BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE ISSN (p) 2303-4874, ISSN (o) 2303-4955 www.imvibl.org /JOURNALS / BULLETIN Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., Vol. **10**(2)(2020), 201-212 DOI: 10.7251/BIMVI2002201Ö

> Former BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA ISSN 0354-5792 (o), ISSN 1986-521X (p)

# A NOTE ON DERIVATIONS ON PRIME GAMMA RINGS WITH CHARACTERISTIC 2

## Mehmet Ali Öztürk, Hasret Durna, and Tuba Acet

ABSTRACT. In this paper we will study the relationship between the quotient  $\Gamma$ -ring and the existence of certain specific types of derivation of  $\Gamma$ -ring with characteristic 2.

#### 1. Introduction

In 1957, Posner introduced derivation in ring in [15]. The different definitions of derivation such as semi-derivation, orthogonal derivation,  $\theta$ -derivation,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, symmetric bi-derivation, objects more general than derivation, were introduced by many researchers (see, for example [1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 17]).

The notion of the  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa in [9]. The  $\Gamma$ -ring is a generalization of ring. In [3], the conditions in the  $\Gamma$ -ring defined by Nobusawa, were weakened by Barnes. In [11], [12] and [14], Öztürk and Jun studied extended centroid of prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and generalized centroid of semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring. In [6], Jing defined derivation in prime  $\Gamma$ -rings. Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. A map  $d: M \to M$  is called a derivation if d(x + y) = d(x) + d(y) and  $d(x\gamma y) = d(x)\gamma y + x\gamma d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . In [13], Öztürk, Jun and Kim investigated the relationship between the quotient  $\Gamma$ -ring and derivation of  $\Gamma$ -ring M with charM = 2. In this paper we study the relationship between the quotient  $\Gamma$ -ring M with charM = 2.

Throught in this paper, M in a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Barnes.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16N60, 16W25, 16Y99.

Key words and phrases. Gamma ring, extended centroid,  $\theta$ -derivation,  $(\theta, \varphi)$ -derivation.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let M and  $\Gamma$  be (additive) abelian groups. If the following conditions are hold in M, then we say that M is a  $\Gamma$ -ring (in the sense of Barnes),

(1)  $a\alpha b \in M$ , (2)  $(a+b)\alpha c = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$ .  $a(\alpha+\beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$ .  $a\alpha (b+c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$ . (3)  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha (b\beta c)$ .

for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . The center of  $\Gamma$ -ring M is defined by

 $Z = \{ x \in M : x\gamma m = m\gamma x \text{ for all } m \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma \}.$ 

If U is an additive subgroup of M and  $U\Gamma M \subseteq U$  (resp.  $M\Gamma U \subseteq U$ ), then U is called a right (resp. left) ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring M. If U is both a right and a left ideal, then U is called an *ideal* of M. Let  $a \in M$ . The principal right ideal generated by a is the smallest right ideal of  $\Gamma$ -ring M containing a. This ideal is denoted by  $\langle a \rangle_r$ . Similarly one define  $\langle a \rangle_l$  the principal left ideal generated by a and  $\langle a \rangle$  the principal two sided ideal generated by a. Let  $P \neq M$  is an ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring M. For any ideals U and V of M, if  $U\Gamma V \subseteq P$  implies  $U \subseteq P$  or  $V \subseteq P$ , then P is said to be prime ideal of M. A  $\Gamma$ -ring M is said to be prime if the zero ideal is prime.

THEOREM 2.1 ([7, Theorem 4]). If M is a  $\Gamma$ -ring, the following conditions are equivalent:

i) M is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring.

ii) If  $a, b \in M$  and  $a\Gamma M \Gamma b = \langle 0 \rangle$ , then a = 0 or b = 0.

iii) If  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  are principal ideals of M such that  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$ , then a = 0 or b = 0.

iv) If U and V are right ideals of M such that  $U\Gamma V = \langle 0 \rangle$ , then  $U = \langle 0 \rangle$  or  $V = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

v) If U and V are left ideals of M such that  $U\Gamma V = \langle 0 \rangle$ , then  $U = \langle 0 \rangle$  or  $V = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

Let M and M' be two  $\Gamma$ -rings. A mapping  $f : M \to M'$  of  $\Gamma$ -rings is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring homomorphism if f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and  $f(x\gamma y) = f(x)\gamma f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and (A, +) be an abelian group. A is called a left M-module over M  $\Gamma$ -ring with respect to a mapping  $\cdot : M \times \Gamma \times A \to A$  if for all  $m, m' \in M$ ,  $x, y \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

(i)  $m\alpha (x+y) = m\alpha x + m\alpha y$ ,

 $(ii) (m+m')\alpha x = m\alpha x + m\alpha y,$ 

(*iii*)  $m\alpha (m'\beta x) = (m\alpha m')\beta x$ .

