cap [i_2 \lor k]^{**}$ .  $[i_1 \vee j]^{**} \cap [i_2 \vee k]^{**} = [(i_1 \vee j) \land (i_2 \vee k)]^{**} = [((i_1 \vee j) \land i_2) \vee ((i_1 \vee j) \land k)]^{**} = [(i_1 \vee j) \land (i_2 \vee k)]^{**} = [(i_1 \vee j) \land$  $(((i_1 \lor j) \land i_2) \lor ((i_1 \lor j) \land k)]^{**} = (((i_1 \lor j) \land i_2] \lor ((i_1 \lor j) \land k])^{**} = ((i_1 \lor j) \land i_2]^{**} \lor ((i_1 \lor j) \land i_2) \lor ((i_1 \lor j) \lor (($  $((i_1 \lor j) \land k]^{**} = ((i_1 \land i_2) \lor (j \land i_2)]^{**} \lor ((i_1 \land k) \lor (j \land k)]^{**} = (((i_1 \land i_2) \lor (j \land i_2))] \lor (j \land i_2)$  $((i_1 \wedge k) \vee (j \wedge k)])^{**} = (((i_1 \wedge i_2) \vee (j \wedge i_2) \vee (i_1 \wedge k)) \vee (j \wedge k)])^{**} = [t \vee (j \wedge k)]^{**},$ where  $t = (i_1 \wedge i_2) \vee (j \wedge i_2) \vee (i_1 \wedge k) \in I. \text{ Thus } [x]^{**} \subseteq \underline{[t \vee (j \wedge k)]^{**}}. \text{ Hence } [t \vee (j \wedge k)]^* \subseteq [x]^*,$ where  $t \lor (j \land k) \in I \lor (J \cap K)$ . Thus  $x \in \overline{I \lor (J \cap K)} = I \overline{\lor} (J \cap K)$ . Therefore  $(I\overline{\vee}J)\cap (I\overline{\vee}K)\subseteq I\overline{\vee}(J\cap K)$ . Hence  $I\overline{\vee}(J\cap K)=(I\overline{\vee}J)\cap (I\overline{\vee}K)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$ is a distributive lattice. 

In view of theorem 3.6 and corollary 3.2, we have the following.

THEOREM 3.7. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. Then the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(L)$  of all  $\alpha$ -ideals of L forms a complete distributive lattice, ordered by set inclusion.

Recall that the intersection of all minimal prime ideals in a 0-distributive AL is  $\{0\}$ . In the following we derive set of identities of an ideal in a 0-distributive AL to become an  $\alpha$ -ideal. For, this first we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. Then for any  $x \in L$ ,  $(x]^* = \bigcap_{P \in M_x} P$ .

PROOF. Suppose P is a minimal prime ideal of L such that  $x \notin P$ . Suppose  $t \in (x]^*$ . Then  $t \wedge x = 0 \in P$ . Hence, we get  $t \in P$ . Therefore  $(x]^* \subseteq \bigcap_{P \in M_r} P$ .

Conversely, suppose  $t \notin (x]^*$ . Then  $t \wedge x \neq 0$ . Then there exists a minimal prime ideal (say) P such that  $t \wedge x \notin P$ . This implies  $t \notin P$  and  $x \notin P$ . Hence  $t \notin \bigcap_{P \in M_x} P$ . Thus  $\bigcap_{P \in M_x} P \subseteq (x]^*$ . Therefore  $(x]^* = \bigcap_{P \in M_x} P$   $\Box$ 

Now, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.8. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. Then for any ideal I of L, the following are equivalent:

I is an α-ideal,
I = Ī,
For any x, y ∈ L, [x]\* = [y]\* and x ∈ I imply y ∈ I,
I = ∪<sub>x∈I</sub> [x]\*\*,
For any x, y ∈ L, h(x) = h(y) and x ∈ I imply y ∈ I.

PROOF.  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is clear.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Assume (2). Let  $x, y \in L$  such that  $[x]^* = [y]^*$  and  $x \in I$ . Then by condition (2), we get  $x \in \overline{I}$ . Therefore  $[a]^* \subseteq [x]^*$  for some  $a \in I$ . Hence  $[a]^* \subseteq [y]^*$  and  $a \in I$ . Therefore  $y \in \overline{I} = I$ . Thus  $y \in I$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Assume (3). Clearly  $I \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in I} [x]^{**}$ . Conversely, suppose  $y \in \bigcup_{x \in I} [x]^{**}$ . Then  $y \in [x]^{**}$  for some  $x \in I$ . It follows that  $[y]^{**} \subseteq [x]^{**}$ . Thus  $[y]^{**} = [x]^{**} \cap [y]^{**} = [x \land y]^{**}$  and  $x \in I$ . Therefore by condition (3), we get  $y \in I$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{x \in I} [x]^{**} \subseteq I$ . Therefore  $I = \bigcup_{x \in I} [x]^{**}$ .