Similarly, one can define a right M-module.

Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and A, B be two left M-modules. A additive mapping  $f: A \to B$  is called a left M-module homomorphism if  $f(m\alpha x) = m\alpha f(x)$  for all  $m \in M, x \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring such that  $M\Gamma M \neq M$ . Denote

$$\mathcal{M} := \{(U, f) : U \ (\neq 0) \text{ is an ideal of } M \text{ and} \}$$

 $f: U \to M$  is a right *M*-module homomorphism}.

Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  by

$$(U, f) \sim (V, g) \Leftrightarrow \exists W \ (\neq 0) \subset U \cap V \text{ such that } f = g \text{ on } W.$$

Since M is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, it is possible to find a non-zero W and so "~" is an equivalent relation. This gives a chance for us to get a partition of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We denote the equivalence class by  $Cl(U, f) = \hat{f}$ , where

$$\widehat{f} := \left\{ g: V \to M | \quad (U, f) \sim (V, g) \right\},$$

and denote by Q the set of all equivalence classes. Then Q is a  $\Gamma$ -ring, which is called the quotient  $\Gamma$ -ring of M (see [11], [12], and [14]).

Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring with unity. An element u in M is called a *unity* of M if it has a multiplicative inverse in M. If every nonzero element of M is a unity, we say that M is a  $\Gamma$ -division ring. A  $\Gamma$ -ring M is a  $\Gamma$ -field if it is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -division ring.

LEMMA 2.1 ([12, Lemma 3.3]). Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring such that  $M\Gamma M \neq M$ . Then the extended centroid  $C_{\Gamma}$  of M is a  $\Gamma$ -field.

Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and A be a M-module. A subset  $B = \{b_i : i \in I\}$  of A is called linearly independent, if for every distinct  $b_1, b_2, ..., b_n \in B, m_1, m_2, ..., m_n \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^n m_i \beta_i b_i = 0$  implies that  $m_1 = m_2 = ... = m_n = 0$ .

LEMMA 2.2 ([11, p. 476]). Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring such that  $M\Gamma M \neq M$  and the extended centroid  $C_{\Gamma}$  of M. If  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are non-zero elements of M such that  $\sum a_i \gamma_i x \beta_i b_i = 0$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma_i, \beta_i \in \Gamma$ , then the  $a_i$ 's (also  $b_i$ 's) are linearly dependent over  $C_{\Gamma}$ . Moreover, if  $a\gamma x\beta b = b\gamma x\beta a$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$  where  $a (\neq 0), b \in M$  are fixed, then there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $b = \lambda \alpha a$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

LEMMA 2.3 ([16, Lemma 2]). Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, U a non-zero right (resp. left) ideal of M and  $a \in M$ . If  $U\Gamma a = \langle 0 \rangle$  (resp.  $a\Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$ ), then a = 0.

LEMMA 2.4 ([10, Lemma 1]). Let M be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and U a non-zero ideal of M. Then  $Ann_l U = Ann_r U$ .

Let M be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and U a non-zero ideal of M. In this case, we will write  $Ann_l U = Ann_r U = AnnU$  by Lemma 2.4. Let us denote by F a set of all ideals of M which have zero annihilator in M. In this case, the set F is closed under multiplication by Lemma 2.4.

THEOREM 2.2 ([14, Theorem 3.5]). Let M be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and Q the quotient  $\Gamma$ -ring of M. Then the  $\Gamma$ -ring Q satisfies the following properties:

i) For any element  $q \in Q$ , there exists an ideal  $U_q \in F$  such that  $q(U_q) \subseteq M$  ( or  $q \Gamma U_q \subseteq M$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  ). ii) If  $q \in Q$  and  $q(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$  for some  $U \in F$  (or  $q\gamma U_q = \langle 0 \rangle$  for some  $U \in F$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ), then q = 0.

iii) If  $U \in F$  and  $\Psi : U \to M$  is a right M-module homomorphism, then there exists an element  $q \in Q$  such that  $\Psi(u) = q(u)$  for all  $u \in U$  (or  $\Psi(u) = q\gamma u$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ).

iv) Let W be a submodule ( an (M, M)-subbimodule ) in Q and  $\Psi: W \to Q$  a right M-module homomorphism. If W contains the ideal U ideal of the  $\Gamma$ -ring M such that  $\Psi(U) \subseteq M$  and  $AnnU = Ann_rW$ , then there is an element  $q \in Q$  such that  $\Psi(b) = q(b)$  for any  $b \in W$  ( or  $\Psi(b) = q\gamma b$  for any  $b \in W$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  ) and q(a) = 0 for any  $a \in Ann_rW$  ( or  $q\gamma a = 0$  for any  $a \in Ann_rW$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  ).