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$  is clear, since by the definition of  $\alpha$ -ideal. (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5) also clear, since  $h(x) = h(y) \Leftrightarrow [x]^* = [y]^*$ .

Recall that if L is a 0-distributive AL then for any  $(a] \in \mathcal{PI}(L), \{(a]\}^* = \{(x) \in \mathcal{PI}(L) : (a] \cap (x] = (0)\}$  is an ideal of the lattice  $\mathcal{PI}(L)$ . In the following we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for an ideal in a 0-distributive AL to become an  $\alpha$ -ideal. For this, first we need the following.

LEMMA 3.4. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. Then for any  $a, b \in L$ , we have the following.

(1)  $x \in (a]^* \Leftrightarrow (x] \in \{(a]\}^*,$ (2)  $(a]^* = (b]^* \Leftrightarrow \{(a]\}^* = \{(b]\}^*.$ 

PROOF. We have  $x \in (a]^* \Leftrightarrow x \land a = 0 \Leftrightarrow (x \land a] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x] \cap (a] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x] \in \{(a]\}^*$ . Thus  $x \in (a]^* \Leftrightarrow (x] \in \{(a]\}^*$ .

Suppose  $(a]^* = (b]^*$ . Then  $(x] \in \{(a]\}^* \Leftrightarrow (x] \cap (a] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x \land a] = (0] \Leftrightarrow x \land a = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \land b = 0 \Leftrightarrow (x \land b] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x] \cap (b] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x] \in \{(b]\}^*$ . Therefore  $\{(a]\}^* = \{(b]\}^*$ . Conversely, suppose  $\{(a]\}^* = \{(b]\}^*$ . Then  $x \in (a]^* \Leftrightarrow x \land a = 0 \Leftrightarrow (x \land a] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x] \cap (a] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x] \in \{(a]\}^* \Leftrightarrow (x] \in \{(b]\}^* \Leftrightarrow (x] \cap (b] = (0] \Leftrightarrow (x \land b] = (0] \Leftrightarrow x \land b = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in (b]^*$ . Therefore  $(a]^* = (b]^*$ 

Now, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.9. Let L be a 0-distributive AL and I be an ideal of L. Then I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L if and only if  $I^e$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{PI}(L)$ .

PROOF. Suppose I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L. Clearly,  $I^e$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{PI}(L)$ . Let  $(a], (b] \in \mathcal{PI}(L)$  such that  $\{(a]\}^* = \{(b]\}^*$  and  $(a] \in I^e$ . This implies (a] = (t] for some  $t \in I$ . It follows that  $a \in I$ . Since  $\{(a]\}^* = \{(b]\}^*$ , by lemma 3.4,  $(a]^* = (b]^*$ . Again, since I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L, we get  $b \in I$ . Hence  $(b] \in I^e$ . Therefore  $I^e$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{PI}(L)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $I^e$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{PI}(L)$ . Let  $a, b \in L$  such that  $(a]^* = (b]^*$ and  $a \in I$ . Then  $\{(a]\}^* = \{(b]\}^*$  and  $(a] \in I^e$ . Since  $I^e$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{PI}(L)$ , we get  $(b] \in I^e$ . It follows that  $b \in I$ . Therefore I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L.  $\Box$ 

Finally, in this section we derive sufficient condition for a 0-distributive AL to become a \*-0-DAL in terms of  $\alpha$ -ideals.

THEOREM 3.10. Let L be a 0-distributive AL. If every  $\alpha$ -ideal in L is a principal ideal, then L is a \*-0-DAL.

PROOF. Suppose  $x \in L$ . Then for any  $a \in (x]^*$ , we get  $(a]^{**} \subseteq (x]^*$ . Therefore  $(x]^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L. Thus by the hypothesis, we get  $(x]^* = (a]$  for some  $a \in L$ . Thus  $(x]^{**} = (a]^*$ . Therefore L is a \*-0-DAL.

### 4. Annihilator Preserving Epimorphisms

In this section, we prove that the image of an  $\alpha$ -ideal under an annihilator preserving epimorphism is again an  $\alpha$ -ideal. We derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the contraction of an  $\alpha$ -ideal is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. For this, first we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. Let L and L' be two ALs with 0 and 0' respectively and let  $f : L \to L'$  be a homomorphism. Then for any  $a \in L$ ,  $f((a]) \subseteq (f(a)]$ . Moreover, if f is onto, then f((a]) = (f(a)].

PROOF. Let  $a \in L$  and let  $t \in f((a)]$ . Then t = f(x) for some  $x \in (a]$ . It follows that  $t = f(x) = f(a \land x) = f(a) \land f(x)$ . Hence  $t \in (f(a)]$ . Therefore  $f((a)) \subseteq (f(a)]$ . Now, suppose f is onto. Let  $t \in (f(a)]$ . Then  $t = f(a) \land s$  for some  $s \in L'$ . Since f is onto, there exists  $x \in L$  such that f(x) = s. Therefore  $t = f(a) \land s = f(a) \land f(x) = f(a \land x)$ . Now, we have  $a \land x \in (a]$  and hence  $f(a \land x) \in (f(a)]$ . Therefore  $t \in (f(a)]$ . Thus  $(f(a)] \subseteq f((a))$ . Thus f((a)) = (f(a)].  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 4.1. Let L and L' be two 0-distributive ALs and let  $f: L \to L'$  be an annihilator preserving epimorphism. If I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L, then f(I) is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L'.

PROOF. Suppose I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L. Then by lemma 2.3, we get f(I) is an ideal in L'. Now, let  $x \in f(I)$ . Then x = f(a), for some  $a \in I$ . Now, since I is an  $\alpha$ -ideal and  $a \in I$ ,  $(a]^{**} \subseteq I$ . Therefore  $f((a]^{**}) \subseteq f(I)$ . It follows that  $(f((a)))^{**} \subseteq f(I)$ . Hence  $(f(a)]^{**} \subseteq f(I)$ . Thus  $(x]^{**} \subseteq f(I)$ . Therefore f(I) is an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L'.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let L and L' be two ALs and let  $f: L \to L'$  is a homomorphism. Then for any ideal I of L',  $f^{-1}(I)$  is called the contraction of I.

It can be easily seen that the contraction of an ideal is an ideal. But, the contraction of an  $\alpha$ -ideal need not be an  $\alpha$ -ideal. For, consider the following example.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let  $L = \{0, a, b, c\}$  and define  $\lor$  and  $\land$  on L as follows.

V	0	a	b	с		$\wedge$	0	a	b	с
0	0	а	b	с		0	0	0	0	0
a	a	а	a	a	and $ $	a	0	a	b	с
b	b	b	b	b		b	0	a	b	с
с	с	a	b	с		с	0	с	с	с

Then clearly  $(L, \lor, \land, 0)$  is an AL with 0. Again, let  $A = \{0, a'\}$  and  $B = \{0, b_1, b_2\}$  be two discrete ALs. Write

$$L' = A \times B = \{(0,0), (0,b_1), (0,b_2), (a',0), (a',b_1), (a',b_2)\}.$$

Then clearly, L' is an AL with zero element 0' = (0, 0), under point-wise operations. Now, define a mapping  $f : L \to L'$  as follows. f(0) = 0', f(c) = (a', 0),  $f(a) = (a', b_1)$ ,  $f(b) = (a', b_2)$ . Then clearly f is a homomorphism from L onto L'. Now, put  $J = \{(0,0), (a', 0)\}$ . Then, clearly J is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L', but,  $f^{-1}(J) = \{0, c\}$  is not an  $\alpha$ -ideal in L, since  $(c]^{**} = (0]^* = L \not\subseteq f^{-1}(J)$ .

It can be easily seen that for every a in a 0-distributive AL,  $[a]^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. In the following we give necessary and sufficient condition for a contraction of an  $\alpha$ -ideal is an  $\alpha$ -ideal.

THEOREM 4.2. Let L and L' be two 0-distributive ALs and let  $f : L \to L'$  be a homomorphism. Then contraction of every  $\alpha$ -ideal is an  $\alpha$ -ideal if and only if contraction of  $[a]^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal for every  $a \in L'$ .

PROOF. Suppose contraction of an  $\alpha$ -ideal is an  $\alpha$ -ideal and suppose  $a \in L'$ . Then we have  $[a]^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Therefore by assumption,  $f^{-1}([a]^*)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Thus contraction of  $[a]^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal.