LEMMA 2.5 ([16, Lemma 1]). Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and Z the center of M. If  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , then

$$[a\gamma b, c]_{\beta} = a\gamma [b, c]_{\beta} + [a, c]_{\beta} \gamma b + a\gamma (c\beta b) - a\beta (c\gamma b)$$

where  $[a,b]_{\gamma}$  is  $a\gamma b - b\gamma a$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $\theta : M \to M$  be a function. An additive mapping  $d : M \to M$  is called  $\theta$ -derivation if  $d(x\alpha y) = d(x) \alpha \theta(y) + x \alpha d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $\theta : M \to M$  and  $\varphi : M \to M$  be functions. An additive mapping  $d : M \to M$  is called  $(\theta, \varphi)$ -derivation if  $d(x\alpha y) = d(x) \alpha \theta(y) + \varphi(x) \alpha d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

#### **3.** $\theta$ -derivation on prime $\Gamma$ -ring

In this section, M is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring such that  $M\Gamma M \neq M$ , Z is the center of M,  $C_{\Gamma}$  is the extended centroid of M and  $[a,b]_{\gamma} = a\gamma b - b\gamma a$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

LEMMA 3.1. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, U be a non-zero ideal of M,  $\theta : M \to M$ be a  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism and d be a  $\theta$ -derivation of M. If  $a\Gamma d(U) = \langle 0 \rangle (d(U) \Gamma a = \langle 0 \rangle)$  for all  $a \in M$ , then a = 0 or d = 0.

PROOF. Let  $a\Gamma d(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$ . For all  $u \in U$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , we get  $0 = a \alpha d(u \beta x) = a \alpha d(u) \beta \theta(x) + a \alpha u \beta d(x)$ 

$$0 = a\alpha d (u\beta x) = a\alpha d (u) \beta \theta (x) + a\alpha u\beta d (x)$$
$$= a\alpha u\beta d (x).$$

From Lemma 2.3, we obtain that a = 0 or d = 0, since M is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring.  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 3.2. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, U be a non-zero ideal of M,  $\theta, \varphi : M \to M$  be  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphisms and d be a  $(\theta, \varphi)$ -derivation of M. If  $a\Gamma d(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$   $(d(U)\Gamma a = \langle 0 \rangle)$  for all  $a \in M$ , then a = 0 or d = 0.

PROOF. Let  $a\Gamma d(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$ . For all  $u \in U$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , we get  $0 = a\alpha d(u\beta x) = a\alpha d(u)\beta \theta(x) + a\alpha \varphi(u)\beta d(x)$ 

$$=a\alpha\varphi\left( u\right) \beta d\left( x\right) .$$

From Lemma 2.3, we obtain that a = 0 or d = 0, since M is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $\varphi$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism.

THEOREM 3.1. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring with charM = 2,  $\theta : M \to M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism and  $0 \neq d_1, d_2$  be  $\theta$ -derivation on M such that  $d_1\theta = \theta d_1$  and  $d_2\theta = \theta d_2$ . If for all  $x \in M$ ,

(3.1) 
$$d_1 d_2 (x) = 0$$

then there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $x, y \in M$ . Replacing x by  $x \alpha y$  in (3.1) and using (3.1), we get

(3.2) 
$$0 = d_2(x) \alpha d_1(\theta(y)) + d_1(x) \alpha d_2(\theta(y)),$$

since charM = 2 and  $d_2\theta = \theta d_2$ .

Replacing x by  $x\beta z$  in (3.2) and using (3.2), we get

(3.3) 
$$d_2(x) \beta \theta(z) \alpha d_1(\theta(y)) = d_1(x) \beta \theta(z) \alpha d_2(\theta(y)),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, for all  $x, w, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , we get

(3.4) 
$$d_2(x)\beta m\alpha d_1(w) = d_1(x)\beta m\alpha d_2(w).$$

Now if we replace w by x in (3.4), then we obtain

(3.5) 
$$d_2(x) \beta m \alpha d_1(x) = d_1(x) \beta m \alpha d_2(x),$$

for all  $x, m \in M$  and  $\beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $d_1(x) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\lambda(x) \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda(x) \gamma d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus, if  $d_1(x) \neq 0 \neq d_1(w)$ , then (3.4) implies that

(3.6) 
$$(\lambda(y) - \lambda(x)) \gamma d_1(x) \beta m \alpha d_1(w) = 0.$$

Since M is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, we conclude by using Lemma 3.1 that  $\lambda(y) = \lambda(x)$  for all  $x, y \in M$ . Hence we prove that there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda \gamma d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  with  $d_1(x) \neq 0$ . On the other hand, if  $d_1(x) = 0$ , then  $d_2(x) = 0$  as well. Therefore,  $d_2(x) = \lambda \gamma d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring with charM = 2,  $\theta : M \to M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism and  $0 \neq d$  be a  $\theta$ -derivation of M such that  $d\theta = \theta d$ . If for all  $x \in M$ ,

$$(3.7) d(x) \in Z,$$

then there exists  $\lambda(m) \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d(m) = \lambda(m) \alpha d(z)$  for all  $m, z \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  or M is commutative.

**PROOF.** From (3.7), we have

$$[d(x), y]_{\beta} = 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing x by  $x\gamma z$  in (3.8), we get

$$[d(x\gamma z), y]_{\beta} = d(x)\gamma\theta(z)\beta y + x\gamma d(z)\beta y - y\beta d(x)\gamma\theta(z) - y\beta x\gamma d(z).$$

Since  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, we get

(3.10) 
$$0 = d(x)\gamma(m\beta y - y\beta m) + d(z)\gamma(x\beta y - y\beta x)$$
$$= d(x)\gamma[m, y]_{\beta} + d(z)\gamma[x, y]_{\beta},$$

for all  $x, y, z, m \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing x by d(x) in (3.10), we get

(3.11) 
$$0 = d^{2}(x) \gamma [m, y]_{\beta} + d(z) \gamma [d(x), y]_{\beta},$$

for all  $x, y, z, m \in M$ . Using (3.8) in (3.11), we get

$$(3.12) d2(x) \gamma [m, y]_{\beta} = 0$$

for all  $x, y, m \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Now, substituting  $x\alpha z$  for x in (3.12), it follows from charM = 2 that

(3.13) 
$$0 = d^2(x) \alpha \theta^2(z) \gamma [m, y]_{\beta},$$

for all  $x, y, z, m \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since M is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, we obtain

(3.14) 
$$d^2(x) = 0$$
 for all  $x \in M$  or  $[m, y]_{\beta} = 0$  for all  $y, m \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ .

From (3.14), if  $d^2(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ , then replacing x by  $x\gamma y$  in this last relation, it follows from  $d(x) \in Z$  that

(3.15) 
$$d(x) \gamma d(m) = d(m) \gamma d(x) \text{ for all } x, m \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing x by  $x\alpha z$  in (3.15), it follows from (3.15) that for all  $x, z, m \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,

$$d(x) \alpha y \gamma d(m) = d(m) \alpha y \gamma d(x)$$

since  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism.

If  $d(m) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\lambda(m) \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d(x) = \lambda(x) \alpha d(m)$  for all  $x, m \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  by Lemma 2.2. On the other hand, it follows from (3.14) that if  $[m, y]_{\beta} = 0$  for all  $y, m \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , then M is commutative. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.2. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring with charM = 2, U be a non-zero ideal of M,  $\theta : M \to M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism and  $0 \neq d_1, d_2$  be  $\theta$ -derivation on M such that  $d_1\theta = \theta d_1$  and  $d_2\theta = \theta d_2$ . If  $d_2(U) \subseteq U$  and for all  $u \in U$ ,

$$(3.16) d_1 d_2 (u) = 0$$

then there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $u, v \in U$ . Replacing u by  $d_2(u) \gamma v$  in (3.16) and using hypothesis, we have

(3.17) 
$$d_2^2(u) \gamma d_1(w) = 0,$$

for all  $u \in U$ ,  $w \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $d_1 \neq 0$ , for all  $u \in U$ ,  $d_2^2(u) = 0$  from Lemma 3.1. Replacing u by  $u\gamma x$  in (3.16) and using hypothesis, we get

$$(3.18) 0 = d_2(u) \gamma d_1(\theta(x)) + d_1(u) \gamma \theta(d_2(x)) + u \gamma d_1(d_2(x)),$$

for all  $u \in U$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Replacing u by  $d_2(u)$  in (3.18) and using (3.17), we get

$$d_2(u) \gamma d_1(d_2(x)) = 0.$$

Since  $d_2 \neq 0$ ,  $d_1(d_2(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$  from Lemma 3.1. From here, there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