Conversely, assume the condition. Suppose J is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L'. Now, we shall prove that  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L. Let  $x, y \in L$  such that  $[x]^* = [y]^*$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(J)$ . First we prove that  $[f(x)]^* = [f(y)]^*$ . Let  $t \in [f(x)]^*$ . Then  $t \wedge f(x) = 0$ . This implies  $f(x) \in [t]^*$ . Hence  $x \in f^{-1}([t]^*)$ . Since  $f^{-1}([t]^*)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal(by assumption),  $y \in f^{-1}([t]^*)$ . Therefore  $f(y) \in [t]^*$ . This implies  $f(y) \wedge t = 0$ . Hence  $t \in [f(y)]^*$ . Thus  $[f(x)]^* \subseteq [f(y)]^*$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $[f(y)]^* \subseteq [f(x)]^*$ . Therefore  $[f(x)]^* = [f(y)]^*$  and we have  $f(x) \in J$ . It follows that  $f(y) \in J$ , since J is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Therefore  $y \in f^{-1}(J)$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

THEOREM 4.3. Let L and L' be two 0-distributive ALs. If  $f : L \to L'$  is an annihilator preserving epimorphism, then contraction of every  $\alpha$ -ideal is an  $\alpha$ -ideal.

PROOF. Suppose L and L' be two 0-distributive ALs and  $f: L \to L'$  is an annihilator preserving epimorphism. Suppose J is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L'. Now, we shall prove  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L. Clearly,  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal of L. Now, let  $x, y \in L$  such that  $[x]^* = [y]^*$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(J)$ . Now, since  $[x]^* = [y]^*$ ,  $f([x]^*) = f([y]^*)$ . This implies  $f((x]^*) = f((y]^*)$ . It follows that  $(f((x]))^* = (f((y)))^*$ . Therefore by lemma 4.1, we get  $(f(x))^* = (f(y))^*$ . Hence we get  $[f(x)]^* = [f(y)]^*$ . Now, since  $x \in f^{-1}(J)$ ,  $f(x) \in J$ . Therefore  $f(y) \in J$ , since J is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Thus  $y \in f^{-1}(J)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal.

It can be easily seen that for any nonempty subset A of a 0-distributive AL L,  $A^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Now, we have the following.

COROLLARY 4.1. Let L and L' be two 0-distributive ALs and let  $f : L \to L'$ be an annihilator preserving epimorphism. Then for any nonempty subset A of L',  $f^{-1}(A^*)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L containing  $(f^{-1}(A))^*$ .

PROOF. Suppose A is a nonempty subset of L'. Then we have  $A^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Therefore by Theorem 4.3, we get  $f^{-1}(A^*)$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Now, we shall prove  $(f^{-1}(A))^* \subseteq f^{-1}(A^*)$ . Let  $x \in (f^{-1}(A))^*$ . Then  $x \wedge t = 0$  for all  $t \in f^{-1}(A)$ .

This implies  $f(x \wedge t) = f(0)$  for all  $t \in f^{-1}(A)$ . It follows that  $f(x) \wedge f(t) = 0'$  for all  $f(t) \in A$ . Thus  $f(x) \in A^*$ . Hence  $x \in f^{-1}(A^*)$ . Therefore  $(f^{-1}(A))^* \subseteq f^{-1}(A^*)$ .

COROLLARY 4.2. Let L and L' be two 0-distributive ALs and let  $f: L \to L'$  be an annihilator preserving epimorphism. Then ker(f) is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

PROOF. We have  $\{0'\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L' and also, we have  $ker(f) = f^{-1}\{0'\}$ . It follows that ker(f) is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of L.

### References

- [1] G. Birkhoff. Lattice theory. Amer.Math.Soc.Colloq. XXV, Providence, 1967.
- [2] W. H. Cornish. Annulets and α-ideals in distributive lattices. J. Austral. Math. Soc., 15(1)(1973), 70–77.
- [3] G. Nanaji Rao and Habtamu Tiruneh Alemu. Almost Lattices. J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., 9(1)(2019), 155–171.
- [4] G. Nanaji Rao and Habtamu Tiruneh Alemu. Ideals in Almost Lattices. Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., 10(1)(2019), 37–50.
- [5] G. Nanaji Rao and R. Venkata Aravinda Raju. Annihilator ideals in 0-Distributive Almost Lattices. Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., 11(1)(2021), 1–13.
- [6] G. Nanaji Rao and R. Venkata Aravinda Raju. Pseudo-complementation on Almost Lattices. Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 19(1)(2019), 37–51.
- [7] G. Nanaji Rao and R. Venkata Aravinda Raju. 0-Distributive Almost Lattices. Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., 10(2)(2020), 239–248.
- [8] G. Nanaji Rao and R. Venkata Aravinda Raju. \*-0-Distributive Almost Lattices. communicated in the Southeast Asian Journal of Mathematics in 2019.
- [9] G. C. Rao and M. Sambasiva Rao. α-Ideals in Almost Distributive Lattices. Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 4(10)(2009), 457–466.

Received by editors 03.06.2020; Revised version 25.09.2020; Available online 05.10.2020.

G. Nanaji Rao,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, INDIA E-mail address: nani6us@yahoo.com

R. Venkata Aravinda Raju,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, INDIA E-mail address: aravindaraju.10gmail.com