THEOREM 3.3. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, U be a non-zero right ideal of M,  $\theta: M \to M$  be  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism and  $0 \neq d$  be a  $\theta$ -derivation of M such that  $d\theta = \theta d$ . If

(3.19) 
$$d(u) \gamma a = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

where a is a fixed element of M, then there exists an element of Q such that  $q\gamma a = 0$ implies  $q\gamma u = 0$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $u \in U$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Since U is a right ideal of M, we have  $u\beta x \in U$ . Replacing u by  $u\beta x$  in (3.19), we get

(3.20) 
$$d(u)\beta\theta(x)\gamma a + u\beta d(x)\gamma a = 0$$

for all  $u \in U$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . And so,

$$d(u) \beta \left(\sum \theta(x) \gamma a \alpha m\right) = -\left(u \beta \left(\sum d(x) \gamma a \alpha m\right)\right)$$

for all  $m \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore, for any  $v \in V = M\Gamma a\Gamma M$  which is a non-zero ideal of M, we have

$$(3.21) d(u)\,\beta v = u\beta f(v)$$

for all  $u \in U$ . f(v) is independent of u but it is dependent on v. Since M is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, f(v) is well-defined. Note that  $v\alpha y \in V$  for any  $y \in M$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Replacing v by  $v\alpha y$  in (3.21), we get

$$d(u) \beta v \alpha y = u\beta f(v\alpha y),$$
  

$$u\beta f(v) \alpha y = u\beta f(v\alpha y),$$
  

$$u\beta (f(v) \alpha y - f(v\alpha y)) = 0,$$

which implies from Lemma 2.3 that

$$(3.22) f(v) \alpha y = f(v \alpha y)$$

for all  $y \in M$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . It follows from (3.22) that  $f: V \to M$  is a right M-module homomorphism. In this case,  $q = Cl(V, f) \in Q$ . Moreover,  $f(v) = q\beta v$  for all  $v \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  by Theorem 2.2. Let  $x \in M$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing v by  $\theta(x) \gamma v$  in (3.21), we get

(3.23) 
$$d(u) \beta \theta(x) \gamma v = u\beta f(\theta(x) \gamma v) = u\beta q\beta \theta(x) \gamma v.$$

Also, replacing u by  $u\gamma x$  in (3.21), we get

$$d(u\gamma x)\beta v = u\gamma x\beta f(v)$$

M. A. ÖZTÜRK, H. DURNA, AND T. ACET

 $d(u) \gamma \theta(x) \beta v + u \gamma d(x) \beta v = u \gamma x \beta f(v)$ 

(3.24) 
$$d(u) \gamma \theta(x) \beta v = u \gamma x \beta q \beta v - u \gamma d(x) \beta v$$

Now, replacing  $\beta$  by  $\gamma$  and replacing  $\gamma$  by  $\beta$  in (3.24), we get

$$(3.25) d(u) \beta \theta(x) \gamma v = u\beta x\gamma q\gamma v - u\beta d(x) \gamma v$$

Thus, from (3.23) and (3.25), we obtain

$$u\beta q\beta\theta (x) \gamma v = u\beta x\gamma q\gamma v - u\beta d(x) \gamma v$$

$$u\beta \left(q\beta\theta \left(x\right) - x\gamma q + d\left(x\right)\right)\gamma v = 0$$

for all  $x \in M$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $d(x) = x\gamma q - q\beta\theta(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$  by Lemma 2.3. Let  $u \in U$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $d(u) = q\alpha u - \theta(u)\beta q$  and  $d(x) = q\beta x - \theta(x)\alpha q$ . Then we have

$$0 = d(u\beta x)\gamma a = (q\alpha(u\beta x) - \theta(u\beta x)\beta q)\gamma a.$$

Thus,  $q\alpha u\beta x\gamma a = \theta(u\beta x)\beta q\gamma a$ . If  $q\gamma a = 0$ , then  $q\alpha u\beta x\gamma a = 0$ , and so since M is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, we get  $q\Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

THEOREM 3.4. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring with char  $M \neq 2$ , U a non-zero right ideal of M and  $0 \neq d$  be a  $\theta$ -derivation of M such that  $d\theta = \theta d$  and  $\theta(U) \subseteq U$ . Then the subring of M generated by d(U) contains no nonzero right ideals of M if and only if  $d(U) \Gamma \theta(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

PROOF. Let A be the subring generated by d(U). Let  $S = A \cap U$ ,  $u \in U$ ,  $s \in S$ and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $d(s\gamma u) = d(s)\gamma\theta(u) + s\gamma d(u)$ , and so we have  $d(s)\gamma\theta(u) \in S$ . Thus  $d(S)\Gamma\theta(U)$  is a right ideal of M. In this case,  $d(S)\Gamma\theta(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$  by hypothesis.  $d(u\gamma a) = d(u)\gamma\theta(a) + u\gamma d(a) \in S$  and  $d(u)\gamma\theta(a) \in S$  where  $u \in U$ ,  $a \in A$ . Thus, we have  $u\gamma d(a) \in S$ . Therefore,  $0 = d(u\gamma d(a))\beta\theta(u) = (d(u)\gamma\theta(d(a)) + u\gamma d^2(a))\beta\theta(u)$ . Since M is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

(3.26) 
$$d(u)\gamma\theta(d(a)) + u\gamma d^{2}(a) = 0$$

for all  $u \in U$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in A$ . Replacing u by  $u\beta v$  where  $v \in U$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.26), we get, for all  $u, v \in U$ ,  $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in A$ 

$$d(u)\,\beta v\gamma\theta\left(d(a)\right)=0.$$

Since *M* is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, we get  $d(U) \Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$  or  $d(A) \Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$ . If  $d(A) \Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$ , then  $d^2(U) \Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Let  $u, v \in U$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Then

$$0 = d \left( d \left( u \beta v \right) \right) = d^2 \left( u \right) \beta \theta^2 \left( v \right) + 2d \left( u \right) \beta d \left( \theta \left( v \right) \right) + u \beta d^2 \left( v \right)$$

and so we have  $d(u) \beta d(\theta(v)) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  by  $charM \neq 2$ . Replacing u by  $u\gamma w$  where  $w \in U, \gamma \in \Gamma$  in last relation, we have

$$d(u) \gamma \theta(w) \beta d(\theta(v)) = 0$$

which yields  $d(u) \gamma \theta(w) = 0$  for all  $u, w \in U$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Conversely, assume that  $d(U) \Gamma \theta(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Then  $A \Gamma d(U) = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Since M is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, A contains no non-zero right ideals.

#### 4. $(\theta, \varphi)$ -derivation on prime $\Gamma$ -ring

THEOREM 4.1. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring with charM = 2,  $\theta : M \to M$  and  $\varphi : M \to M$  be  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphisms and  $0 \neq d_1, d_2$  be  $(\theta, \varphi)$ -derivations on M such that  $d_i\theta = \theta d_i$  and  $d_i\varphi = \varphi d_i$ , i = 1, 2. If for all  $x \in M$ ,

(4.1) 
$$d_1 d_2 (x) = 0$$

then there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $x, y \in M$ . Replacing x by  $x \alpha y$  in (4.1) and using (4.1), we get

(4.2) 
$$d_2(\varphi(x)) \alpha d_1(\theta(y)) = d_1(\varphi(x)) \alpha d_2(\theta(y)),$$

since charM = 2 and  $d_i\theta = \theta d_i$ ,  $d_i\varphi = \varphi d_i$  for i = 1, 2.

Since  $\varphi$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, for all  $m, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we get

(4.3) 
$$d_2(m) \alpha d_1(\theta(y)) = d_1(m) \alpha d_2(\theta(y)).$$

Replacing m by  $m\beta z$  in (4.2) and using (4.2), we get

(4.4) 
$$d_2(m) \beta \theta(z) \alpha d_1(\theta(y)) = d_1(m) \beta \theta(z) \alpha d_2(\theta(y)),$$

for all  $m, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, for all  $m, n, k \in M$ and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , we get

(4.5) 
$$d_2(m)\beta n\alpha d_1(k) = d_1(m)\beta n\alpha d_2(k).$$

Now if we replace k by m in (4.5), then we obtain

(4.6) 
$$d_2(m)\beta n\alpha d_1(m) = d_1(m)\beta n\alpha d_2(m)$$

for all  $n, m \in M$  and  $\beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $d_1(m) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\lambda(m) \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(m) = \lambda(m) \gamma d_1(m)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus, if  $d_1(m) \neq 0 \neq d_1(k)$ , then (4.5) implies that

(4.7) 
$$(\lambda (k) - \lambda (m)) \gamma d_1 (m) \beta z \alpha d_1 (k) = 0$$

Since M is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, we conclude by using Lemma 2.2 that  $\lambda(k) = \lambda(m)$  for all  $k, m \in M$ . Hence we prove that there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(m) = \lambda \gamma d_1(m)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  with  $d_1(m) \neq 0$ . On the other hand, if  $d_1(m) = 0$ , then  $d_2(m) = 0$  as well. Therefore,  $d_2(m) = \lambda \gamma d_1(m)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring with char $M = 2, \theta : M \to M$  and  $\varphi : M \to M$  be  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphisms and  $0 \neq d$  be a  $(\theta, \varphi)$ -derivation of M such that  $d\theta = \theta d$ ,  $d\varphi = \varphi d$ . If for all  $x \in M$ ,

$$(4.8) d(x) \in Z,$$

then there exists  $\lambda(m) \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d(m) = \lambda(m) \alpha d(z)$  for all  $m, z \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  or M is commutative.

PROOF. From (4.8), we have

$$[d\left( x\right) ,y]_{\beta }=0,$$

for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing x by  $x\gamma z$  in (4.9), we get (4.10)

$$\left[d\left(x\gamma z\right),y\right]_{\beta} = d\left(x\right)\gamma\theta\left(z\right)\beta y + \varphi\left(x\right)\gamma d\left(z\right)\beta y - y\beta d\left(x\right)\gamma\theta\left(z\right) - y\beta\varphi\left(x\right)\gamma d\left(z\right) + \varphi\left(x\right)\gamma d\left(z\right) + \varphi\left(x\right)\gamma$$

Since  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, we get

(4.11) 
$$0 = d(x)\gamma(m\beta y - y\beta m) + d(z)\gamma(\varphi(x)\beta y - y\beta\varphi(x))$$
$$= d(x)\gamma[m, y]_{\beta} + d(z)\gamma[\varphi(x), y]_{\beta},$$

for all  $x, y, z, m \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing x by d(x) in (4.11), we get

(4.12) 
$$0 = d^{2}(x) \gamma [m, y]_{\beta} + d(z) \gamma [\varphi (d(x)), y]_{\beta},$$

for all  $x, y, z, m \in M$ . Since  $\varphi$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, we get

(4.13) 
$$0 = d^{2}(x) \gamma [m, y]_{\beta} + d(z) \gamma [d(n), y]_{\beta},$$

for all  $x, y, z, m, n \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Using (4.9) in (4.13), we get

(4.14) 
$$d^{2}(x)\gamma[m,y]_{\beta}=0,$$

for all  $x, y, m \in M$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Now, substituting  $x\alpha z$  for x in (4.14), we get

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 & = & \left(d^2\left(x\right)\alpha\theta^2\left(z\right) + \varphi\left(d\left(x\right)\right)\alpha d\left(\theta\left(z\right)\right) \\ & + d\left(\varphi\left(x\right)\right)\alpha\theta\left(d\left(z\right)\right) + \varphi^2\left(x\right)\alpha d^2\left(z\right)\right)\gamma\left[m,y\right]_\beta, \end{array}$$

for all  $x, y, z, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Using (4.13) in last relation, we have

(4.15) 
$$0 = d^2(x) \alpha \theta^2(z) \gamma[m, y]_\beta,$$

for all  $x, y, z, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since M is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, we obtain

(4.16) 
$$d^2(x) = 0$$
 for all  $x \in M$  or  $[m, y]_{\beta} = 0$  for all  $y, m \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ .

From (4.16), if  $d^{2}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ , then replacing x by  $x\gamma y$  in this last relation, it follows from  $d(x) \in Z$  that

(4.17) 
$$d(x)\gamma d(m) = d(m)\gamma d(x) \text{ for all } x, m \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing x by  $x\alpha n$  in (4.17), it follows from (4.17) that for all  $x, n, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

(4.18) 
$$d(x) \alpha \theta(n) \gamma d(m) = d(m) \gamma d(x) \alpha \theta(n)$$

Since  $\theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphism, we have

 $d(x) \alpha k \gamma d(m) = d(m) \gamma d(x) \alpha k,$ 

for all  $x, m, k \in M$  and  $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ 

210

(4.9)

If  $d(x) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\lambda(m) \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d(x) = \lambda(x) \alpha d(m)$  for all  $x, m \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  by Lemma 2.2. On the other hand, it follows from (4.16) that if  $[m, y]_{\beta} = 0$  for all  $y, m \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , then M is commutative. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 4.2. Let M be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring with charM = 2, U be a non-zero ideal of M,  $\theta : M \to M$  and  $\varphi : M \to M$  be  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphisms and  $0 \neq d_1, d_2$  be  $(\theta, \varphi)$ -derivations on M such that  $d_i\theta = \theta d_i$  and  $d_i\varphi = \varphi d_i$ , i = 1, 2. If  $d_2(U) \subseteq U$  and for all  $u \in U$ ,

$$(4.19) d_1 d_2 (u) = 0$$

then there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $u, v \in U$ . Replacing u by  $d_2(u) \gamma v$  in (4.19) and using hypothesis, we have

(4.20) 
$$\varphi\left(d_2^2\left(u\right)\right)\gamma d_1\left(\theta\left(v\right)\right) = 0,$$

for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are  $\Gamma$ -ring epimorphisms, we get

(4.21) 
$$d_2^2(y) \gamma d_1(z) = 0$$

for all  $y, z \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $d_1 \neq 0$ , for all  $y \in M$ ,  $d_2^2(y) = 0$  from Lemma 3.2. Replacing u by  $u\gamma x$  in (4.19) and using hypothesis, we get

(4.22) 
$$0 = d_2(u) \gamma d_1(\theta(x)) + d_1(u) \gamma \theta(d_2(x)) + u\gamma d_1(d_2(x)),$$

for all  $u \in U$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Replacing u by  $d_2(u)$  in (4.22) and using (4.21), we get

$$d_2\left(u\right)\gamma d_1\left(d_2\left(x\right)\right) = 0.$$

Since  $d_2 \neq 0$ ,  $d_1(d_2(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$  from Lemma 3.2. From here, there exists  $\lambda \in C_{\Gamma}$  such that  $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

#### References

- [1] M. Ashraf and N. Rehman. On  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in prime rings. Arch. Math., Brno , **38**(4)(2002), 259–264.
- [2] N. Aydın and K. Kaya. Some generalizations in prime rings with (σ, τ)-derivation. Turk. J. Math., 16(1992), 169–176.
- [3] W. E. Barnes. On the Γ-rings of Nobusawa. Pasific J. Math., 18(3)(1966), 411-422.
- [4] J. C. Chang. On semi-derivation on prime rings. Chin. J. Math., 12(4)(1984), 225–262.
- [5] J. C. Chang. α-derivations with invertible values. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 13(4)(1985), 323–333.
- [6] F. J. Jing. On derivations of Γ-rings. Qufu Shifin Daxue Xuebeo Ziran Kexue Ban., 13(4)(1987), 159–161.
- [7] S. Kyuno. On prime gamma rings. Pasific J. Math., 75(1)(1978), 185-190.
- [8] G. Maksa. On the trace of symmetric bi-derivations. C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, 9(1987), 303–307.
- [9] N. Nobusawa. On a generalization of the ring theory. Osaka J. Math., 1(1)(1964), 81–89.
- [10] M. A. Öztürk, M. Sapanci, M. Soytürk and K. H. Kim. Symmetric bi-derivation on prime gamma rings. Sci. Math., 3(2)(2000), 273–281.
- [11] M. A. Öztürk and Y. B. Jun. On the centroid of the prime gamma rings. Comm. Korean Math. Soc., 15(3)(2000), 469–479.

- [12] M. A. Öztürk and Y. B. Jun. On the centroid of the prime gamma rings II. Turk J. Math., 25(3)(2001), 367–377.
- [13] M. A. Öztürk, Y. B. Jun and K. H. Kim. On derivations of prime gamma rings. Turk J. Math., 26(3)(2002), 317–327.
- [14] M. A. Öztürk and Y. B. Jun. Regularity of the generalized centroid of semiprime gamma rings. Comm. Korean Math. Soc., 19(2)(2004), 233–242.
- [15] E. C. Posner. Derivations in prime rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8(6)(1957), 1093-1100.
- [16] M. Soytürk. The commutativity in prime gamma rings with derivation. Turk J. Math., 18(2)(1994), 149–155.
- [17] M. S. Yenigul and N. Argaç. On ideals and orthogonal derivations. J. of Southwest China Normal Univ., 20(2)(1995), 137–140.

Received by editors 05.03.2019; Revised version 14.09.2019; Available online 23.09.2019.

Adiyaman University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 02040 Adiyaman, TURKEY

*E-mail address*: mehaliozturk@gmail.com

Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 58140 Sivas, TURKEY

*E-mail address*: hyazarli@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

Adiyaman University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 02040 Adiyaman, TURKEY

E-mail address: acettuba020gmail.com